



### My discussion on STANDARD NNBSMONGOLIAN MODEL:

1. What is the NNBSM definition? According to Unicode definition, it is Narrow No-Break Space, narrow form of a no-break space, typically the width of a thin space or a mid space.
2. If we use it as Mongolian Suffix Joiner (no-break space), we should add the definition into the Unicode standard. In the case, all of system or developer will pay their attention to this is used in Mongolian and have some special definition not just a narrow no-break space.
3. When we use NNBSM in Mongolian, we should include the usage of the Character.
  - ① Is it only used for Mongolian Suffix? If so, can we define full collection of the Mongolian Suffix? Or We just consider the followed word after NNBSM is suffix ?
  - ② Is it can be used as other purpose in Mongolian like other Language. For example, we just use NNBSM as a "Narrow no-break Space", it can be used as connection of two words we do not want to separate them to two line or just want handle the two word as one word.



In this case, it is better to consider our NNBSM model will not impact our normal word forms. It is just impact the specific Mongolian Suffix.

Almas Font s are considered this possibility and our Font handle the Suffix only have been changed their first letter in special rule (Suffix Rule) and other followed normal words will not be changed the first letter.

For example:

Our Font shows like bellow

ᠠᠨᠢ ᠰᠢ -- (1828+1823+182E+NNBSM+1824+1828)

ᠠᠨᠢ ᠰᠢᠨᠠᠨᠢ -- (1828+1823+182E+NNBSM+1824+1837+1820+182C+1824)

But Mongolian Baiti shows this like bellow

ᠠᠨᠢ ᠰᠢ -- (1828+1823+182E+NNBSM+1824+1828)



ᠠᠨᠢ ᠰᠢᠨᠠᠨᠢ -- (1828+1823+182E+NNBSM+1824+1837+1820+182C+1824)

**I would like to ask all members to consider remaining this possibility for the further usages. The other languages are using this character as this kind of usage as its definition.**

## My discussion on MONGOLIAN NNBS-CONNECTED SUFFIXES:

1. I have checked the DS05 listed Mongolian Suffixes list. It is covered most of the Mongolian Suffix well, compared with the our suffixes list find a little bit difference. For example, we included like bellow

ᠠᠨᠢᠨᠪᠰᠢᠨᠠᠨᠢᠨᠪᠰᠢᠨ



But I think it is Ok for us if we list out the irregular first letter writing suffixes, it is enough for this Model document. Others will follow the normal word glyph rendering rules applied.

2. For the listed suffixes, would you please list out the Unicode in the Notes. Because, we find there significant difference in the encoding some of the suffixes. For example, we find following encoding difference

ᠠᠨᠢᠨᠪᠰᠢᠨ



NNBSP + 1822 + 1828

NNBSP + 1822 + 1822 + 1828

NNBSP + 1835 + 1822 + 1828

NNBSP + 1836 + 1822 + 1828

We should define which is the correct encoding.

3. For my personal consideration is that we just define the listed suffixes encoding rule and not impact other words. Therefore we will not define the rule of single character followed by NNBS.