Abstract

Semantics play an important role in (semi) automating the discovery of Web services, which is increasingly becoming a requirement in many domains including business process integration. The syntactic description of Web services written in Web Services Description Language (WSDL) format is not amenable to enabling such automation. Semantic Annotations for WSDL (SAWSDL) specification defines mechanisms using which semantic annotations can be added to WSDL components. These semantics when expressed in formal languages can help disambiguate the description of Web services. This makes it possible to automate Web service matching, composition and invocation.

SAWSDL does not specify a language for representing the semantic models e.g. ontologies. Instead it provides mechanisms by which concepts from the semantic models that are defined either within or outside the WSDL document can be referenced from within WSDL components as annotations.

Status of this Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document.

This document is an editors' copy produced by the Semantic Annotations for Web Services Description Language Working Group that has no official standing.
1. Introduction (Informative)

As the number of available Web Services on the Internet and in within companies expands, it becomes increasingly important to have automated tools to help identify the Web services that match a requester's requirements. Adding semantics to represent the requirements and capabilities of Web services in unambiguous and preferably machine interpretable languages is essential for achieving this automation during discovery and invocation. For example, during development, a service provider can explicate the intended semantics by annotating the appropriate parts of the Web service with concepts from a richer semantic model. Since semantic models provide agreement on semantics of terms, and may provide formal and informal definitions of the entities, there will be less ambiguity in the intended semantics of the provider. During discovery, a service requestor can describe the service requirements using terms from the semantic model. Reasoning techniques can be used to find the semantic similarity between the service
description and the request. During composition, these annotations can be used to aggregate the functionality of multiple services to create useful service compositions. Finally during invocation, mappings to the semantic models can be used for data transformations. Therefore, once represented, semantics can be leveraged by tools to automate service discovery, mediation, composition and monitoring.

While Web Services Description Language (WSDL) provides a standard way to describe the interfaces of a Web Service at a syntactic level and how to invoke it, it does not explicitly define mechanisms to add semantic annotations to WSDL components. Semantic Annotations for WSDL (SAWSDL) specification defines such mechanisms using which semantic annotations can be added to WSDL components. These semantics when expressed in formal languages (such as OWL [OWL]) can help disambiguate the description of Web services. This makes it possible to automate Web service matching, composition and invocation.

Specifically, SAWSDL defines extension attributes that can be applied to both WSDL elements and XML Schema elements to annotate various parts of WSDL document such as input and output messages, the interface, the operation, the simple types, and the complex types. For example, it defines a way to annotate WSDL interfaces, and operations with categorization information that can be used to publish a Web service in a registry. The annotations on inputs, outputs and schema types can be used during Web service matching and composition. In addition, SAWSDL defines an annotation mechanism for specifying the structural mapping of XML Schema types to and from an ontology which could used during invocation. Semantic annotations are references from an element within a WSDL or XML Schema document to a concept in a semantic model (alternatively an ontology) that is defined and maintained outside the WSDL document. SAWSDL does not prescribe any particular semantic representation language for representing these semantic models, or suggest hosting them directly in WSDL documents.

The extension attributes defined in this specification fit within the WSDL2.0 extensibility framework. The rest of the document describes the schema for the SAWSDL extension attributes and provide examples as appropriate to illustrate their usage.

This document is designed to be read by those interested in the technical details of SAWSDL. It is not particularly intended for the casual reader. The casual reader and the developers of semantic matching engines are suggested to read the SAWSDL Guide first.

1.1 Terminology

The following are the basic definitions for the terminology used in this document.

Semantics

Semantics in the scope of this specification refers to concepts in a domain and the relationships between those concepts.

Semantic Model
A semantic model usually includes concepts in the domain of interest, relationships among them, their properties, and their values. Usually this is described as an ontology that embodies some community agreement.

### Semantic Annotation

A semantic annotation is additional information in a document that identifies or defines the semantics of a part of that document. In SAWSDL, the semantic annotations are XML attributes added to a WSDL or associated XML Schema document. They establish the context of elements in WSDL document by referring to a part of a semantic model.

#### Concept

A concept is a semantic definition. When referring to a concept within a semantic model, this specification does not make an implicit statement about its type. A concept within a semantic model might be an instance, class or property.

