



Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework

W3C Candidate Recommendation 30 March 2007

This version:

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/CR-ws-policy-20070330>

Latest version:

<http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-policy>

Previous version:

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/CR-ws-policy-20070228>

Editors:

Asir S Vedamuthu, Microsoft Corporation
David Orchard, BEA Systems, Inc.
Frederick Hirsch, Nokia
Maryann Hondo, IBM Corporation
Prasad Yendluri, webMethods, Inc.
Toufic Boubez, Layer 7 Technologies
Ümit Yalçınalp, SAP AG.

This document is also available in these non-normative formats: [PDF](#), [PostScript](#), [XML](#), and [plain text](#).

Copyright © 2007 W3C® ([MIT](#), [ERCIM](#), [Keio](#)), All Rights Reserved. W3C [liability](#), [trademark](#) and [document use](#) rules apply.

Abstract

The Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework provides a general purpose model and corresponding syntax to describe the policies of entities in a Web services-based system.

Web Services Policy Framework defines a base set of constructs that can be used and extended by other Web services specifications to describe a broad range of service requirements and capabilities.

Status of this Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C

33 *publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the*
34 [W3C technical reports index](http://www.w3.org/TR/) at <http://www.w3.org/TR/>.

35 On 28 February 2007, this specification [was published](#) as a Candidate
36 Recommendation, and a Call for Implementations was announced. This revision
37 is published in order to give visibility to the technical decisions that have been
38 made so far during this phase of the process and to allow review by W3C
39 Members and other interested parties. The maturity level of the specification
40 remains unchanged, and the work is on track to move forward to the Proposed
41 Recommendation stage when the exit criteria for the current phase have been
42 met. No features have been identified as "[features at risk](#)" by the Web Services
43 Policy Working Group. The Working Group will maintain an [implementation](#)
44 [report](#).

45 Publication as a Candidate Recommendation does not imply endorsement by the
46 W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or
47 obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this
48 document as other than work in progress. This specification will remain a
49 Candidate Recommendation until at least 30 June 2007.

50 This Working Draft was produced by the members of the [Web Services Policy](#)
51 [Working Group](#), which is part of the [W3C Web Services Activity](#). The Working
52 Group expects to advance this Working Draft to Recommendation Status.

53 A list of [changes in this version of the document](#) and a [diff-marked version](#)
54 [against the previous version of this document](#) are available. There are no major
55 changes in this version of the document. It is being republished to be in sync with
56 the [Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment](#) specification.

57 The Working Group is tracking all comments via [Bugzilla](#) and highly prefers to
58 receive comments via this system. If access to Bugzilla is not feasible, you may
59 send your comments to the mailing list public-ws-policy-comments@w3.org
60 mailing list ([public archive](#)). Each Bugzilla entry and email message should
61 contain only one comment. All comments on this specification should be made
62 following the [Description for Issues](#) of the Working Group.

63 This document was produced by a group operating under the [5 February 2004](#)
64 [W3C Patent Policy](#). W3C maintains a [public list of any patent disclosures](#) made
65 in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes
66 instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a
67 patent which the individual believes contains [Essential Claim\(s\)](#) must disclose
68 the information in accordance with [section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy](#).

69 **Table of Contents**

- 70 1. [Introduction](#)
- 71 1.1 [Example](#)
- 72 2. [Notations and Terminology](#)
- 73 2.1 [Notational Conventions](#)
- 74 2.2 [Extensibility](#)

75	2.3	XML Namespaces
76	2.4	Terminology
77	3.	Policy Model
78	3.1	Policy Assertion
79	3.2	Policy Alternative
80	3.3	Policy
81	3.4	Policies of Entities in a Web Services Based System
82	4.	Policy Expression
83	4.1	Normal Form Policy Expression
84	4.2	Policy Identification
85	4.3	Compact Policy Expression
86	4.3.1	Optional Policy Assertions
87	4.3.2	Policy Assertion Nesting
88	4.3.3	Policy Operators
89	4.3.4	Policy References
90	4.3.5	Policy Inclusion
91	4.3.6	Normalization
92	4.4	Ignorable Policy Assertions
93	4.5	Policy Intersection
94	4.6	Use of IRIs in Policy Expressions
95	5.	Security Considerations
96	5.1	Information Disclosure Threats
97	5.2	Spoofing and Tampering Threats
98	5.3	Downgrade Threats
99	5.4	Repudiation Threats
100	5.5	Denial of Service Threats
101	5.6	General XML Considerations
102	6.	Conformance

103 **Appendices**

104	A.	The application/ws-policy+xml Media Type
105	A.1	Registration
106	B.	References
107	B.1	Normative References
108	B.2	Other References
109	C.	Acknowledgements (Non-Normative)
110	D.	Changes in this Version of the Document (Non-Normative)
111	E.	Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework Change Log (Non-Normative)

112

113 **1. Introduction**

114 Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework defines a framework and a model for
115 expressing policies that refer to domain-specific capabilities, requirements, and
116 general characteristics of entities in a Web services-based system.

