

Status #cogaX

#coga: (no topic set)

[20:30] == rashmi [~rashmi@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:30] == Becca\_Monteleone [~Becca\_Monteleone@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:30] == JMcSorley [~JMcSorley@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:31] <Becca\_Monteleone> present+

[20:31] <JMcSorley> present+ Jan

[20:31] == Eric\_hind [~Eric\_hind@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:31] == Jennie [~Jennie@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:31] <Jennie> present+

[20:31] <lisa> next item

[20:31] \* Zakim thinks agendum 1 -- rminder calls: see <https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/public-cognitive-a11y-tf/2024Mar/0022.html> -- taken up [from lisa]

[20:31] <Becca\_Monteleone> scribe+ Becca\_Monteleone

[20:32] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Reminder for Github Editor training call tomorrow, 7 March

[20:33] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Added training section to main COGA wiki page, including link to IRC training, Github for editors, Github for members

[20:33] == jeanne\_ec [~jeanne\_ec@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:33] <jeanne\_ec> present+

[20:33] <rashmi> present+

[20:34] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Github for Editors training includes key terms and processes for on- and offline editing

[20:35] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Github for Members training page links to AG primer, as well as key terms for basic processes

[20:36] == tburtin [~tburtin@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:36] <tburtin> present+

[20:37] == kirkwood [~kirkwood@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #COGA

[20:37] <Becca\_Monteleone> Github Editor training tomorrow, 7 March, EST 9:00 AM CET 2:00 PM Uk 1:00 PM Israel 3:00 PM

[20:37] <JMcSorley> Can we record the call tomorrow so that we have that available for review later?

[20:37] <lisa> Q?

[20:37] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[20:37] <Eric\_hind> present+

[20:38] <jeanne\_ec> +1 for the recording

[20:38] <kirkwood> present+

[20:38] <lisa> present+

[20:38] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Will try to record tomorrow

[20:38] == Frankie [~Frankie@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:38] <lisa> next item

[20:38] \* Zakim thinks agendum 2 -- Please look at Supported Decision Making - Issue Paper . Is there a section you want to work on? We will go over  the structure next week (hopefully)  . See

[20:38] \* Zakim ... <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1g9N-_3l-d6t0ppZcIIZ98k00x7ZE7h3ayq0OCX9Ycu4/edit#heading=h.rhs6n8lpznbz> -- taken up [from lisa]

[20:40] == EA [~EA@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has joined #coga

[20:40] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Last week voted to make separate issue paper on supported decision-making. Lisa has started to compile elements of this paper from other documents and draft a structure.

[20:40] <EA> Present+

[20:41] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Created "to-do" tags on the document so people can contribute small sections at a time

[20:42] <lisa> Q?

[20:42] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[20:42] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Will review structure next week.

[20:42] <lisa> next item

[20:42] \* Zakim thinks agendum 3 -- Review mental health propsal at <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1K4tI9SV4lsDXzCdaEAXExeL3f061__Sy06lfGV9mLvY/edit> . do we want to recommend more or less

[20:42] \* Zakim ... levels in a site, . Current proposal is not make a change or recommend site depth, but we want to bring up again with larger group -- taken up [from lisa]

[20:44] <lisa> q+

[20:44] \* Zakim sees lisa on the speaker queue

[20:45] <lisa> ack lisa

[20:45] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[20:45] <Becca\_Monteleone> Rashmi: New proposal #13: Findability. Suggesting change in text re: appropriate type of hierarchy

[20:46] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: This suggestion comes from a lot of research in mental health about findability. There is conflicting research about deep vs. flat hierarchies

[20:47] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: current pattern 4.3.2 does not make reference to how deep a hierarchy should be

[20:48] <lisa> q?

[20:48] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[20:48] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Recommendation is to suggest testing with users based on the site's content

[20:49] <Becca\_Monteleone> John: Suggest focusing on methods for making things more findability (e.g. in-line search) rather than only focusing on hierarchy - since how flat or how deep is findable depends on individual cognitive load.