### 1.2 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC2119 [RFC2119].

### 1.3 Namespaces

The XML namespace [XML Namespaces] URIs [URI] together with the prefixes as used by this specification are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Namespace name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sawsdl</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/sawsdl#">http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/sawsdl#</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsdl</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl">http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.4 Running Example

In order to illustrate the concepts of SAWSDL, later sections will use a purchase order Web service interface. This imaginary Web service expects as input a customer account number and a list of items to be ordered, each containing quantity information and a product identifier in form of a Universal Product Code (UPC). The service will return the status of the order, which can be either reject, accept or pending. The WSDL for this service is given below. Future sections illustrate how to add semantic annotations.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<wsdl:description

targetNamespace="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/wSDL/order#"
xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/wSDL/order#"
xmlns:wSDL="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wSDL"
```
The next section defines the extension attributes and the mechanisms using which semantic annotations can be added to WSDL components.

2. Annotation Mechanism

Conceptually, WSDL 2.0 has the following components to represent service descriptions: types, interface, operation, binding, service and endpoint. Of these, the first three, namely types, interface and operation, deal with the abstract definition of a service while the remaining three deal with service implementation. This specification focuses on semantically annotating the abstract definition of a service to enable dynamic discovery,
composition and invocation of services. This specification does not address the
annotation of service implementations. It provides URI reference mechanisms via
extensibility attributes. These are applied to WSDL type, interface and operation
components to point to the semantic constructs defined in domain models.

A summary of the extension attributes defined by SAWSDL is given below:

- an extension attribute, named `modelReference`, to specify the association
  between a WSDL component and a concept in some semantic model. It is used to
  annotate XSD complex type definition, simple type definition, element
  declaration, and attribute declaration as well as WSDL operations and interfaces.
- two extension attributes, namely `liftingSchemaMapping` and
  `loweringSchemaMapping`, that are added to XML Schema element declaration,
  complex type definitions and simple type definition for specifying mappings
  between semantic data and XML. These schema mappings can be used during
  invocation.

The semantic annotation mechanism defined by this specification does not rely on any
particular semantic modeling language. It only requires that the semantic concepts
defined in it be identifiable via URI references. The URLs typically refer to concepts in a
semantic model that is external to the WSDL document. However, the URLs can also
refer to elements within the WSDL document if semantic information is included in the
document via WSDL extension elements as shown below.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<wsdl:description ...
  <rdf:RDF
    xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
    xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
    xmlns:owl="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#"
    xml:base="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#"
  >
    <owl:Class rdf:ID="OrderRequest"/>
    <owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="has_items">
      <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="OrderRequest"/>
      <rdfs:range rdf:resource="Item"/>
    </owl:ObjectProperty>
    <owl:Class rdf:ID="Item"/>
  </rdf:RDF>

  <wsdl:types>
    ...
  </wsdl:types>

  <wsdl:interface name="Order">
    ...
  </wsdl:interface>
</wsdl:description>
```
SAWSDL allows multiple semantic annotations to be associated with WSDL elements. Both a schema mapping and a model reference can contain a list of pointers. The schema mappings are interpreted as alternatives whereas the model references all apply. SAWSDL does not specify any other relationship between them. More details about this are presented in the sections to follow.

### 2.1 SAWSDL Model Reference

This section defines the extension attribute `modelReference`. Conceptually, a model reference may be used with every element within WSDL. However, SAWSDL defines its meaning only for `wsdl:operation`, `wsdl:interface`, `xs:element`, `xs:complexType`, `xs:simpleType` and `xs:attribute`.

The following XML schema excerpt defines the `modelReference` attribute.

```xml
<xs:simpleType name="listOfAnyURI">
  <xs:list itemType="xs:anyURI"/>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:attribute name="modelReference" type="listOfAnyURI"/>
```

The value of a `modelReference` attribute is a list of one or more URIs, separated by whitespaces, that reference concepts in a semantic model. Each URI is a pointer to a concept in a semantic model and is intended to provide additional semantic information about the component of the WSDL document that is being annotated. Sections 2.1.1 through 2.1.6 provide examples for using `modelReferences` on interfaces, operations, simple types, complex types, elements and attributes respectively.

SAWSDL allows multiple annotations to be associated with WSDL components. These annotations could be pointing to concepts from the same model or from a different model. If latter, these models could be defined using the same semantic representation language or a different one. For SAWSDL they are all URIs. When a WSDL component is annotated with a `modelReference` that includes multiple URI references to the model, each of them applies to the component, but no logical relationship between them is defined by SAWSDL.

When used on an element that represents a WSDL 2.0 Component (e.g. `wsdl:interface`, `wsdl:operation`, top-level `xsd:element`, etc.), the `modelReference` extension attribute introduces an OPTIONAL property `{model reference}` whose value is a list of URIs taken from the value of the attribute. The absence of the `{model reference}` property is equal to its presence with an empty value.

