OWL 1.1: Tractable Fragments

Bernardo Cuenca Grau

University of Oxford

December 7, 2007

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Motivation

Important to design a variant of OWL that is easier to understand, use and reason with

OWL DL and Full are rich&complex languages

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Motivation

Important to design a variant of OWL that is easier to understand, use and reason with

- OWL DL and Full are rich&complex languages
- reasoning is undecidable for OWL Full and of high worst-case complexity for OWL DL

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Motivation

Important to design a variant of OWL that is easier to understand, use and reason with

- OWL DL and Full are rich&complex languages
- reasoning is undecidable for OWL Full and of high worst-case complexity for OWL DL

Design Problems

Complex interactions between constructs in OWL:

disallowed constructs can still be (indirectly) expressed:

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Motivation

Important to design a variant of OWL that is easier to understand, use and reason with

- OWL DL and Full are rich&complex languages
- reasoning is undecidable for OWL Full and of high worst-case complexity for OWL DL

Design Problems

Complex interactions between constructs in OWL:

disallowed constructs can still be (indirectly) expressed:

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

OWL Lite is almost OWL DL!

Motivation

Important to design a variant of OWL that is easier to understand, use and reason with

- OWL DL and Full are rich&complex languages
- reasoning is undecidable for OWL Full and of high worst-case complexity for OWL DL

Design Problems

Complex interactions between constructs in OWL:

- disallowed constructs can still be (indirectly) expressed:
- OWL Lite is almost OWL DL!

Lesson learnt:

designing good fragments is hard and requires research

The Document

Describes fragments of OWL 1.1, which:

are the result of years of research



The Document

Describes fragments of OWL 1.1, which:

- are the result of years of research
- have "nice" computational properties

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

The Document

Describes fragments of OWL 1.1, which:

- are the result of years of research
- have "nice" computational properties

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

are already supported by tools

The Document

Describes fragments of OWL 1.1, which:

- are the result of years of research
- have "nice" computational properties

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- are already supported by tools
- cover most existing ontologies

The Document

Describes fragments of OWL 1.1, which:

- are the result of years of research
- have "nice" computational properties
- are already supported by tools
- cover most existing ontologies

Goals

suggest possible fixes to OWL Lite

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The Document

Describes fragments of OWL 1.1, which:

- are the result of years of research
- have "nice" computational properties
- are already supported by tools
- cover most existing ontologies

Goals

- suggest possible fixes to OWL Lite
- inform the OWL community about recent research results

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

The Document

Describes fragments of OWL 1.1, which:

- are the result of years of research
- have "nice" computational properties
- are already supported by tools
- cover most existing ontologies

Goals

- suggest possible fixes to OWL Lite
- inform the OWL community about recent research results

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

help users & tool designers

<ロ> <局> < 三> < 三> < 三> < 三> のへの

Motivation

TBox reasoning (subsumption) in large ontologies



Motivation

TBox reasoning (subsumption) in large ontologies

Ontologies

Many large bio-medical ontologies captured by $\mathcal{EL}++:$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- SNOMED
- NCI
- (Large parts of) GALEN

Motivation

TBox reasoning (subsumption) in large ontologies

Ontologies

Many large bio-medical ontologies captured by $\mathcal{EL} + +$:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- SNOMED
- NCI
- (Large parts of) GALEN

Computational Properties

subsumption is in PTIME

Motivation

TBox reasoning (subsumption) in large ontologies

Ontologies

Many large bio-medical ontologies captured by $\mathcal{EL} + +$:

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- SNOMED
- NCI
- (Large parts of) GALEN

Computational Properties

subsumption is in PTIME

Tool Support

specialised reasoner: CEL

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 めぬぐ

Motivation

- reasoning with large number of instances
- capture database schemas and UML diagrams

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Motivation

- reasoning with large number of instances
- capture database schemas and UML diagrams

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Ontologies

Database schemas translated into OWL

Motivation

- reasoning with large number of instances
- capture database schemas and UML diagrams

Ontologies

Database schemas translated into OWL

Computational Properties

 query answering can be performed using database technology

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Motivation

- reasoning with large number of instances
- capture database schemas and UML diagrams

Ontologies

Database schemas translated into OWL

Computational Properties

 query answering can be performed using database technology

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Tool Support Specialised reasoner: Quonto

Motivation

Design of a fragment that

 covers most of the intersection between horn logic and OWL DL

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

is deterministic and has low data complexity

Motivation

Design of a fragment that

 covers most of the intersection between horn logic and OWL DL

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

is deterministic and has low data complexity

Ontologies

SNOMED

Motivation

Design of a fragment that

 covers most of the intersection between horn logic and OWL DL

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

is deterministic and has low data complexity

Ontologies

- SNOMED
- NCI

Motivation

Design of a fragment that

- covers most of the intersection between horn logic and OWL DL
- is deterministic and has low data complexity

Ontologies

- SNOMED
- NCI
- GALEN

Computational Properties

EXPTIME TBox complexity and PTIME data complexity

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

$\text{Horn-}\mathcal{SHIQ}$

Motivation

Design of a fragment that

- covers most of the intersection between horn logic and OWL DL
- is deterministic and has low data complexity

Ontologies

- SNOMED
- NCI
- GALEN

Computational Properties

EXPTIME TBox complexity and PTIME data complexity

Tool Support

reasoner: KAON2

Other Fragments

DLP

Same motivation as Horn- \mathcal{SHIQ} , but the result was a bit more "hacky"

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

RDFS Fragment of OWL DL

RDF-Schema plus "DL restrictions" imposed by OWL DL

Relationships between fragments

The described fragments overlap



Things to Decide

Do we want to fix OWL Lite?



Things to Decide

Do we want to fix OWL Lite? If so:

- select a single fragment?
- offer a "menu" of fragments?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Do we want to fix OWL Lite? If so:

- select a single fragment?
- offer a "menu" of fragments?

Do we want "semantic" subsets of OWL-Full? If so:

do we care about their computational properties?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Do we want to fix OWL Lite? If so:

- select a single fragment?
- offer a "menu" of fragments?

Do we want "semantic" subsets of OWL-Full? If so:

do we care about their computational properties?

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

do we care about compatibility issues?