117 A [policy](#) is a collection of policy alternatives. A [policy alternative](#) is a collection of
118 policy assertions. A [policy assertion](#) represents a requirement, capability, or other
119 property of a behavior. A [policy expression](#) is an XML Infoset representation of its
120 policy, either in a normal form or in its equivalent compact form. Some policy
121 assertions specify traditional requirements and capabilities that will manifest
122 themselves in the messages exchanged (e.g., authentication scheme, transport
123 protocol selection). Other policy assertions have no wire manifestation in the
124 messages exchanged, yet are relevant to service selection and usage (e.g.,
125 privacy policy, QoS characteristics). Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework
126 provides a single policy language to allow both kinds of assertions to be
127 expressed and evaluated in a consistent manner.

128 Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework does not cover discovery of policy, policy
129 scopes and subjects, or their respective attachment mechanisms. A [policy](#)
130 [attachment](#) is a mechanism for associating policy with one or more policy
131 scopes. A [policy scope](#) is a collection of policy subjects to which a policy applies.
132 A [policy subject](#) is an entity (e.g., an endpoint, message, resource, interaction)
133 with which a policy can be associated. Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment
134 [[Web Services Policy Attachment](#)] defines such policy attachment mechanisms,
135 especially for associating policy with arbitrary XML elements [[XML 1.0](#)], WSDL
136 artifacts [[WSDL 1.1](#), [WSDL 2.0 Core Language](#)], and UDDI elements [[UDDI API](#)
137 [2.0](#), [UDDI Data Structure 2.0](#), [UDDI 3.0](#)]. Other specifications are free to define
138 either extensions to the mechanisms defined in Web Services Policy 1.5 -
139 Attachment [[Web Services Policy Attachment](#)], or additional mechanisms not
140 covered by Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment [[Web Services Policy](#)
141 [Attachment](#)], for purposes of associating policy with policy scopes and subjects.

142 1.1 Example

143 [Example 1-1](#) illustrates a security [policy expression](#) using assertions defined in
144 WS-SecurityPolicy [[WS-SecurityPolicy](#)]:

145 *Example 1-1. Use of Web Services Policy with security policy assertions.*

```
146 (01) <wsp:Policy  
147     xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
148     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
149 (02)   <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
150 (03)     <wsp:All>  
151 (04)       <sp:SignedParts/>  
152 (05)       <sp:Body/>  
153 (06)     </sp:SignedParts/>  
154 (07)   </wsp:All>  
155 (08)   <wsp:All>  
156 (09)     <sp:EncryptedParts/>  
157 (10)     <sp:Body/>  
158 (11)   </sp:EncryptedParts/>  
159 (12) </wsp:All>  
160 (13) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

163