SAWSDL does not define a particular way for dereferencing model references, i.e. it does not prescribe how a client processor can obtain the document in which the semantic model is defined. It is recommended that the URI used for pointing to a semantic concept...
resolves to a document containing its definition. If the semantic model is expressed using XML it could be placed directly within the WSDL document.

The modelReference annotations on xs:element, xs:complexType, xs:simpleType and xs:attribute define the semantics of the input or output of WSDL. A modelReference on a WSDL operation gives semantic information about that operation. One can use a modelReference on an interface and operation to associate preconditions and effects. A modelReference on a WSDL interface could be used as a service registry categorization annotation via referencing a classification scheme. The following subsections provide additional details for each one of these.

2.1.1 Annotating Interfaces With Model Reference

This section defines how a modelReference can be used to annotate interfaces, including how to categorize them according to some model. A modelReference on a WSDL interface component provides a reference to a concept or concepts in a semantic model that describe the interface. This specification describes specifically how to use modelReference to categorize the interface according to some taxonomy. The example below illustrates a categorization annotation on an interface.

```xml
<wsdl:interface name="Order"
saw:dl:modelReferences="http://example.org/categorizationSchemeA/products/electronics">
  ...
</wsdl:interface>
```

The modelReference in the above examples points to a category in the semantic model called 'categorizationSchemeA'. For taxonomies that are not expressed as part of a semantic model, the modelReference can point to a simple semantic model that contains the taxonomy reference information. This specification does not define such a categorization semantic model, but includes a non-normative example in Appendix D.

SAWSDL does not constrain the form of the semantic model for categorization or that of any other semantic model specified in a modelReference on an interface.

When an interface is defined by extending one or more interfaces, the model references of the extended interfaces all apply to the new interface. In this way, an interface can be categorized via the modelReferences of the interfaces it extends. Categorizations applied to other WSDL components, such as operations, are separate. SAWSDL defines no relationship between categorizations applied to other WSDL components.

A modelReference on an interface can also be used to specify preconditions and effects that are applicable at the level of that interface. Examples for using a modelReference to define preconditions and effects is given in the SAWSDL Guide [SAWSDL Guide].

2.1.2 Annotating Operations With Model Reference

One purpose for annotating services at the interface level is to help enable dynamic discovery. This is possible when services are published, cataloged and annotated with semantics. The modelReference mechanism adds categorization information to services which could be used while publishing services in registries such as Universal Description, Discovery and Integration (UDDI). Users can choose any categorization of their choice such as NAICS [NAICS], UNSPSC [UNSPSC] and GICS [GICS]. This aids in service discovery by narrowing the range of candidate services. The categorization can be used as input when the service is published in a UDDI registry since taxonomies supported by UDDI can be specified via a semantic model. Additionally, it can constitute the effective categorization when the service is made available via Web Services Inspection Language [WSIL] or some other solution-specific means. Service categorization is also aimed at supporting specialized taxonomies of middleware or utility services such as mediators. The category modelReference is used to ensure that there is basic and high-level categorization information about a service.
The example below illustrates how to use the `modelReference` attribute to annotate the `operation` element in the example introduced in Section 1.4. The annotation of the `operation` element carries a reference to a concept in a semantic model which provides a high level description of the operation. In the purchase order example, the `order` operation can be annotated using a class that represents a purchase order request operation. The annotation of the operation using the `modelReference` attribute is shown below.

```xml
<wsdl:operation name="order"
    pattern="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wSDL/in-out"
    sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#RequestPurchaseOrder">
    <wsdl:input element="OrderRequest"/>
    <wsdl:output element="OrderResponse"/>
</wsdl:operation>
```

Although inputs and outputs provide a logical way of capturing the semantics of an operation, a simple semantic annotation indicating the intended behavior of a given operation as a verb concept may be useful at certain times. During service discovery, this verb provides a coarse indication of whether this service is a match for a given request. That way, more detailed matching of inputs and outputs can be deferred until a reasonable match at the level of an operation is obtained thereby saving time during the matching of a given request with a large number of services.

Operations can also be annotated with category references. These are separate from any categorizations applied to other WSDL components. SAWSDL defines no relationships between categorizations applied to them.

Just as a `modelReference` on an interface can be used to associate preconditions and effects that apply at the interface level, a `modelReference` on an operation can be used to associate preconditions and effects that apply at an operation level. Examples for illustrating such usage of `modelReference` is provided in SAWSDL Guide [SAWSDL Guide].

