Attendees: Michel, Alasdair, Erich Joachim, Scott, Simon, Toshiaki

Spreadsheet: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0Aoy0zfdRviKsdFJWTDFpblNXc3BtelhrdEpNYTdvbXc#gid=1

Alasdair showed the current state of the validator (work in progress)
http://openphacts.cs.man.ac.uk:9094/Validator/validate?rdfFormat=&includeWarnings=true&text=&uri=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.cs.man.ac.uk%2F~graya%2Fhcls%2Fexample-dataset.ttl&specification=hclsVoid
He also showed an editor for creating VoID descriptions based on a tool from DERI
http://openphacts.cs.man.ac.uk/Void-Editor/

Core:

- Separated out pav:created/curated/authored into a separate row for dates and added in created forms as MAY

Provenance and Change

- version (SHOULD): pav:version [literal]
- prior version (SHOULD): pav:previousVersion [URI]
- subsequent version (MAY): Simon Jupp to scope out options; ruled out sioc:latest_version
- date modified
- modified by/date (MUST NOT): Recommendation not to modify a published dataset, but instead to release a new version
- source/derivation (SHOULD): Issues were raised around the fuzzy edges of pav:importedFrom. Michel, Simon and Alasdair to instigate a discussion with PAV authors (Stian and Paolo) around meaning of importedFrom. Otherwise we may state that pav:importedFrom SHOULD NOT be used. Currently recommending prove:wasDerivedFrom [uri] and pav:retrievedFrom [uri]

Next week's meeting will be rescheduled due to folk travelling

Just been chatting to Stian about prior versions. pav:previousVersion should only point to a single previous resource to create a lineage of resources. prov:specialisationOf is the suitable predicate, see the BBC news page example.