

# Choices

Sept 2004

WSDL F2F, Toronto

# URIs

- Move component URIs to this spec?
- Component type and property URIs
  - CamelCase
    - MessageReference (class)
    - messageReferences (property)
  - Escaping?
    - Message%20Reference
    - message%20references
    - {message%20references}

# Definitions

- targetNamespace
  - Not a component property (at the moment)
  - Should identify the Definitions individual?
    - Discussion from last time
    - Definitions component == WSDL document?
    - Should update C.1?
      - Is a Definitions a namespace?
  - Should have an explicit property as well?

# Definitions (II)

- Not yet figured out how to handle element and type declarations
- Still brutal to model XML Schema components
  - But Arthur makes me feel guilty
  - But probably not *that* guilty
- We have no declaration components
  - Unless I missed something
  - (Not worth adding, FWIW)

# {message exchange pattern}

- 2.4.1...{message exchange pattern} REQUIRED. A *wsdl:anyURI* identifying the message exchange pattern used by the operation. This URI MUST be an absolute URI (see [[IETF RFC 2396](#)]).
- Prefer that value be a member of MessageExchangePattern, possibly given by description only (no URI)
  - Slight deviation

# Interlude

- WSDL simple types
  - Not user derived types (so won't be covered by schema component designators)
  - **Question:** Need URIs for them
    - <http://www.w3.org/@ @ @ @ / @ @ /wsdl-simple-types#string> ?
  - (Reasoners, for example, will have to be specially extended; as will data value sensitive stores)

# RDF Extension

- Canonical extension element
  - Reuse rdf:RDF
  - SVGs Metadata?
  - Allow extensions to embedded rdf:RDF as well
  - Haven't worked out optional/required
- Processing model
  - Everything goes into a big bag o' triples
  - No constraints on legal terms
  - Allow OWL ontologies (which implicitly import each other)
  - Can directly define and add new components