### 2.1.3 Annotating Simple Types With Model Reference

Simple types can be annotated by including a `modelReference` on the `xs:simpleType` element. An example is shown below for the output of the `order` operation in the WSDL presented in section 1.4.

```xml
<xs:simpleType name="Confirmation"
    sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/order#OrderConfirmation">
```

Semantic models, including categorization taxonomies, may themselves specify propagation of their annotations from interface to operations, in which case any needed precedences rules would be defined by the model.
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### 2.1.4 Annotating Complex Types With Model Reference

Two of the principal techniques for annotating complex types can be summarized as follows:

- **Bottom Level Annotation**: Annotating at the member element or attribute level
- **Top Level Annotation**: Annotating at complex type container level

In the **bottom level annotation approach**, all the member elements and attributes in a complex type can be annotated. In some cases, the members of a complex type will correspond with the concepts in a semantic model. To accommodate this case, the member elements and attributes can be annotated by adding a `modelReference` attribute to the relevant schema element or attribute definitions. Bottom level annotation is formally defined under **Annotating Elements With Model Reference** and **Annotating Attributes With Model Reference**.

In the **top level annotation approach**, complex types themselves are annotated with a `modelReference`. If multiple concepts describe the complex type, all of their URIs can be included in the value of the `modelReference` attribute. This `sawsdl:modelReference` attribute on a complex type annotates the type as a whole, but does not necessarily make any specific statements about the elements or attributes within the complex type.

A complex type can be annotated at both the top and member level. Both the high-level type annotation and the specific member element or attribute annotation apply to the member.

### 2.1.5 Annotating Elements With Model Reference

An element can be annotated by including a `modelReference` on the `xs:element` element. An example of annotating the member elements of a complex type with the `modelReference` attribute is shown below.

```xml
<xs:complexType>
  <xs:sequence minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <xs:element name="quantity" type="xs:integer"
      sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#Quantity"/>
    <xs:element name="ISBN" type="xs:string"
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
```
2.1.6 Annotating Attributes With Model Reference

An attribute can be annotated by including a `modelReference` on the `xs:attribute` element. If the quantity element in the example above were defined as an attribute, a `modelReference` could be applied to it as follows.

```xml
<xs:attribute name="quantity" type="xs:integer"
    sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#Quantity"/>
```

To refresh, the main purpose of `modelReference` extension element is to enable the addition of semantic information to WSDL elements so that this semantic information can be used while matching, and composing Web services. Section 2 provided an overview of how a `modelReference` can be associated with interfaces, operations, simple types, complex types, elements and attributes in a WSDL document for multi-purpose usages such as categorization, representation of preconditions and effects, and at times to simply provide additional semantics to the specific elements.

The next section introduces the second extension attribute in SAWSDL – namely schema mapping to enable adding semantic information to aid in invocation.

2.2 SAWSDL Schema Mapping

Sometimes data elements of a Web service may need to be transformed to invoke another Web service. For example, a Web service that can supply a `givenName` and a `surName` may have to concatenate the data values of these two elements to pass to an element called `fullName` on another Web service. Automatically discovering these types of data transformations among a given set of Web services is a difficult problem. In addition, storing the data transformations required by all possible Web services that a given request can connect with is impractical. A more general approach would be to map the data values of a Web service with semantic data in a semantic model and from the semantic data in a semantic model to the data values of a Web service. This roundtripping transformation information between Web services and semantic models reduces the complexity of having to store transformations between all possible pairs of Web services to a more simpler, canonical form. Therefore, Schema mapping relates the instance data defined by an XML schema document with some semantic data defined by a semantic model. Such mappings are useful when the structure of the instance data does not correspond to the organization of the semantic data. The mappings are used when mediation code is generated to support invocation of a Web service.
Figure 1 illustrates the idea of lifting and lowering schemaMapping extension attributes pictorially.

While a modelReference could, in theory, be used for representing such schema mappings to support data transformations as well, SAWSDL prefers to define a first class object for representing schema mappings rather than overloading a modelReference. Therefore, two additional extension attributes – namely liftingSchemaMapping and loweringSchemaMapping are defined.

This section defines the extension attributes liftingSchemaMapping and loweringSchemaMapping. They are used to associate a schema type or element with an ontology, as just described. Schema mappings may be added to global type definitions (complex or simple) as well as to global element declarations. It is possible to specify either lowering or lifting information or both together on the same schema element. The following schema excerpt defines the liftingSchemaMapping and loweringSchemaMapping attributes.

```xml
...<xs:simpleType name="listOfAnyURI">
    <xs:list itemType="xs:anyURI"/>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:attribute name="liftingSchemaMapping" type="listOfAnyURI"/>
<xs:attribute name="loweringSchemaMapping" type="listOfAnyURI"/>
...
```

The value of the liftingSchemaMapping attribute is a list of URIs that reference mapping definitions. A mapping referenced by this attribute defines how an XML instance document conforming to the element or type defined in a schema is transformed to data that conforms to a semantic model, i.e. the output of the transformation process will be semantic data. The input to the transformation is the XML element on whose declaration the mapping is located; or an element valid according to the type on whose definition the mapping is located.