[20:50] <Becca\_Monteleone> John: There are conflicting access needs, so pointing to tools for finding vs. how to chunk seems important

[20:51] <tburtin> q+

[20:51] \* Zakim sees tburtin on the speaker queue

[20:51] <Becca\_Monteleone> John will look at current pattern on findability

[20:52] <lisa> <https://www.w3.org/TR/coga-usable/#make-the-site-hierarchy-easy-to-understand-and-navigate-pattern>

[20:52] <lisa> <https://www.w3.org/TR/coga-usable/#objective-2-help-users-find-what-they-need-0>

[20:52] <JMcSorley> q+

[20:52] \* Zakim sees tburtin, JMcSorley on the speaker queue

[20:52] <lisa> ack next

[20:52] \* Zakim sees tburtin at the head of the speaker queue

[20:52] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Do we also need to recommend site maps?

[20:52] \* Zakim sees JMcSorley on the speaker queue

[20:54] <Becca\_Monteleone> Tiffany: Utilizing multiple ways to navigate raises concerns about wording - on flat pages, can still be hard to find the correct information even with headings and find function

[20:55] <lisa> ack next

[20:55] \* Zakim sees JMcSorley at the head of the speaker queue

[20:55] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[20:55] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Can Tiffany and John come to next Mental Health subgroup to create a new proposal?

[20:56] <Becca\_Monteleone> Jan: has research explored utility of user being able to convert a modular design to a flat design? Making sure semantic markup follows - also interested in discussing the research on this

[20:56] <JMcSorley> q-

[20:56] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[20:58] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Current proposal does already reference redundant ways of finding content, which addresses some of these concerns

[20:59] <Becca\_Monteleone> Rashmi: research says that deeper hierarchy it is easier for users to go back; users more likely to get lost in flatter hierarchy

[20:59] <rashmi> Research tracking doc <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1eKNrofGubnpQE6mO-vxmI-1MW5nA2LDj0LxebREPRGA/edit?resourcekey#gid=1686096268>

[21:00] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: reviewing current research, there is not consensus, which led subgroup to think it is more about the content.

[21:01] <lisa> proposal 8

[21:01] <lisa> <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1K4tI9SV4lsDXzCdaEAXExeL3f061__Sy06lfGV9mLvY/edit#heading=h.lhdm88jj5cym>

[21:03] <Becca\_Monteleone> Rashmi: revised proposal 8 - 8.1 recommends making it easy to go to starting directly. 8.2 deals with multistep processes.

[21:05] <lisa> Q?

[21:05] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[21:06] <Becca\_Monteleone> John: question the word "starting point" for 8.1 instead of "homepage." Starting point more relevant for process (8.2)

[21:07] <Jennie> q+

[21:07] \* Zakim sees Jennie on the speaker queue

[21:07] <Jennie> q-

[21:07] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[21:07] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Will replace starting point with home page in 8.1

[21:09] <Becca\_Monteleone> Rashmi: reviewing proposed pattern 8.2: <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1K4tI9SV4lsDXzCdaEAXExeL3f061__Sy06lfGV9mLvY/edit#heading=h.z6oo3zn072u6>

[21:10] <lisa> Q?

[21:10] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[21:10] <Becca\_Monteleone> John: Getting back to first step or just where you were in the process?

[21:10] <lisa> proposal

[21:10] <lisa>  8.1: Make it easy to return/go directly to home page of a site or sub- site.

[21:11] <lisa> +1 is agree, 0 more time, -1 real issue

[21:11] <lisa> +1

[21:11] <Eric\_hind> +1

[21:11] <Becca\_Monteleone> +1

[21:11] <kirkwood> +1

[21:11] <jeanne\_ec> +1

[21:11] <EA> +1

[21:11] <Frankie> +1

[21:11] <Jennie> +1

[21:11] <rashmi> +1

[21:11] <tburtin> I have questions about the wording.

[21:12] <Becca\_Monteleone> Tiffany: language around "returning to a subpage" is not clear to me.

[21:13] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Subsite in 8.1 refers to different homepages nested in a larger site (e.g. departments in a university). Both should be clear to navigate to.

[21:15] <Becca\_Monteleone> Tiffany: wording around clear menu navigation or page navigation?

[21:16] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Already covered in content usable Objective 2

[21:16] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: This new proposed objective specifically calls out homepage and/or first step

[21:18] <Becca\_Monteleone> Tiffany: The word subsite is still not clear in 8.1. Sounds like these are major navigation sections.

