# Framework for EPR-Based SML Reference Schemes

## 3 W3C Working Group Note XX XXX 2008

4	This version:
5 6	Latest version:
0 7	
8	Previous versions:
9 10	Editor:
11	Kirk Wilson, CA, Inc.

12

## 13 Abstract

The Service Modeling Language [SML 1.1] specification extends XML and XML Schema with a mechanism for incorporating into XML documents references to other documents or document fragments. This technical note addresses the construction of SML reference schemes for document or document fragment references that employ WS-Addressing [WS-A] endpoint references (EPRs).

## 19 Status of this Document

- 20 This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication.
- 21 Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C
- 22 publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the
- 23 <u>W3C technical reports index</u> at http://www.w3.org/TR/.
- 24 This is the <u>W3C Working Group Note</u> "SML EPR Reference Scheme". This
- 25 document was produced by the <u>SML Working Group</u>, as part of the <u>XML Activity</u>.
- Please send comments related to this document to <u>public-sml@w3.org</u> (public archive list).
- 28
- 29 Publication as a Working Group Note does not imply endorsement by the W3C
- 30 Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or
- 31 obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this
- 32 document as other than work in progress.
- 33 This document is intended to serve as guidance for designing SML reference
- 34 schemes that employ WS-Addressing [WS-A] endpoint references (EPRs).

35 Currently, this document is consistent with the [SML] 1.1 and [SML-IF] 1.1 36 specifications, but it may be obsoleted by future versions of these specifications. 37 38 This document was produced by a group operating under the 5 February 2004 39 W3C Patent Policy. W3C maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made 40 in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a 41 patent which the individual believes contains Essential Claim(s) must disclose 42 43 the information in accordance with section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy. 44

45

## 46 **1. Introduction**

47 The Service Modeling Language [SML] specification extends XML and XML Schema with a mechanism for incorporating into XML documents references to 48 49 other documents or document fragments. A reference to another document or 50 document fragment is encoded by means of markup compliant with one or more reference schemes. The SML specification defines one reference scheme, the 51 52 SML URI Reference Scheme, which enables XML documents to use URIs to 53 identify documents or document fragments. The SML URI Reference Scheme 54 has the significant advantage of guaranteeing referential conformance of models 55 that are exchanged between vendors (see [SML-IF section 5.1]). 56

57 However, not all documents or document fragments can be retrieved simply by

58 means of a URI that may function as a URL. For example, the targeted

59 document may be accessible only through a Web service endpoint. There are

60 several protocols, each specifying its own message exchange pattern (MEP),

61 that make documents and document fragments available through interaction with

- a Web service. These include (but are not limited to):
  - WS-Transfer [WS-T] and WS-Management [WS-Man]
- 64 WS-ResourceProperties [WS-RP] / Web Services Distributed 65 Management [WSDM]
- 66 CMDB Federation [CMDBf]
- 67 Other such services may be defined in the future. It is a common characteristic
- of these services that their endpoints must be addressed using endpoint
- 69 references, EPRs, as defined in the WS-Addressing [WS-A] specification.
- 70 Consequently, this note considers how SML reference schemes can use Web
- services endpoint references to refer to services that provide documents or
- 72 document fragments through message exchanges.
- 73

63

- 74 The SML specification provides a mechanism to define other reference schemes
- 5 beyond the SML URI Reference Scheme in order to accommodate special

76 purpose reference schemes as well as reference schemes that fall outside of the

capabilities of URIs. The purpose of this Note is to propose a framework for

defining SML reference schemes that accommodate references to documents

- 79 accessed via EPRs.
- 80

81 EPRs cannot simply be placed in browsers and dereferenced to locate the target 82 resource. Processors must know how to process a given EPR and this 83 knowledge often involves knowing (1) the operations offered by the service and 84 (2) the protocol required for invoking the targeted operation of the service. In this 85 sense, the use of EPRs goes beyond the standard architecture of the Web. 86 Therefore, use of the SML URI Reference Scheme is encouraged and remains 87 the recommended approach for SML models. Nevertheless, it is recognized that 88 in some cases model documents may be accessible only through a service that 89 requires being addressed by means of an EPR. For further discussion of EPRs 90 and interoperability, see [section 4.2].