The value of the loweringSchemaMapping attribute is a list of URI's that reference mapping definitions. A mapping referenced by this attribute defines how data in a semantic model is transformed to XML instance data. The input will be some semantic
data. The output of the process will be the XML element on whose declaration the mapping is located; or an element valid according to the type on whose definition the mapping is located.

This specification provides a mechanism for associating optional schema mapping functions with a global type or global element definition without any restriction on the choice of the schema mapping language.

Just as SAWSDL does not prescribe any particular ontology representation language for specifying modelReferences, similarly, it does not prescribe any particular schema mapping representation language for representing lifting or lowering schema mappings. Users can choose their preferred schema mapping languages such as RDF [RDF], XSLT [XSLT] and XQuery [XQuery]. The following excerpt from the purchase order example shows how XSLT can be used as a schema mapping language to specify associations between XSD elements and concepts in a semantic model. Detailed examples showing mapping using XSLT [XSLT] and XQuery [XQuery] are shown in Appendix A.

...<xs:element name="OrderRequest"

sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#OrderRequest"


<xs:complexType>
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element name="customerNo" type="xs:integer" />
    <xs:element name="orderItem" type="item" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded" />
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
...

In order to perform the lifting of the data contained in and XML message, a client processor applies the transformation that can be retrieved from the URI given in the value of sawsdl:liftingSchemaMapping and applies the transformation to those elements where the schema mapping was specified. In the above example, http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/mapping/Request2Ont.xslt will be applied to OrderRequest elements. If a mapping is specified on a global type definition the mapping definition must only be applied to the elements that are of that type.

A mapping specified on an element, including an empty value (""), overrides any mappings specified on its type. If no mapping is specified on the element, the mapping on its type applies to the element.
The following example illustrates this. In this example, the type ‘orderItem’ is represented as an element and a schemaMapping is specified on it. The actual complex type of orderItem is defined later in the document and the complex type itself has a schema mapping. In such a case, the schemaMapping that is specified on the element overwrites the one specified on the complex type. This means that only the schema mapping that is given with the URI `http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/mapping/OrderItem2Ont.xslt` applies to the element orderItem. The `http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/mapping/MyDefOrderItem2Ont.xslt` does not apply. The reason for specifying such an overwrite rule is to avoid any confusion that could arise due to multiple places at which schema mappings can be specified for the same thing in XML schema.

```xml
<xs:element name="orderItem" type="type"

<xs:complexType name="type"
    sawsdl:schemaMapping="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/mapping/MyDefOrderItem2Ont.xslt">
    <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="part"/>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="att"/>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:element="part"
```

When used on an element that represents a WSDL 2.0 Component (top-level `xsd:element`, `xsd:complexType` or `xsd:simpleType`), the loweringSchemaMapping and liftingSchemaMapping extension attributes introduce OPTIONAL properties `{lowering schema mapping}` and `{lifting schema mapping}`. The value of either of these properties is a list of URIs taken from the value of the respective attribute. In contrast to the `{model reference}` property, the absence of the `{lifting schema mapping}` and `{lowering schema mapping}` properties is different from its presence with an empty value, as mappings on an element must be able to override the mappings specified on the type of the element.

### 3. WSDL 1.1 Support

The mechanism for semantic annotation described in this specification can also be applied to WSDL 1.1 [WSDL 1.1] Web services descriptions. The three XML attributes defined in this specification `name= modelReference, liftingSchemaMapping and loweringSchemaMapping` apply to WSDL 1.1 documents as well with minor changes. For example, in WSDL 1.1, operations are not extensible. To accommodate the annotation of operations, a new element is introduced. The following subsections describes the details.

#### 3.1 SAWSDL attrExtensions Element
WSDL 1.1 does not allow extension attributes on the operation element. Therefore, SAWSDL introduces a new extension element, attrExtensions to support semantic annotation of WSDL 1.1 operations. The following XML Schema excerpt defines the attrExtensions element.

```xml
...<xs:element name="attrExtensions">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax" />
  </xs:comlexType>
</xs:element>
...
```

The attrExtensions element is for use only with WSDL 1.1 documents. It is not valid in WSDL 2.0 documents, where the modelReference attribute should be applied to the operation instead. An example for using attrExtensions is provided further below in section 3.2.