[21:18] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: Call for suggestions for a clearer term to differentiate

[21:19] <Becca\_Monteleone> Tiffany: major navigation page, landing page?

[21:19] <Jennie> q+

[21:19] \* Zakim sees Jennie on the speaker queue

[21:19] <Becca\_Monteleone> Jan: Landing page and home page are the same to me.

[21:20] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: We are trying to call attention to when there is a new template for a specific section of a site.

[21:20] <EA> "A landing page is a standalone web page that a person "lands" on after clicking through from an email, ad, or other digital location" <https://mailchimp.com/marketing-glossary/landing-pages>   Seems to have several meanings!

[21:20] <rashmi> q+

[21:20] \* Zakim sees Jennie, rashmi on the speaker queue

[21:21] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: want to be able to recommend not only going to homepage of website, but first page of specific section

[21:22] <lisa> ack next

[21:22] \* Zakim sees Jennie at the head of the speaker queue

[21:22] \* Zakim sees rashmi on the speaker queue

[21:23] <Becca\_Monteleone> Jennie: one place this comes up was the employment section of an organization's website. Like "relevant landing page" but maybe add additional explanation - like "for a major section" or "a group of webpages"

[21:23] <JMcSorley> maybe "section landing pages?"

[21:23] <JMcSorley> I think the use of the term "relevant" might be confusing

[21:24] <Jennie> ack Jennie

[21:24] \* Zakim sees rashmi on the speaker queue

[21:24] <Becca\_Monteleone> Jennie: make a note that we should use the same language as WCAG 3

[21:24] <kirkwood> i like: a landing page of a section of a site

[21:25] <lisa> q?

[21:25] \* Zakim sees rashmi on the speaker queue

[21:25] <JMcSorley> +1 to John's wording on landing page

[21:26] <Becca\_Monteleone> Rashmi: some confusion around "landing page" for when you go to a page through an external link.

[21:26] <Jennie> q+

[21:26] \* Zakim sees rashmi, Jennie on the speaker queue

[21:26] <JMcSorley> I agree with Rashmi - the use of the term landing page can be the same as a home page

[21:26] <Becca\_Monteleone> Lisa: will define landing page.

[21:27] <lisa> ack next

[21:27] \* Zakim sees rashmi at the head of the speaker queue

[21:27] \* Zakim sees Jennie on the speaker queue

[21:27] <lisa> ack next

[21:27] \* Zakim sees Jennie at the head of the speaker queue

[21:27] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[21:27] <Jennie> ack Jennie

[21:27] \* Zakim sees no one on the speaker queue

[21:27] <Becca\_Monteleone> Jennie: to a user, landing page is the place they arrived at; to a designer, it may be different because of site design.

[21:28] <Jennie> \* Rashmi - I am glad you brought up that perspective.

[21:28] <tburtin> +1 Jennie I recognize balancing the definition between user and developer/designer.

[21:28] <lisa> Make it easy to return/go directly to the home page of a site or relevant  landing page of a set of Web pages. (to change with wcag

[21:28] <lisa> +1 is agree, 0 more time, -1 real issue

[21:28] <tburtin> +1

[21:28] <Eric\_hind> +1

[21:29] <Frankie> +1

[21:29] <kirkwood> +1

[21:29] <Becca\_Monteleone> +1

[21:29] <JMcSorley> +1

[21:29] <Jennie> +1

[21:29] <Becca\_Monteleone> I need to leave for another meeting - sorry!

[21:29] == Becca\_Monteleone [~Becca\_Monteleone@c080ddbb.public.cloak] has quit ["Page closed"]

[21:29] <lisa> New Pattern 8.2: Allow the user to  return directly to the starting point of a multistep process

[21:29] <lisa> +1 is agree, 0 more time, -1 real issue

[21:30] <tburtin> +1

[21:30] <lisa> +1

[21:30] <Eric\_hind> +1

[21:30] <rashmi> +1

[21:30] <JMcSorley> +1

[21:31] <EA> Trying to catch up but I think +1

[21:32] <kirkwood> +1

[21:32] <lisa> john thinks it is ok, but we need to look at objective 2 all together as a draft

[21:33] <lisa> RRSAgent, publish minutes

[21:33] <RRSAgent> I have made the request to generate <https://www.w3.org/2024/03/11-coga-minutes.html> lisa
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