

Feature	Proposal?	Consensus?	In spec?	In Impls?	Bug/Action#	Decision[1]	Can be added later without breaking apps	Can be own spec?	Comment
<i>WebRTC parts</i>									
Roll-back in state machine	Yes (adamroach)	Yes	No	No	ACTION 73&77	Not in 1.0	YES	NO	
Pool of ICE candidates	Yes (juberti)	Yes	No	Firefox (only mode)	ACTION 71	Yes	YES	Potentially	Should just be fixed for 1.0
ICE completed state	Yes (juberti)	Yes	No	No		Yes			Should just be fixed for 1.0
Partial offers/answers	Yes (adamroach)	W3C yes, IETF No (?)	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES	POF	Depends on IETF progress
Handling unannounced SSRCs	Yes (hta)	Yes	No	Chrome (partial)		IETF	(Un)breaks gatewaying	MSID	IETF matter
Handling announced SSRCs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Chrome (partial) [Firefox ignores SSRCs in SDP]		IETF	Only if we leave out BUNDLE	JSEP/MSID	IETF matter
Track rejection	Yes (adamroach)	Yes (?)	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES		SDP mechanism exists
Stream rejection (OBE if we move to Tracks for PC)	Yes (adamroach)	Yes (?)	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES		More complex than track rejection
.onended versus .onremovestream	Yes (adamroach)	Yes (?)	No	No		Yes	NO		Should just be fixed for 1.0
s/AddStream/AddTrack/	Yes (martin)	No	No	No		?	Possibly with polyfill		Makes life easier in other places
RtpSender/RtpReceiver (doohickeys)	Yes (stefan, juberti, others)	No	No	No		Yes	Will get kluges if we don't add, hard to get rid of		
Dictionaries versus constraints in constructors	Pending (adambe)	No	No	No		Yes	NO		Should just be fixed for 1.0
Constrainable for RTCP	Pending (adambe)	No	No	No		Yes	NO		Just Do It.
Transport object (ICE connection)	Yes (juberti)	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES?	Transport control	
Bundle tuning	Yes (juberti)	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES	Transport control	
Call hold	Yes (adamroach, juberti, depends on doohickeys)	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES (If we have doohickeys)	Advanced Call Control	Should Forking be part of this work?
More stats	TBD: Needs review	No	Yes	Chrome		Put in a snapshot	YES	YES	May be a registry, or may be a separate doc for stats
DTLS meta data (certificates, identity)	Yes (ekr, juberti, depends on Transport)	No	No	Chrome		Not in 1.0	YES	Security	
API for certificate handling	Yes (ekr)	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES	Security	
Identity	Yes (ekr)	No	Yes	Firefox (under pref)		Not in 1.0	YES	Security	
OfferToReceiveX constraints for simulcast / SVC	No (needs refactor for multistream)	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	If done right?	Simulcast	Schedule behind Simulcast
IceRestart constraint	Yes (in spec)	Yes	Yes	Chrome		Yes	YES	YES?	Should just be fixed for 1.0

Feature	Proposal?	Consensus?	In spec?	In Impls?	Bug/Action#	Decision[1]	Can be added later without breaking apps	Can be own spec?	Comment
VoiceActivityDetection constraint	Yes (in spec)	Needs review	Yes	Chrome		Yes	YES		
MakeAFullOffer / MakeAPartialOffer constraint	No	No	No	No		Not in 1.0		POF	Before POF is done, all offers are full, so default has to be full.
Session rehydration	Yes (juberti)	No	No	No		Not in 1.0		Advanced Call Control	Depends on stream/track ID override
Content label for streams (tracks) when added to PC	No	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	YES		Easy (kind of). Perhaps add to doohickeys?
Simulcast	Yes (juberti)	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	If done right	Simulcast	
Layered codecs	No	No	No	No		Not in 1.0	If done right	Simulcast	
Media Capture parts									
getMediaDevices	Yes (juberti, adambe)	Yes	Yes	No		Yes			
noaccess / identity tagged streams and tracks	Yes, but incomplete (ekr, martin)	No	Yes (some things are there at least)	No	22594	Not in 1.0			Hard to get rid of, may be workable. Or may not be. We don't know.
MST.stop() stops the camera for all tracks	Yes	Yes(?) ^[2]	Yes	Chrome		Not in 1.0			Github version is back to decreasing a counter
Ignore unknown mandatory constraints	Yes (jib)	No	No	Firefox		No			
Prompt on constraints fail	Yes (jib)	No	No	No		No			
getStats on MST	No	No	No	No		Not in 1.0			
Screen Sharing	No	No	No	Chrome (privileged API)		Not in 1.0		YES	
Source objects	No	No	No	Hidden		Not in 1.0	YES	YES	Would make stop() debate go away

1. Possible values: NO, YES, NOT IN 1.0

2. Caused consternation when implemented.

Re-discussed in Shenzhen. People seemed to not need stop.