## 91 2. Framework: Core Characteristics of EPR-Based 92 Reference Schemes

93 This section proposes a set of characteristics, or framework, for EPR-based SML

94 reference schemes. These characteristics are based on the Reference Scheme 95 definition requirements of [SML 1.1, section 4.3].

## 96 **2.1 Framework for SML EPR Reference Schemes**

- 97 The following guidance is recommended for defining EPR-based SML reference98 schemes:
- 99
- An SML reference element should be identified as an instance of an EPRbased reference scheme if and only if exactly one element information item whose [local name] is EndpointReference and whose [namespace name] is defined by a WS-Addressing specification (for example, <u>http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing</u>) is present as a child of the SML reference element.
- 2. An instance of an EPR-based SML reference scheme should be resolved 106 107 by the SML validator by constructing the appropriate message to the 108 service based on the provided EPR and any additional information it has or is provided about how to interact with the Web service endpoint. This 109 110 additional information includes the signature of the operation that is to be 111 invoked to access the targeted document or document fragment. This 112 operation needs to be bound into a message to the service (e.g., a SOAP 113 [SOAP] message) according the rules identified below (items a. through 114 c.).

115 116 117 118 119	Note that to resolve an instance of an EPR-based SML reference scheme compliant with this framework, the SML validator must be a Web services client. If the validator does not have adequate information to construct the appropriate Web services request to the service providing access to the targeted document, then the EPR-based SML reference is unresolved.
120	The resolution process should conform to the following rules:
121	<ul> <li>The Web service client should follow the appropriate binding rules for</li></ul>
122	the EPR as specified in the WS-Addressing [WS-A] specification.
123	<ul> <li>b. The appropriate binding rules for the operation (WSDL bindings</li></ul>
124	[WSDL]) should be applied in constructing the request to the service.
125	c. The SML reference target [http://www.w3.org/TR/sml#target] should be
126	the content or a child within the content of the service response
127	message. If there is no response message returned by the service (as
128	defined by the service protocol), then the SML reference is unresolved.
129	<ol> <li>Since the URI/IRI in the wsa:Address element of the EPR identifies only</li></ol>
130	an endpoint of a service and typically requires out-of-band knowledge to
131	retrieve a document or document fragment from that endpoint, an EPR-
132	based SML reference scheme does not use target-complete identifiers.
133	The preceding definition of the Framework Core (FC) is provided as non-
134	normative. However, if this FC is adopted as the basis of defining EPR-based
135	SML reference schemes (see [section 3.1]), then testing compliance with the
136	framework would require the following changes to the language of the
137	framework.
138	<ul> <li>All positive FC assertions, e.g. "should", "is", MUST be interpreted as</li></ul>
139	requirements (MUSTs) in conformity with [RFC 2119].
140	<ul> <li>All negative FC assertions, e.g. "should not", "is not", MUST be interpreted</li></ul>
141	as requirements (MUST NOTs) in conformity with [RFC 2119].
142	<ul> <li>All FC assertions of explicit variability, e.g. "may", MUST be interpreted as</li></ul>
143	explicit points of variability (implementation-defined) in conformity with
144	[RFC 2119].
145 146	As noted in point 2 above, the additional knowledge that is required by a Web
147	service client to resolve an instance of the SML EPR reference scheme
148	framework may include knowledge of the operations supported by the service
149	endpoint. Because the operations are typically not provided in the EPR itself,
150	and the SML EPR reference scheme framework does not constrain these
150	bindings, two otherwise equal EPRs associated with different service bindings
152	could target different documents. Specific knowledge regarding the MEPs
153	needed to interact with the service pointed to by the EPR may need to be made

available to the SML model validator. See section 3.1 for a means by which such

- 155 knowledge may be made available through an EPR-based SML reference
- 156 scheme.
- 157