### 3.2 WSDL 1.1 Annotations

**Input and Output**

Annotation of XML Schema types in WSDL 1.1 with modelReference, liftingSchemaMapping or loweringSchemaMapping can be accomplished using the same approach described for annotating these elements in WSDL 2.0. In addition, a liftingSchemaMapping, loweringSchemaMapping or modelReference attribute may be added to a part element (under a message element) to specify an input or output annotation that applies to the entire message part. Message parts are referenced from the portType structure in WSDL1.1 which generally corresponds to the WSDL2.0 interface structure. One difference is that portTypes do not support inheritance (they have no extends relation).

**Faults**

In WSDL 1.1, faults are specified as messages that are generated when a particular condition arises. Annotations for fault messages are done just as annotations are done for any other output message using a modelReference.

**Operations**

An operation is annotated by adding an attrExtensions element as a child of the operation element. The modelReference attribute of attrExtensions specifies the operation annotation. An example of such an annotation is shown below.

```xml
...<wsdl:operation name="order">
  <wsdl:input message="OrderRequestMessage"/>
  <wsdl:output message="OrderResponseMessage"/>
```
3.3 Running Example in WSDL 1.1

The same running example used in the other sections of this specification and in Appendix A, is shown here in its WSDL 1.1 form. An important difference is the way messages are defined:

- The message structure is defined in terms of message parts. For the response message, the SAWSDL annotation is applied to the part element named `OrderResponse`. In the WSDL 2.0 example, this annotation was applied to the `OrderResponse` in the schema. This annotation could have been left the same in the WSDL 1.1 example, but was applied in this way to illustrate the annotation of message parts.
- The definition of the `OrderResponse` element was not included in the schema since the `OrderResponse` message part fills its role.

The other difference is the inclusion of `attrExtensions` to annotate the operation.
<xs:complexType name="item">
  <xs:all>
    <xs:element name="UPC" type="xs:string"/>
  </xs:all>
  <xs:attribute name="quantity" type="xs:integer"/>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:simpleType name="Confirmation">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="Confirmed"></xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="Pending"></xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="Rejected"></xs:enumeration>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>

This example is available as a separate file at http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/wsdl/order11#.
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A. An Example (Non-Normative)

This is an example WSDL document that is annotated with semantic information to give the reader an example of what was explained in the specification so far. The semantic annotations that have been explained in section 2 drew from this example.

The ontology used in this example is:
A purchase order ontology:
http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#

The ontology given here is purely for illustrative purpose only and cannot be treated as an authoritative ontology for purchase order process.

The complete wsdl file is given below and is available as a separate file at
http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/wsdl/order#.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<wsdl:description
targetNamespace="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/wsdl/order#"
xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/wsdl/order#"
xmlns:wsdl="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl"
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
xmlns:sawsdl="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/sawsdl#">
<wsdl:types>
<xs:schema targetNamespace="http://example.org/order#"
elementFormDefault="qualified">
<xs:element name="OrderRequest"
sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#OrderRequest"
<xs:complexType>
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="customerNo" type="xs:integer" />
<xs:element name="orderItem" type="item" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded" />
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:complexType name="item"
sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#Item">
<xs:all>
<xs:element name="UPC" type="xs:string" />
</xs:all>
<xs:attribute name="quantity" type="xs:integer"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="OrderResponse" type="Confirmation"
sawsdl:modelReference="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/ontology/purchaseorder#OrderConfirmation">
<xs:simpleType name="Confirmation">
<xs:restriction base="xs:string">
<xs:enumeration value="Confirmed"/>
<xs:enumeration value="Pending"/>
<xs:enumeration value="Rejected"/>
</xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
</xs:element>
</xs:schema>
</wsdl:types>
</wsdl:description>
```
In this example, we present a simple Web service for an item purchase order. The semantic concepts and their relationships are modeled in an OWL ontology. The inputs, outputs of the ProcessPurchaseOrder service are annotated within the respective schema definition.

A.1 Specifying Schema Mapping Using XSLT

The XSLT transformation is work in progress and known to be incomplete.

