W3C WAI – Topics for Web Accessibility Presentations (continued) – Part 3

<https://www.w3.org/WAI/training/Overview.html>

TOPIC 14 – Web Accessibility and Older People

Goal: I would prefer to amend this goal a little to incorporate the idea of the aging population, and the growing need to address this segment’s needs.

Audience: okay

Description: okay

What this topic covers: okay

Resources for developing a presentation

Sample presentation: [Web Accessibility for Older Users presentation](http://www.w3.org/WAI/presentations/ageing/) – last updated September 2010 – probably outdated as it introduces and reference the WAI-AGE project and a link to a literature review from 2008 – this presentation will probably need to be re-developed to reflect current statistics

Primary Resources:

* [Web Accessibility and Older People: Meeting the Needs of Ageing Web Users](http://www.w3.org/WAI/older-users/) – updated 2010 – needs to be updated, particularly because it references the WAI-Age project
* [Overview of "Web Accessibility for Older Users: A Literature Review"](http://www.w3.org/WAI/intro/wai-age-literature) – last updated 2008 – references the WAI-AGE project. A literature review from 2008 may not have sufficient relevance including current research
* [WAI-AGE Project](http://www.w3.org/WAI/WAI-AGE/) – last update 2012 – note at the top that the project ended 30 September 2010, so this resource should probably b e removed. There is a link to the <http://www.w3.org/WAI/older-users/> but as this was also updated in 2010, it is probably also in dire need of updating

Handouts: - WCAG 2 at a glance, which should be replaced with ‘quick ref’

Suggestions for speakers:

* Demonstration – says ‘show some videos…’ and a link, which could instead link to the perspectives videos – especially if there is one with older users (haven’t checked)
* Activity – show some simulations – that is okay, but a video would be good

## TOPIC 15 – Accessibility and the mobile web

Goal: think this should be updated due to the fact that PWD are relying on mobile devices now

Audience: okay

Description: would prefer a re-write to incorporate the goal above

That this topic covers: this we might need to re-write this as it makes a greater differentiation between web and mobile that we are now seeing – to many users mobile is web, and this note makes a greater distinction – we are seeing a convergence so that whether people use a mobile device or a desk top device, they expect the same interaction and information

Resources for developing a presentation

Primary resources:

* [Mobile Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/) - summarizes existing and developing resources related to mobile accessibility – seems outdated as it is referencing WAI task forces etc. on mobile. – however links to a 2017 source and also ‘potential new WCAG success criteria and techniques’ – is this information available, or is it coming in 2.1?
* [Web Content Accessibility and Mobile Web: Making a Web Site Accessible Both for People with Disabilities and for Mobile Devices](http://www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/overlap.html) – the top of this page indicates to go to [www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/](http://www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/) for more current information, so presume it would be better to have that as a target than this page which was last updated 2012
* [Shared Web Experiences: Barriers Common to Mobile Device Users and People with Disabilities](http://www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/experiences) – note at the top says it was developed in 2008 and most is still relevant but to go to [www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/](http://www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/) for more current information – so I’m not sure if should keep this or just the new resource?
* [Relationship between Mobile Web Best Practices (MWBP) and Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG)](http://www.w3.org/TR/mwbp-wcag/) - technical report describing the similarities and differences between the requirements in WCAG and MWBP – links to the Working Group note dated 2009 – is this still relevant?
* [From MWBP to WCAG 2.0](http://www.w3.org/TR/mwbp-wcag/mwbp-wcag20) (and [From MWBP to WCAG 1.0)](http://www.w3.org/TR/mwbp-wcag/mwbp-wcag10) - outlines what also needs to be done to meet WCAG for those familiar with MWBP – again a working group note dated July 2009 – question whether this is still relevant
* [From WCAG 2.0 to MWBP](http://www.w3.org/TR/mwbp-wcag/wcag20-mwbp) (and [From WCAG 1.0 to MWBP](http://www.w3.org/TR/mwbp-wcag/wcag10-mwbp)) - outlines what also needs to be done to meet MWBP for those familiar with WCAG – same as above
* [Mobile Web Best Practices 1.0](http://www.w3.org/TR/mobile-bp/) - technical report specifying Best Practices for delivering web content to mobile devices – this is probably outdated – 2008. Is there a replacement document for this??
* [Mobile Web Application Best Practices](http://www.w3.org/TR/mwabp/) – W3C recommendation December 2010 – is this still relevant?

Handouts –

* Mobile web best practices flipcards. – can’t see a date for this resource – don’t know how relevant it still is
* WCAG 2.0 at a glance – change to the quick ref link as this still goes to the old WCAG 2 at a glance resource

Suggestions for speakers:

* Discussion: refers to a resource: [www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/experiences](http://www.w3.org/WAI/mobile/experiences) which compares different criteria to the MWBP document – check for currency and applicability
* Refers to the IndieUI document: updated 2014 – check for currency – not familiar with this document

## TOPIC 16 – Web Accessibility Policy and Legislation

Goal: okay

Audience: okay

What this topic covers: okay

Resources for developing a presentation

Primary resources:

* [Legal and Policy Factors in Developing a Web Accessibility Business Case for Your Organization](http://www.w3.org/WAI/bcase/pol) - provides guidance on addressing legal and policy factors in a business case for Web accessibility – updated 2012 from a 2005 document. – seems okay, but links will need to be checked and updated – probably also best to replace some of them with more current material.
* [UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) ](http://www.un.org/disabilities/default.asp?navid=12&pid=150)- ratified by many countries and specifically includes accessibility of the Internet and other information and communications technology (ICT) – ‘page not found’ error
* International [Policies Relating to Web Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/Policy/) - links to laws and policies regarding Web accessibility around the world (not definitive) – updated 2017 – should be okay but I think others are working on this section?
* [Why Standards Harmonization is Essential to Web Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/Policy/harmon) - explains the key role that harmonization of standards plays in increasing the accessibility of the Web – very text-heavy page published in 2002 with minor updates only in 2011 to just reflect change to WCAG 2.0 but no other changes – everything on this page needs to be reviewed due to its age
* [Developing Organizational Policies on Web Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/impl/pol) - addresses considerations that can arise when developing organizational policies on Web accessibility – updated 2016 from a 2002 document. Should be okay if it was a full update, but this needs to be checked further

Suggestions for speakers: should be okay, but links need to be updated

## TOPIC 17 – Quick Check for Web Accessibility

Goal: okay

Audience okay, but maybe include some examples e.g. an in-house developer who wants to see if what they are working meets requirements

Description: okay

What this topic covers: okay

Resources for developing a presentation

Primary Resources:

* [Preliminary Review of Web Sites for Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/preliminary) - describes a method to quickly identify some accessibility problems on a website – link leads to ‘Easy Checks’ so the link should probably be re-named to avoid confusion – content is fine and is already being looked at by group
* [Selecting Web Accessibility Evaluation Tools](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/selectingtools) - highlights different features of evaluation tools which can assist during evaluation reviews - not updated since 2005, but there are more current resources such as the list of automated tools – put in current resources here and remove this one
* [Web Accessibility Evaluation Tools](http://www.w3.org/WAI/ER/tools/) - filterable list of Web accessibility evaluation tools – this is the new 2016 resource which is regularly being updated and enables filtering
* [Involving Users in Evaluating Web Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/users) - describes the benefits of evaluating with real people and identifying usability issues that are not discovered by conformance evaluation alone – referred to previously, last updated August 2010 and should be reviewed. There are lots of links here and they all need checking to ensure we are using the most current.
* [Better Web Browsing: Tips for Configuring Your Computer](http://www.w3.org/WAI/users/browsing) - references to resources to help people customize their web browser and computer setup – still in Draft format, but dated 2010 – the page has dozens of links, and because of browser updates, all of these need to be reviewed.

Suggestions for speakers: okay, but could be updated to include more appealing activities

## TOPIC 18 – Conformance Evaluation for Web Accessibility

Goal: I’m uncertain on this one – would everyone reading this know what a conformance review was? This might need clarification

What this topic covers: okay – but we should add understanding WCAG EM and the reporting tool

Resources for developing a presentation

Primary resources:

* [Conformance Evaluation of Web Sites for Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/conformance) - describes a conformance evaluation method that combines automatic, semi-automatic, and manual testing – points to the launch page for WCAG-EM so the link should perhaps be renamed – material in the content of that page is fine though – updated 2016
* [Evaluation Approaches for Specific Contexts](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/considerations) - describes considerations for evaluation of large and complex websites – not updated since 2005, but it appears that it has had some work. However, the template is to WCAG 1.0 – so it seems like it has been partially updated, but the date not changed.
* [Using Combined Expertise to Evaluate Web Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/reviewteams) - describes the diverse kinds of expertise and perspectives required to evaluate the accessibility of web content – not updated since 2002 – will need major re-write
* [Selecting Web Accessibility Evaluation Tools](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/selectingtools) - highlights different features of evaluation tools which can assist during evaluation reviews – not updated since 2005
* [Web Accessibility Evaluation Tools](http://www.w3.org/WAI/ER/tools/) - filterable list of Web accessibility evaluation tools – new resource – is fine
* [How to Meet WCAG 2.0](http://www.w3.org/WAI/WCAG20/quickref/) - a customizable quick reference to WCAG 2.0 requirements and techniques – current resource with filters – fine as it is
* [Involving Users in Evaluating Web Accessibility](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/users) - describes the benefits from evaluating with real people and identifying usability issues that are not discovered by conformance evaluation alone – updated 2010 – will require an update
* [Template for Accessibility Evaluation Reports](http://www.w3.org/WAI/eval/template) (refers to WCAG 1.0, but applicable to WCAG 2.0); see also the reports from [Before and After Demonstration](http://www.w3.org/WAI/demos/bad/) – should also refer to WCAG-EM – due to the date it needs a major update
* [[Draft] Website Accessibility Conformance Evaluation Methodology 1.0](http://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG-EM/) - a methodology for evaluating the conformance of websites to WCAG 2.0 – very outdated link, but this was finished in 2014 – this isn’t a draft, so the link name needs to be changed

Handouts – to ‘Including people with disabilities in design studies’ link to old resource last updated 2009 – this handout isn’t appropriate and needs updating

Suggestions for speakers: activity points to the Before/After demo, activity also okay, and tip. However I think we can provide more interesting-sounding activities. This is basically a copy of some of the others, and doesn’t really good sufficient direction for someone preparing a presentation.