#### 2.2 Example of an SML EPR Reference Scheme 158

- 159 Consider the following (very) simple XML document:
- 160

```
161
        <UniversityCourses xmlns="http://www.university.example.org/ns">
162
            <PHY101>
163
               . . .
164
            </PHY101>
165
            <PHY102>
166
               . . .
167
            </PHY102>
168
            . . .
169
        </UniversityCourses>
170
171
      This simple document will illustrate both the following example and the EPR-
      based SML reference scheme to be developed in [Section 3]. In the following
172
      example we will assume that each course entry is indexed by an xs:ID or
173
174
      xs:keyref, CourseName, whose value is the name of the element.
175
176
      The following example illustrates how the EnrolledCourse SML reference that
      references a course, PHY101, can be represented using an EPR-based SML
      Reference Scheme that is compliant with the preceding framework:
179
        <FnrolledCourse vmlns.sml="http://www.w3.org/sml/2007/02"</pre>
180
18
18
                                                                       ng">
18
18
```

177 178

1//	Linioriedeodibe Amirio.omi neep.//www.wo.org/omi/200//02
180	<pre>sml:ref="true"&gt;</pre>
181	<wsa:endpointreference< th=""></wsa:endpointreference<>
182	xmlns:wsa="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressin
183	<wsa:address>http://www.university.example.org</wsa:address>
184	?CourseName=PHY101
185	
186	
187	

The service providing the university's list of courses is addressed by the URL 188 189 http://www.university.example.org. In this case, the service endpoint expects 190 to receive the identifier of the desired document fragment as a query component. CourseName, in the address. Access to the same content may require a different 191 192 EPR-based SML reference scheme if the university's service interface involved a 193 different means to target the desired fragment. For example, [section 3.2] 194 provides another example of an SML reference using a totally different EPRbased SML reference scheme. 195

#### 3. Using the Framework with Web Services 196 **Protocols** 197

198 Because of the virtually unlimited latitude in specifying Web service interfaces for 199 retrieving documents, EPR-based SML reference schemes may be defined with 200 mechanisms to address the requirements of specific service protocols. For 201 example, it may be desirable to include in the reference scheme definition a specific wsa: Action that the Web service client is to use in constructing the 202 203 message to the service, or to provide a fragment identifier as a separate 204 operation parameter in the form of a QName or XPath expression. In some 205 cases, because of the service protocol, it may not be feasible to include this 206 additional information within the wsa: Endpoint Reference element itself. The 207 definition of a specific EPR reference scheme should use the Framework Core 208 as its basis and may add several conditions for identifying an instance of the 209 specific scheme. 3.1 An SML WS-ResourceFramework Reference Scheme 210 211 For example, let us assume that the course listing in the previous example 212 ([Section 2.2]) is maintained by a WS-Resource conformant to the WS-ResourceFramework [WS-RF] specification, and each course is a resource 213 property of this resource (an XML Schema is provided in [Section 3.2]). A 214 215 definition of a specific SML WSRF Reference Scheme might proceed as follows: SML WSRF Reference Scheme Definition: 216 217 This reference scheme fully complies with the Framework Core (FC) 218 defined in [Framework: Core Characteristics]. 219 An SML reference element is identified as an instance of the SML 220 WSRF Reference Scheme if and only if it is identified as using the 221 EPR Framework and it contains the following: it has exactly one child element information item for which all of the 222 • 223 following are true: 224 its [local name] is EndpointReference 225 its [namespace name] is http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing. 226 227 it has exactly one child element information item for which all of the following are true: 228 229 its [local name] is Action 0 230 its [namespace name] is 0 231 http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing. 232 the content of this element must be a URI from the domain 0 233 docs.oasis-open.org/wsrf/ that represents a valid WS-ResourceProperties request operation. 234 235 it has at most one valid WSRF message request element for which 236 all the following are true: 237 its [local name] corresponds to a WS-ResourceProperties 0 operation element 238

