

PNG WG Meeting Minutes

Jun 13th, 2022

Attendees:

(Alphabetical order, black text means attended, red text means missed.)

Chris Blume

Chris Lilley

Chris Seeger

Leonard Rosenthol

Pierre-Anthony Lemieux

Topics & Minutes:

- Should we update iCCP to be ICC v4?
 - UTF-8 not strictly required for a new chunk. A BOM can be added. But that can be discussed later. It may be a problem for some handlers.
 - We all agree (especially since this is already done in practice) the iCCP chunk can be updated to ICC v4.
- Should we add iCCM for iccMAX?
 - Delay until there is user need.
- Should we delay iCCM until the next spec edition?
 - Delay until there is user need.
- CS: Should we reconsider anything now that ICC can contain CICP data?
- PAL: We should stick with what we have as a clear path forward.
- CL: It is unclear why an ICC path should stop doing ICC and start doing CICP.
- PAL: To get to CR we only need to promise we'll have tests. To exist CR we need the tests.
- CL: There is currently one APNG test with comments that more tests should be added for things like disposal methods. Browsers already support

Action Items:

- CB: Update iCCN chunk to be part of iCCP.
- CL: There are references to ICC.2 (both ISO and ICC) which need to be pulled out.
- CB: Fix internal links to use ReSpec formatting ([GitHub issue #122](#))
- PAL: Fix links to definitions to use ReSpec formatting ([GitHub issue #123](#)) and remove hard-coded section numbers ([GitHub issue #106](#))
- CL: Use proper bibliographic references ([GitHub issue #82](#))