```xml
<?xml version='1.0' ?>
    <xsl:output method="xml" version="1.0" encoding="iso-8859-1" indent="yes" />
    <!-- XSLT will receive the node po:OrderRequest as root -->
    <xsl:template match="/">
        <rdf:RDF>
            <POOntology:OrderRequest rdf:ID="{generate-id(.)}">
                <xsl:for-each select="//po:orderItem">
                    <POOntology:has_items rdf:resource="#{generate-id(.)}"/>
                </xsl:for-each>
            </POOntology:OrderRequest>
            <xsl:apply-templates select="/*/po:orderItem"/>
        </rdf:RDF>
    </xsl:template>
</xsl:transform>
```
Below the result of the xslt transformation applied to a sample message.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="iso-8859-1" ?>
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
    xmlns:po="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/wsdl/order#"
    xmlns:POOntology="http://example.org/ontologies/purchaseorder#">
  <POOntology:OrderRequest rdf:ID="d1">
    <POOntology:has_items rdf:resource="#d1e6"/>
    <POOntology:has_items rdf:resource="#d1e12"/>
  </POOntology:OrderRequest>
  <POOntology:Item rdf:ID="d1e6">
    <POOntology:has_UPCCode>912898437732</POOntology:has_UPCCode>
    <POOntology:has_Quantity>2</POOntology:has_Quantity>
  </POOntology:Item>
  <POOntology:Item rdf:ID="d1e12">
    <POOntology:has_UPCCode>124423231231</POOntology:has_UPCCode>
    <POOntology:has_Quantity>4</POOntology:has_Quantity>
  </POOntology:Item>
</rdf:RDF>
```

A.2.2 Specifying Schema Mapping Using XQuery

We will provide this in future versions of the specification

B. Mapping Choices

This specification does not prescribe any specific schema mapping language for use in describing schema mappings. However, as domain models like UML, OWL and XSD are represented in XML, the examples in the document represent mappings either as transformations using XSLT or queries using XQuery. Using these mappings from XML schema elements to concepts in a domain model, it is possible to map instances of Web service schemas from one representation to another. The process of capturing mappings can be complicated by heterogeneities at various levels and XQuery or XSLT might not be suited for all cases. The annotation mechanism defined in this specification can refer to mappings expressed in other mapping languages as well since it is agnostic to the mapping language used.

Table 1 illustrates the schema/data conflicts that arise during mapping schemas. This is provided as a background reading for the reader of the specification.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema/Data Conflicts</th>
<th>Description/Example</th>
<th>Nature of mapping function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Representation conflict</td>
<td>Different data types/representations</td>
<td>The mapping function ( f_1 ) will largely depend on application/domain requirements. <em>Note: While mapping in the direction of ( f_2 ) can be well defined, ( f_1^{-1} ) can not.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1 ( f_1 ) Ontology ( \Rightarrow ) ( f_2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W81 ( \Rightarrow ) StudentID (4 digit integer) ( \Rightarrow ) W82 ( \Rightarrow ) StudentID (4 digit integer)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Scaling conflict</td>
<td>Representations using different units and measures</td>
<td>The mapping function ( f_1 ) or ( f_2 ) inverse ( f_1^{-1} ) can be automatically generated using a look up table and are well defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1 ( f_1 ) Ontology ( \Rightarrow ) ( f_2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W81 ( \Rightarrow ) Weight (in pounds) ( \Rightarrow ) W82 ( \Rightarrow ) Weights (in kilograms)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Precision conflict</td>
<td>Represented using different predictors</td>
<td>The mapping function ( f_1 ) will largely depend on application requirements. *Examples: A (0.1-1.00), B (1.01-2.00), C (2.01-3.00), D (3.01-4.00), E (4.01-5.00), F (5.01-6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1 ( f_1 ) Ontology ( \Rightarrow ) ( f_2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W81 ( \Rightarrow ) Marks (1-100) ( \Rightarrow ) W82 ( \Rightarrow ) Grades (A,B,C,D,E,F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schema Isomorphism conflict</td>
<td>Scheme of similar elements have different number of attributes</td>
<td>The mapping function ( f_1 ) will largely depend on application requirements. ( f_1 ) may be defined as 1. Home Phone (W81) ( \Rightarrow ) Phone(Ontology) or 2. Work Phone (W82) ( \Rightarrow ) Phone(Ontology) <em>Note: While mapping in the direction of ( f_1 ) can be well defined, ( f_1^{-1} ) can not.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1 ( f_1 ) Ontology ( \Rightarrow ) ( f_2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W81 ( \Rightarrow ) Person(Name, ID, Phone) ( \Rightarrow ) W82 ( \Rightarrow ) Person(Name, SSN, Home Phone, Work Phone)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Possible schematic/data conflicts between XML input/output messages

Deleted: xml
Although the mapping function is well defined in one direction, from the WSDL element to the Ontology concept, it is not well defined in the reverse direction. Although converting a 5 digit StudentID to a 9 digit StudentID is conceivable through use of a look up table, the transformation is not a well defined function in itself.