239	o its [namespace name] is http://docs.oasis-
240	open.org/wsrf/rp-2.
241 242	<ul> <li>the content of this element is a single QName or XPath expression.<sup>*</sup></li> </ul>
243	Resolution of this reference scheme should conform with the following
244	rules:
245	• The EndpointReference element is mapped to SOAP Header
246	element(s) as specified in the WS-Addressing SOAP Binding
247	specification [WS-A SOAP].
248 249	<ul> <li>The Action child element is mapped to a SOAP Header element with the same QName and content value</li> </ul>
250 251	<ul> <li>The WSRF message request element, if present, is mapped to the SOAP Body element with the same QName and content value.</li> </ul>
252	<ul> <li>The SML reference target is the content of the service response</li> </ul>
253	message. If there is no response message returned by the
254	service, then the SML reference is unresolved.
255	<ul> <li>As a consequence of conforming to FC, and not placing additional</li> </ul>
256	constraints on the resolution process sufficient to make it fully
257 258	deterministic in the absence of outside knowledge, this reference scheme does not use target-complete identifiers.
259	Note that this definition is not proposed as a normative definition of a WS-
260	ResourceFramework reference scheme; however, this lack of normative standing
261	should not be taken as precluding a similar definition being normatively defined.
262	[Section 4.1] will identify further components of this definition that are required to
263	insure that the reference scheme is interoperable.
264	3.2 WSRF Reference Scheme Example
265	This section illustrates the WSRF Reference Scheme defined in the preceding
266	section. For simplicity, we will use the same simple XML document introduced in
267	[section 2.2]. To conform to the WS-ResourceFramework, we assume that the
268	schema of this document is constructed in the following manner:
269 270	(2) worsign="1.0" encoding="utf_0"2)
270 271	xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"? <xs:schema <="" td="" xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"></xs:schema>
272	<pre>xmlns:tns=" http://www.university.example.org/ns "</pre>

```
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"</pre>
xmlns:tns=" http://www.university.example.org/ns "
targetNamespace=" http://www.university.example.org/ns ">
```

<xs:complexType name="CourseType">

273

274 275

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> The WS-ResourceProperties GetResourcePropertyDocument operation does not require a message request element. The other WS-RP operations, GetResourceProperty, and QueryResourceProperties, require an appropriate element as the content of the SOAP Body. Note that the WS-ResourceProperties GetMultipleResourceProperties operation, which may retrieve multiple resource properties (elements), would, under conditions of normal usage, yield an invalid SML reference because it references multiple elements.

```
276
            <xs:sequence>
277
              . . .
278
            </xs:sequence>
279
          </xs:complexType>
280
281
          <xs:element name="PHY101" type="tns:CourseType"/>
282
          <xs:element name="PHY102" type="tns:CourseType"/>
283
284
          <xs:element name="UniversityCourses">
285
            <xs:complexType>
286
              <xs:sequence>
287
                <xs:element ref="tns:PHY101"/>
288
                <xs:element ref="tns:PHY102"/>
289
                 . . .
290
              </xs:sequence>
291
            </xs:complexType>
292
          </xs:element>
293
294
        </xs:schema>
295
296
      (NOTE: This example is not meant to imply that this is the best way to design the
297
      XML document or the schema for this kind of list; it is meant only as a means to
298
      enable both SML reference scheme examples to reference instance documents
299
      the same simple structure.)
300
      To retrieve the PHY101 element via the WSRF Reference Scheme, the WS-
301
      ResourceProperties GetResourceProperty operation may be used. This
302
      operation has a wsa:Action:
303
        <wsa:Action>http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrf/rpw-
304
        2/GetResourceProperty/GetResourcePropertyRequest</wsa:Action>
305
      The WS-ResourceProperties message request element specifies the QName of
      the targeted document fragment, which must be a Global Element Declaration
306
307
      (GED), as the content value of the element representing the operation:
308
          <wsrp:GetResourceProperty
309
                xmlns:wsrp="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrf/rp-2"
310
                xmlns:tns="http://www.university.example.org/ns">
311
             tns:PHY101
312
          </wsrp:GetResourceProeprty>
313
314
      Thus, a functionally equivalent SML reference to the reference in [Section 2.2]
315
      (for an identifically structured XML instance document) could be specified with
      the WSRF Reference Scheme as follows:
316
317
        <EnrolledCourse xmlns:sml="http://www.w3.org/sml/2007/02"
318
        xmlns:wsrp="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrf/rp-2"
319
        xmlns:wsa="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing"
320
        sml:ref="true">
321
          <wsa:EndpointReference>
322
            <wsa:Address>http://www.university.example.org</wsa:Address>
323
          </wsa:EndpointReference>
324
          <wsa:Action>http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrf/rpw-
325
        2/GetResourceProperty/GetResourcePropertyRequest</wsa:Action>
```

