MEDIA WG Meeting

April 11, 2023

WebCodecs Issues/PRs for Discussion

- <u>Issue 656</u>: Allow decoder to ignore corrupted frames
- <u>Issue 646/PR 662</u>: Configuration of AV1 screen content coding tools
- Issue 619/PR 654: Extend EncodedVideoChunk metadata for SVC

Issue 656: Allow decoder to ignore corrupted frames

- WebCodecs specifies that decoder (and encoder) errors are fatal.
 - VideoDecoder.decode(chunk)
 - 2. If decoding results in an error, <u>queue a task</u> to run the <u>Close VideoDecoder</u> algorithm with <u>EncodingError</u> and return.
 - VideoEncoder.encode(chunk)
 - If encoding results in an error, <u>queue a task</u> to run the <u>Close VideoEncoder</u> algorithm with <u>EncodingError</u> and return.
 - AudioDecoder.decode(chunk)
 - 2. If decoding results in an error, <u>queue a task</u> to run the <u>Close VideoDecoder</u> algorithm with <u>EncodingError</u> and return.
 - AudioEncoder.encode(chunk)
 - 2. If encoding results in an error, <u>queue a task</u> to run the <u>Close AudioEncoder</u> algorithm with <u>EncodingError</u> and return.

Issue 656: Allow decoder to ignore corrupted frames (cont'd)

- GitHub discussion
 - Dale: Can clarify the text to distinguish between fatal and non-fatal errors. For security reasons, all errors in Chromium's software decoder are fatal. Doesn't interfere with resilience.
 - sefless: do we have/need tests? Dale: we have some tests.
 - Matanui159: Instead of EncodingError, Chromium reports an OperationError with error.message = "Decoding error".
- Editor's discussion (captured by Padenot)
 - Should all errors be fatal?
 - If hardware resources are limited and there is a decoder error, can't another application acquire the resources?
 - What is the difference between reset() and close() followed by constructing a new encoder/decoder in terms of performance? Does it affect user experience?

Issue 656: Allow decoder to ignore corrupted frames (cont'd)

- Other questions
 - Should implementations be using EncodingError or OperationError?
 - Is enough information available to allow the application to figure out what to do next?
 - Attempt to construct a new encoder/decoder configured with "prefer-hardware"?
 - Try "prefer-software" instead (e.g. hw resources unavailable)?

Issue 646/PR 662: Configuration of AV1 screen content coding tools

- <u>Issue 646</u>: Currently the AV1 registry doesn't allow configuration of screen content coding tools.
- PR 662 adds boolean forceScreenContentTools
 - Default is false.
 - Setting forceScreenContentTools to true causes seq_force_scrren_content_tools to be set to SELECT_SCREEN_CONTENT_TOOLS (see: [AV1] Section 5.5.1).

Issue 646/PR 662: Configuration of AV1 screen content coding tools

```
<xmp>
       dictionary VideoEncoderEncodeOptionsForAv1 {
         unsigned short? quantizer;
100
         boolean forceScreenContentTools = false:
101
       };
       </xmp>
104
       111
         </dd>
112
       </dl>
113
114
     + <dl>
115
         <dt><dfn dict-member
       for=VideoEncoderEncodeOptionsForAv1>forceScreenContenttools</dfn></dt>
116
         <dd>
117
            Indicates whether the encoder should force use of screen content
118
            coding tools. The default value (false) indicates that use of
119
            screen content coding tools is not forced. A value of true
120
            (corresponding to setting seg force screen content tools
121 +
            to SELECT_SCREEN_CONTENT_TOOLS in Section 5.5.1
122
            of [[AV1]]) indicates that use of screen content coding tools
123
            is forced.
124
         </dd>
125
     + </dl>
```

Issue 619/PR 654: Extend EncodedVideoChunk metadata for SVC

- <u>Issue 619</u>: Consistent SVC metadata between WebCodecs and Encoded Transform API.
 - WebRTC-SVC has shipped in Chromium as of M111. Supports both temporal (VP8, VP9, AV1, H.264) and spatial scalability (VP9, AV1)
 - Encoded Transform API provides <u>RTCEncodedVideoFrameMetadata</u>.
 - Currently, WebCodecs provides an EncodedChunkMetadata dictionary
 - Includes an svc sub-dictionary that currently only supports temporal scalability.
- Guidance from the MEDIA WG
 - Develop a PR for extensions to the EncodedChunkMetadata dictionary.

