# Welcome to the WCAG-EM test-run survey.

In this survey, we ask you to evaluate a full website using the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines Evaluation Methodology (WCAG-EM 1.0 Public Working Draft). Your input will help us identify possible problems and unclarities to improve the methodology.

Before you continue, please read the WCAG-EM 1.0 Public Working Draft (http://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG-EM/) (link).

Please note that this evaluation could take several hours or days depending on your own workflow. The data will be treated as confidential and used to analyze improvements to WCAG-EM. Publication of (parts of) the data will always be anonymized.

All links in this survey open in a new window.

For any questions about this survey, please contact: Eric Velleman or Shadi Abou-Zahra: evelleman@bartimeus.nl; shadi@w3.org
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Q100 Optional: Please enter your name:

Q101 How would you rate your practical experience in evaluating websites using the WCAG2.0 guidelines?

* Very low (1)
* Low (2)
* Average (3)
* High (4)
* Very high (5)

Q102 Please provide a indication of the number of days that you have spent on evaluating websites for WCAG 2.0 in 2013:

Q103 Optional: Please enter your e-mail address so we can contact you in case we have additional questions regarding your input:
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Q104 On the next pages, you can report your evaluation results as described in "step 5: Record the Evaluation Findings" (link) and comment on the different steps of the methodology. The survey uses the steps that are described in WCAG-EM:

Step 1: Evaluation Scope

Step 2: Explore the target website

Step 3: Select a representative sample

Step 4: Audit the selected sample

Please remember that we are testing the methodology, not WCAG or your personal ability to evaluate websites.

Please follow the text in the Methodology as close as possible

IMPORTANT: You can leave the questionnaire and return later to the last visited page in the questionnaire (if cookies are enabled in your browser). In order to save progress on a specific page, click the button "Save and go to next page". When you return later you can go back to the specific page and continue the questionnaire. Please check first if this works in your situation.
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## Q105 Step 1: Evaluation Scope

The scope of this website evaluation is: @@@

Name of the website: @@@

Scope of the website (What is inside and what is outside the scope): @@@

Conformance target: WCAG2.0 - level A

Accessibility support baseline: Latest version of web browsers, assistive technologies, and other user agents that were available in 2013.

Evaluation methods to be used (optional): @@@

Additional evaluation requirements (optional): @@@

## Step 2: Explore the target website

Explore the target website as described in WCAG-EM step 2.

Q106 Identify web technologies relied upon in the field below:

Q107 How many minutes did it take you to explore the target website?

Q108 Please comment on how easy you found it to follow the guidance for Step 2: Explore the target website:

Q109 Other comments on Step 2: Explore the target website:
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## Step 3: Select a Representative Sample

Q110 Paste the URL(s) of all the "common pages" that you are going to evaluate in the text field below (if applicable):

Q111 Paste the URL(s) of all the "exemplar instances of web pages" that you are going to evaluate in the text field below.

Q112 Paste the URL(s) of all the "other pages, with specific elements"  that you are going to evaluate in the text field below.

Q113 Paste the URL(s) of all the "complete processes" that you are going to evaluate in the text field below.

Q114 Paste the URL(s) of all the "random pages" that you are going to evaluate in the text field below.

Q115 How many minutes did it take you to select the sample?

Q116 Describe how you selected the "random pages" (e.g “selected every tenth page from the site map”).

Q117 Do you feel you were able to collect a representative sample of the pages of the website (or paths through a web application) using this methodology? Please say if you are “very confident”, “fairly confident” or “not confident” that your sample is representative.

* Very confident (1)
* Fairly confident (2)
* Not confident (3)

Q118 Is the size of the sample you collected using WCAG-EM in line with the "typical" sample size you normally use?

* WCAG-EM sample is much smaller (1)
* WCAG-EM sample is smaller (4)
* WCAG-EM sample is about the same sample size as what I normally use (2)
* WCAG-EM sample is larger (3)
* WCAG-EM sample is much larger (5)

Q119 Please comment on how easy you found it to follow the guidance for Step 3: Select a Representative Sample.

Q120 Other comments on Step 3: Select a Representative Sample
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## Step 4: Audit the selected sample

On the following pages, you will find the 25 level A success criteria for WCAG 2.0. They are divided into 4 pages:

Page 1 - Principle 1: Perceivable (9 success criteria)

Page 2 - Principle 2: Operable (9 success criteria)

Page 3 - Principle 3: Understandable (5 success criteria)

Page 4 - Principle 4: Robust (2 success criteria)

Q121 For the auditing, please use the pages from your sample. You can go back to the previous pages with the pages in your sample at any time, please note that to save the contents of your page, you need to first click the button “Save and go to next page” and then go back (sorry for the complexity, but it is a limitation of the survey system)

Please read: Step 4: Audit the Selected Sample (link).
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### Principle 1 of 4 (Perceivable)

@@@EDITOR COMMENT: This section contains all the SC for level A.

We have to decide:

1. Do we want radio buttons or checkboxes?

2. Do we only provide a text field when somebody ticks “fail” or do we also provide the opportunity to enter web pages that pass or where the SC is not present?

The example below shows one SC and all editfields, but normally they only open if you check/tick them. The four principles have separate pages with all the level A Success Criteria.

1.1.1 Non-text Content

* Pass (1)
* Fail (2)
* Not present (3)

Answer If 1.1.1 Non-text Content Fail Is Selected

Q13 Paste the URL(s) or description(s) of where you have found the fail.

Answer If 1.1.1 Non-text Content Fail Is Selected

Q14 Give an explanation of the fail.

Answer If Step 4: Audit the selected sample. Principle 1 of 4 (Perceivable) 1.1.1 Non-text Content Pass Is Selected

Q15 Optional: Paste the URL(s) or description(s) of pages that pass and give an explanation.

Answer If Step 4: Audit the selected sample. Principle 1 of 4 (Perceivable) 1.1.1 Non-text Content Not present Is Selected

Q145 Optional: Paste the URL(s) or description(s) of pages where this SC is not present and give an explanation.

Q123 Optional: If you have any outcomes for complete processes, please write them in the text field below:
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Q124 Thank you for your help. We would like to ask you a few last questions.

Q125 How many hours did you spend to evaluate this website using WCAG-EM 1.0?

Q126 How would you describe your productivity while evaluating this website?

* Very low (1)
* Low (2)
* Average (3)
* High (4)
* Very high (5)

Q127 How would you describe your confidence with the quality of the results of this evaluation using WCAG-EM?

* Very low (1)
* Low (2)
* Average (3)
* High (4)
* Very High (5)

Q128 If you have any general comments about WCAG-EM or this questionnaire, please share them here.

Thank you!

Click "Save and go to next page >>" to finish this survey (NB: You cannot go back to the previous pages after that)