326	<wsrp:getresourceproperty< th=""></wsrp:getresourceproperty<>
327	<pre>xmlns:tns="http://www.university.example.org/ns"&gt;</pre>
328	tns:PHY101
329	
330	

331

332 WSRF Reference Scheme instances provide to the SML model validator three 333 essential parts for constructing the message that is to be sent to the university 334 course service: the EPR of the service, the wsa:Action that is bound into the 335 SOAP Header, and the content of the SOAP Body. Note that knowledge of how 336 to use the service bindings in constructing a SOAP message, for example, what 337 component(s) should go into the SOAP Header and which into the SOAP Body, 338 must be made available to the model consumer. This information is typically 339 provided by the WSDL Binding for the service.

#### 4. Interchange and Interoperability Considerations 340

#### 341 4.1 Using EPR-Based SML Reference Schemes in SML-IF Documents

342 Interchanging SML models consisting of documents containing SML references 343 that use EPR-based SML reference schemes requires special consideration. 344 Interchange is performed by packaging the documents comprising an SML model into a single document as described in the [SML-IF] specification. In order to 345 346 perform interchange set validation, the SML-IF validator must first look to validate any SML reference in terms of what is packaged in the SML-IF document itself. 347 If validation fails from this perspective, SML-IF validators may choose to pursue 348 349 the reference outside of the SML-IF document; however, given the complexity of 350 de-referencing an EPR, SML-IF validators may be reluctant to do so. 351

352 In order to support this internal test of SML reference validity, the SML-IF 353 specification introduces the notion of a document *alias* [SML-IF section 5.3.3]. For aliases to be usable in the context of SML-IF, an alias name (a URI) must be 354 derivable from the reference scheme instance so that the validator can determine 355 356 what document in the interchange set the reference scheme is intending to point 357 to. Thus if an EPR-based SML reference scheme will be used in the context of 358 SML-IF, the reference scheme definition should include a method for mapping 359 each EPR to a predictable URI.

360

361 The following considerations pertain to the processing of SML references exposing EPR-based SML reference schemes in SML-IF documents by means

- 362
- 363 of aliasing:

#### 4.1.1 Document Aliases 364

365 Unless the EPR-based SML reference scheme is sufficiently constrained to make 366 use of target-complete identifiers, which is unlikely for EPRs, its wsa:Address cannot be used as an SML-IF document alias. Nevertheless, the referenced 367 document may be embedded in the SML-IF document. If maintaining the fidelity 368

369 of these links during interchange is necessary, several alternatives are available, 370 including but not limited to:

371

372 For each EPR-based SML reference scheme of an SML reference, the 373 SML-IF producer adds a second reference scheme instance understood by the receiving SML-IF consumer. This second reference could use a 374 375 URI that does not follow the SML-IF rules for resolving target-complete 376 identifiers, see [SML-IF, section 5.3.4]. That is, the URI would fall into 377 "category 3" discussed in that section. Note that the identifier value must 378 be generated dynamically from the information given in the EPR reference 379 scheme instance. Care must be taken to avoid collisions, since SML-IF 380 allows document aliases to be preserved across multiple interchanges.