Issue 619: Extend EncodedVideoChunk metadata for SVC

RTCEncodedVideoFrameMetadata.

```
dictionary RTCEncodedVideoFrameMetadata {
    unsigned long long frameId;
    sequence<unsigned long long> dependencies;
    unsigned short width;
    unsigned short height;
    unsigned long spatialIndex;
    unsigned long temporalIndex;
    unsigned long synchronizationSource;
    octet payloadType;
    sequence<unsigned long> contributingSources;
};
```

WebCodecs EncodedChunkMetadata

```
dictionary EncodedVideoChunkMetadata {
    VideoDecoderConfig decoderConfig;
    SvcOutputMetadata svc;
    BufferSource alphaSideData;
};

dictionary SvcOutputMetadata {
    unsigned long temporalLayerId;
};
```

Original WebCodecs SVC Schema

Things to add to WebCodecs EncodedVideoChunk: basically all of the https://aomediacodec.github.io/av1-rtp-spec/#a8-dependency-descriptor-format RTP header extension.

```
dictionary EncodedVideoChunkMetadata {
// Number for identifying this frame in |dependsOnIds| and |chainLinks| (for other chunks).
unsigned short frameNumber;
// List of frameNumbers that this chunk depends on.
// Used to detect/handle network loss. Decoding out of order is an error.
list<unsigned long> dependsOnIds:
// ID of the spatial layer this chunk belongs to.
unsigned long spatialLayerId;
// ID of the temporal layer this chunk belongs to.
unsigned long temporalLayerId;
// List of decoder targets this frame participates in.
// Used to know whether this frame should be sent (forwarded) to a given receiver depending
// on what decode targets the receiver is expecting.
// Decode target is a numerical index determined by the encoder. No commitment that a
// particular number implies a given layer.
list<unsigned long> decodeTargets;
// Mapping of decode target -> the last important frame to decode prior to "this"
// frame for the given decode target.
// Used to ensure we preserve decode order for the desired decode target. It is insufficient
// to simply satisfy the dependencies for the current frame. See <a href="example">example</a>.
map<unsigned long, unsigned long> chainLinks;
```

PR 654: Extend EncodedVideoChunk metadata for SVC

- GitHub discussion
 - What is the difference between frameId and frameNumber?
 - frameId uniquely identifies the frame within the video sequence.
 - The AV1 Dependency Descriptor uses frameNumber since it is only refers to the last 2^16 frames and is therefore smaller.
 - Why the difference in the type of dependencies?
 - The AV1 Dependency Descriptor uses frameNumber to describe dependencies, rather than frameId. This explains the difference in the types.

PR 654: Extend EncodedVideoChunk metadata for SVC

- Other questions?
 - Why do you need decode targets?
 - A forwarder needs a quick way to determine whether a frame will be decodable by a participant based on the resolution/framerate it is receiving.
 - Why do you need chainLinks?
 - To be decodable, it is necessary (but not sufficient) for an EncodedVideoChunk's dependencies to have been received.
 - The dependencies must also have been decodable.
 - Dependencies also have dependencies.
 - Requiring receivers to compute a dependency graph on the fly consumes CPU and memory unnecessarily.
 - More efficient to let WebCodecs encoder do the work, once.
 - chainLinks provide a way for a receiver to quickly determine whether a receiver can submit an encoded chunk to the decoder (whether it will be decodable), if previous frames were lost.

PR 654: Extend EncodedVideoChunk metadata for SVC

Modified proposal for the svc sub-dictionary: dictionary svcOutputMetadata { unsigned long temporalLayerId; unsigned long spatialLayerId; unsigned long frameNumber; sequence <unsigned long> dependencies; sequence <unsigned long> decodeTargets; map <usigned long, unsigned long> chainLinks;