Legend: WS1, WS2 denote Web services 1 and 2 and f1 and f2 denote mapping functions from the WSDL elements to the ontology.

C. XML Schema for Semantic Annotations for WSDL

The SAWSDL schema is also available as a separate file at document.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
targetNamespace="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/sawsdl#"
xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2002/ws/sawsdl/spec/sawsdl#"
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
xmlns:wsdl="http://www.w3.org/2006/01/wsdl">
  <xs:simpleType name="listOfAnyURI">
    <xs:list itemType="xs:anyURI"/>
  </xs:simpleType>

  <xs:attribute name="modelReference" type="listOfAnyURI"/>
  <xs:attribute name="liftingSchemaMapping" type="listOfAnyURI"/>
  <xs:attribute name="loweringSchemaMapping" type="listOfAnyURI"/>
</xs:schema>
```

D. An Example Categorization Model (Non-Normative)

One purpose for annotating services at the interface level is to help enable dynamic discovery. This is possible when services are published, cataloged and annotated with semantics. The modelReference mechanism on an interface can be used to add categorization information to a WSDL document which could be used while publishing services in registries such as Universal Description, Discovery and Integration (UDDI). Users can choose any categorization of their choice such as NAICS [NAICS], UNSPSC [UNSPSC] and GICS [GICS]. This categorization of services aids in service discovery by narrowing the range of candidate services. Additionally, it can constitute the effective categorization when the service is made available via Web Services Inspection Language [WSIL] or some other solution-specific means. Service categorization is also aimed at supporting specialized taxonomies of middleware or utility services such as mediators. The category modelReference can be used to ensure that there is basic and high-level categorization information about a service.

In the following example, a modelReference points to a concept in an ontology that gives three pieces of information, the name of the category, the taxonomy value (category code) of the category and the URI of the taxonomy. An example of instance data that would be referenced by an interface annotation is shown here.
This instance data derives from the following simple example model.
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### F. Change Log (Non-Normative)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20062308</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Implemented editorial comments of Jack, added example for inline reference of semantic model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20062108</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Documented resolutions to issues 26 and 27.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20061508</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Documented remainder of the resolution to issue 22 on WSDL 1.1 (operation annotation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20062107</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Documented resolution to issue 22 on WSDL 1.1 (but operation annotation question still open) and issue 23 on interface extensions. Also updated semantics definition based on 18 July 2006 WG call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20061007</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Replaced XSLT example with &quot;more correct&quot; version.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20061007</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Removed relativ looking URIs from modelReference examples and replaced with full URIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060628</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Made all textual changes required for publication as 1st Public Draft, as indentified in 27 June 2006 WG call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060626</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Completed documentation of decisions from f2f in Galway, including resolutions to issues 6, 7, 8, 9 and 14 on schema mapping, and 10 on categorization as a modelReference. (Some done in HL's previous update)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060620</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Reordered Appendixes according to f2f. Referenced copy of RNet ontology, changed text on semantic models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060614</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Documented resolution to Issue 18: Relationship between modelReferences. Documented initial part of Issue 6 on lifting and lowering mappings. Continued with section 2 restructuring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060607</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Documented resolution to Issue 5: Multiplicity of modelReferences. Documented initial part of Issue 6: Clarification of SchemaMapping concept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060530</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Documented resolution to Issue 2: embedding semantic descriptions. Removed some WSDL 1.1 terminology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060523</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Changed categoryname from xs:NcName to xs:string.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060523</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Introduced simple example in Section 1, modified structure 2 to reflect the elements defined. (Addressed Issue 1 and 9).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table F-1. Summary of Semantic Annotations for WSDL Specification Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20060519</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Split References into Normative and Informative and brought them up to TR quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060508</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Created sawsdl xsd, removed example.org imports for sawsdl schema.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060509</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Created sawsdl xsd, removed example.org imports for sawsdl schema.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060509</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Created separate files for documents in purchase order example (grouped xsds to one file). Corrected several errors (mainly namespace), adopted xslt and XQuery examples respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060418</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Based on 02 May 06 call - added requirements on semantic models, example.org for examples namespace, added todo list. More editorial clean up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060414</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Changed name of spec based on decision in 18 April 06 call. Also editorial clean up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060414</td>
<td>JAF</td>
<td>Removed non-spec material from Mapping Choices Appendix.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G. Editors' Todo List (Non-Normative)

Table G-1. Summary of Editors' Semantic Annotations for WSDL Specification Work Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>Need to update examples so a single example is built up from the introduction though the appendix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>Example of an XSLT schema mapping function needs to be updated and an XQuery example needs to be added.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>