- 381 382
- 2. An EPR-based SML reference scheme definition can specify an algorithm for generating target-complete identifiers for the purpose of SML-IF URI reference processing as described in [SML-IF section 5.3.4].
- 384 385

383

386 Using the first case as an example, the SML-IF document producer generates a 387 reference scheme instance based on information in the given instance of the 388 EPR reference scheme that is sufficient to uniquely identify the target document 389 or document fragment within the interchange model. Thus, this scheme specifies 390 a document alias. For example, the SML reference from the example in [section] 391 3.2] may have a generated reference scheme with an algorithmically generated 392 identifier as shown in the following (bolded in the following code):

```
393
394
        <EnrolledCourse xmlns:sml="http://www.w3.org/sml/2007/02"
395
        xmlns:wsrp="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrf/rp-2"
396
        xmlns:wsa="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing"
397
        sml:ref="true">
398
          <wsa:EndpointReference>
399
            <wsa:Address>http://www.university.example.org</wsa:Address>
400
          </wsa:EndpointReference>
401
          <wsa:Action>http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrf/rpw-
402
        2/GetResourceProperty/GetResourcePropertyRequest</wsa:Action>
403
          <wsrp:GetResourceProperty
404
                xmlns:tns="http://www.university.example.org/ns">
405
             tns:PHY101
406
          </wsrp:GetResourceProeprty>
407
          <newScheme:generatedWSRFIdentifier
408
                  xmlns:newScheme="http://www...myNewScheme_namespace...">
409
                  xmlns:tns="http://www.university.example.org/ns">
410
             http://www.university.example.org?GetResourceProperty=tns:PHY101
411
          </newScheme:generatedWSRFIdentifier
412
        </EnrolledCourse>
```

413

414 (It is left as an exercise for the reader to trace through the algorithmic steps by 415 which the URI in the newScheme instance can be generated from the preceding EPR Reference Scheme instance. Obviously, the schema declaration of 416 417 <EnrolledCourse> must allow additional elements.)

418

419 This new reference scheme targets the document independently of how the 420 document is made available through the Web service targeted by the preceding 421 EPR Reference Scheme. The alias for this reference would be: 422 423 <alias> 424 http://www.university.example.org?GetResourceProperty=tns:PHY101 425 </alias> 426 427 Moreover, the process by which the identifier is resolved to the targeted 428 document within the SML-IF document must be defined in the reference scheme 429 definition similar to way the resolution process for target-complete URI 430 references is defined in [SML-IF section 5.3.4]. (This resolution algorithm is also 431 left as an exercise for the reader.) 432 433 NOTE: While the SML-IF consumer may recognize the reference scheme added 434 by the SML-IF producer, we assume that the reference scheme will NOT be 435 recognized by the SML model processor; thus the SML model processor will not 436 attempt to resolve it. Should the SML model processor recognize the reference 437 scheme (e.g., if the SML URI Reference Scheme is used to contain the 438 document alias URI) and should the SML model processor attempt to resolve it 439 by normal processing for that reference scheme, the reference may fail. 440 Implementations may take steps to prevent this failure. 441 442 If the targeted service exposes only the targeted document, or, more precisely, 443 the address-element of the EPR uniquely identifies the target document within 444 the service, it may be possible to utilize the second strategy above and generate 445 a target-complete identifier to both identify and serve as a document alias to the 446 document or document fragment in the SML-IF document. For example, if the 447 university.example service above fulfills the condition of exposing only that one 448 document, a target-complete identifier in the preceding example might be: 449 450 http://www.university.example.org#smlxpath1(/u:UniverstiyCourses/u: 451 PHY101) 452 453 where "UniversityCourses" is the root element of the document and "u" 454 represents the http://www.university.example.org/ns namespace. It is not 455 expected that EPR-based SML reference schemes will typically be able to support a target-complete identifier. 456 457 **4.1.2 Document Locators** 458 459 EPRs as values of the SML-IF <document>/<locator> element are subject to the 460 461 same semantic and processing requirements as are EPR-based reference

- schemes. EPR document/locators should be avoided if wide interoperability isdesired.
- 464

### 465 **4.2 Interoperability**

Because EPR-based SML reference schemes cannot in general be represented
by SML URI Reference schemes, an SML-IF document containing EPR-based

reference schemes cannot typically be referentially conforming as defined by[SML-IF section 5.1]. However, the two mechanisms defined in [section 4.1.1] for

generating aliases within the SML-IF document partially address interoperability
 issues at the level of the SML-IF document.<sup>\*\*</sup>

472

473 Definitions of EPR-based SML reference schemes should be sufficiently rigorous

to support model interoperability amongst those vendors who agree to use a

475 specific EPR-based reference scheme.

