

PNG WG Meeting Minutes

July 11th, 2022

Attendees:

(Alphabetical order, black text means attended, **red text** means missed.)

Chris Blume

Chris Lilley

Chris Seeger

Leonard Rosenthol

Pierre-Anthony Lemieux

Topics & Minutes:

- [GitHub issue 126](#) – Should we have explicitly defined behavior instead of leaving ambiguity now that we know there are real world images with problems?
 - CB: Discarding the entire image seems heavy-handed. For a critical chunk, perhaps error the entire image. But for a non-critical chunk, it should discard the entire chunk.
 - PAL: I agree. So long as there is justification.
 - CL: Agreed.
 - CB: I will go through the history to see if anyone previously suggested solutions. I'll update the GitHub issue with what I find.
- [GitHub issue 136](#)
 - PAL: There is not universal BOM support in tooling. If a user copies and pastes, they'll see something different. And different tools may change behavior when a BOM is encountered.
 - CB: Agreed.
 - We're not going to allow UTF BOM. There are already separate chunks to handle UTF separately from Latin-1. We will continue with that approach as existing Latin-1 chunks need UTF equivalents.
- We went through all GitHub issues to mark anything that must be completed before we publish the first working draft. These issues are now all marked with "[blocking-3rd-edition-wd](#)". Once closed we will publish the first working draft.
- Next meeting we will talk about GitHub issues [11](#) & [12](#)

AI

- [GitHub issue 90](#) assigned to Chris Lilley
- [GitHub issue 84](#) assigned to Chris Lilley

- Chris Blume to assign the internal reference issues (with previous work approach explained) to Pierre-Anthony Lemieux (GitHub issues [122](#) and [123](#)).
- Chris Blume to work on [ReSpec table handling](#) and update [PNG spec tables](#)
- Chris Blume to investigate [GitHub issue 69](#) and see if it was closed by [GitHub issue 136](#)