## 476 **5. Summary**

The following points summarize the issues that should be considered when
defining an EPR-based SML reference scheme.

480 1. Consideration should be given to using the Framework Core described in481 [section 2] and to adopting it as normative.

482

2. Consideration should be given to the operations and their parameters offered
by the service interface through which the document or document fragment is
accessed. The critical issue in defining an EPR-based SML reference scheme is
how much of this special knowledge should be captured in the EPR-based SML
reference scheme itself and how much might be otherwise made available to the
model consumer.

489

490 3. If the EPR-based SML reference scheme will be used in the context of an
491 SML-IF document, then a method for supporting SML-IF document aliases
492 should be defined as part of the reference scheme definition. This note explored
493 several strategies by which this issue could be addressed. These strategies
494 involve:
495

- 496
   497
   498
   498
   498
   499
   1. Defining an algorithm for generating a reference scheme using a URI identifier with an explicitly stated resolution process, so that targeted documents can be identified within the SML-IF document by SML-IF aliasing mechanism, or
- 500
   501
   502
   2. Defining an algorithm for generating a target-complete URI reference scheme so that the alias can be resolved by the mandated process for resolving SML URI Reference Schemes.
- 503

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> Other considerations related to interoperability exist even if the reference schemes may be defined with sufficient rigor to insure interoperability amongst those who adopt the reference scheme. These other issues include whether model documents are embedded or included by reference only in the SML-IF document and whether the SML-IF document is schema-complete. These issues lie beyond the scope of this Note. For further discussion, see [SML-IF, section 4.5].

## 504 6. References

505	RFC 2119
506	This RFC is available at http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt.
507	RFC 3986
508	<u>Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax</u> , T. Berners-Lee, R.
509	Fielding and L. Masinter, Editors. IETF, January 2005. Obsoletes: RFC
510	2396, RFC 2732. This RFC is available at
511	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt.
512	SML
513	Service Modeling Language, Version 1.1, Bhalchandra Pandit, Valentina
514	Popescu, Virginia Smith, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, @@
515	@@@@@@@@@. This version of the Service Modeling Language
516	specification is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/@@@@/WD-sml-
517	@@@@@@@@@/. The <u>latest version of Service Modeling Language.</u>
518	Version 1.1 is available at <a href="http://www.w3.org/TR/sml">http://www.w3.org/TR/sml</a> .
519	SML-IF
520	To be Completed
521	WS-A
522	To be Completed
523	WS-A SOAP
524	To be Completed <a href="http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-addr-soap">http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-addr-soap</a>
525	3.2 Informative References
526	CMDBf
527	To be Completed (reference to CMDBf white paper)
528	SOAP
529	SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework (Second Edition), Martin
530	Gudgin, Marc Hadley, Noah Mendelsohn, Jean-Jacques Moreau, Henrik
531	Frystyk Nielsen, Anish Karmarkar, Yves Lafon, Editors. World Wide Web
532	Consortium, 27 April 2007. This version is
533	http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-soap12-part1-20070427/. The latest
534	version is available at http://www.w3.org/TR/soap12-part1/.
535	Web Arch
536	To be completed
537	WSDM
538	To be completed
539	WS-Man
540	To be completed
541	WS-RF
542	To be Completed
543	WS-RP
544	To be Completed
545	WS-T
546	To be Completed
547	WSDL

548 To be Completed

549

## 550 7. Acknowledgments

- 551 This note is based on based on input from the members of the SML Working
- 552 Group, particularly John Arwe (IBM).
- 553