```
(14) </wsp:Policy>
```

164 Lines (03-06) represent one policy alternative for signing a message body.

165 Lines (08-11) represent a second policy alternative for encrypting a message
166 body.

167 Lines (02-13) illustrate the `ExactlyOne` policy operator. Policy operators group
168 policy assertions into policy alternatives. A valid interpretation of the policy above
169 would be that an invocation of a Web service will either sign or encrypt the
170 message body.

171 2. Notations and Terminology

172 This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this
173 specification.

174 2.1 Notational Conventions

175 This specification uses the following syntax within normative outlines:

- 176 • The syntax appears as an XML instance, but values in *italics* indicate data
177 types instead of literal values.
- 178 • Characters are appended to elements and attributes to indicate
179 cardinality:
 - 180 ○ "?" (0 or 1)
 - 181 ○ "*" (0 or more)
 - 182 ○ "+" (1 or more)
- 183 • The character "|" is used to indicate an exclusive choice between
184 alternatives.
- 185 • The characters "(" and ")" are used to indicate that contained items are to
186 be treated as a group with respect to cardinality or choice.
- 187 • This document relies on the XML Information Set [[XML Information Set](#)].
188 Information item properties are indicated by the style **[info:property]**.
- 189 • XML namespace prefixes (see [Table 2-1](#)) are used to indicate the
190 namespace of the element or attribute being defined.
- 191 • The ellipses characters "..." are used to indicate a point of extensibility
192 that allows other Element or Attribute Information Items.

193 Elements and Attributes defined by this specification are referred to in the text of
194 this document using XPath 1.0 [XPath 1.0] expressions. Extensibility points are
195 referred to using an extended version of this syntax:

- 196 • An element extensibility point is referred to using {any} in place of the
197 element name. This indicates that any element name can be used, from
198 any namespace, unless specified otherwise such as in Section [4.3.3](#)
199 [Policy Operators](#).

- An attribute extensibility point is referred to using @{any} in place of the attribute name. This indicates that any attribute name can be used, from any namespace.

Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in turn take precedence over the XML Schema [[XML Schema Structures](#)] descriptions.

2.2 Extensibility

Within normative outlines, in this specification, ellipses (i.e., "...") indicate a point of extensibility that allows other Element or Attribute Information Items. Information Items MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the element information item indicated by the **[parent]** or **[owner]** property of the extension. In this context, if an Attribute Information Item is not recognized, it SHOULD be ignored. If an Element Information Item is not recognized, it MUST be treated as a policy assertion, unless specified otherwise such as in Section [4.3.4 Policy References](#).

2.3 XML Namespaces

This specification uses a number of namespace prefixes throughout; they are listed in [Table 2-1](#). Note that the choice of any namespace prefix is arbitrary and not semantically significant (see [[XML Namespaces](#)]).

Table 2-1. Prefixes and Namespaces used in this specification

Prefix	Namespace	Specification
sp	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy	[WS-SecurityPolicy]
wsp	http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy	This specification
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd	[WS-Security 2004]
xs	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	[XML Schema Structures]

All information items defined by this specification are identified by the XML namespace URI [[XML Namespaces](#)] <http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy>. A normative XML Schema [[XML Schema Structures](#), [XML Schema Datatypes](#)] document can be obtained indirectly by dereferencing the namespace document at the WS-Policy 1.5 namespace URI.

225 It is the intent of the W3C Web Services Policy Working Group that the Web
226 Services Policy 1.5 - Framework and Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment XML
227 namespace URI will not change arbitrarily with each subsequent revision of the
228 corresponding XML Schema documents as the specifications transition through
229 Candidate Recommendation, Proposed Recommendation and Recommendation
230 status. However, should the specifications revert to Working Draft status, and a
231 subsequent revision, published as a WD, CR or PR draft, results in non-
232 backwardly compatible changes from a previously published WD, CR or PR draft
233 of the specification, the namespace URI will be changed accordingly.

234 Under this policy, the following are examples of backwards compatible changes
235 that would not result in assignment of a new XML namespace URI:

- 236 • Addition of new global element, attribute, complexType and simpleType
237 definitions.
- 238 • Addition of new elements or attributes in locations covered by a previously
239 specified wildcard.
- 240 • Modifications to the pattern facet of a type definition for which the value-
241 space of the previous definition remains valid or for which the value-space
242 of the vast majority of instances would remain valid.
- 243 • Modifications to the cardinality of elements (i.e. modifications to
244 minOccurs or maxOccurs attribute value of an element declaration) for
245 which the value-space of possible instance documents conformant to the
246 previous revision of the schema would still be valid with regards to the
247 revised cardinality rule.

248 2.4 Terminology

249 The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
250 "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in
251 this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [[IETF RFC 2119](#)].

252 We introduce the following terms that are used throughout this document:

253 [ignorable policy assertion](#)

254 An **ignorable policy assertion** is an assertion that may be ignored for
255 policy intersection (as defined in [4.5 Policy Intersection](#)).

256 [nested policy expression](#)

257 A **nested policy expression** is a [policy expression](#) that is an Element
258 Information Item in the **[children]** property of a [policy assertion](#).

259 [policy](#)

260 A **policy** is a potentially empty collection of [policy alternatives](#).

261 [policy alternative](#)

262 A **policy alternative** is a potentially empty collection of [policy assertions](#).

263 [policy assertion](#)

Deleted: [policy alternative vocabulary](#) ¶
A **policy alternative vocabulary** is the set of all [policy assertion types](#) within the [policy alternative](#). ¶

264 A **policy assertion** represents a requirement, a capability, or other
265 property of a behavior.

266 **policy assertion parameter**

267 A **policy assertion parameter** qualifies the behavior indicated by a [policy](#)
268 [assertion](#).

269 **policy assertion type**

270 A **policy assertion type** represents a class of [policy assertions](#) and
271 implies a schema for the assertion and assertion-specific semantics.

272 **policy attachment**

273 A **policy attachment** is a mechanism for associating [policy](#) with one or
274 more [policy scopes](#).

275 **policy expression**

276 A **policy expression** is an XML Infoset representation of a [policy](#), either in
277 a normal form or in an equivalent compact form.

278 **policy scope**

279 A **policy scope** is a collection of [policy subjects](#) to which a policy may
280 apply.

281 **policy subject**

282 A **policy subject** is an entity (e.g., an endpoint, message, resource,
283 operation) with which a [policy](#) can be associated.

Deleted: [policy vocabulary](#) ¶
A **policy vocabulary** is the set of all
[policy assertion types](#) used in a
policy.¶

284 3. Policy Model

285 This section defines an abstract model for policies and for operations upon
286 policies.

287 The descriptions below use XML Infoset terminology for convenience of
288 description. However, this abstract model itself is independent of how it is
289 represented as an XML Infoset.

290 3.1 Policy Assertion

291 [Definition: A **policy assertion** represents a requirement, a capability, or other
292 property of a behavior.] A [policy assertion](#) identifies a behavior that is a
293 requirement or capability of a [policy subject](#). [Definition: A **policy subject** is an
294 entity (e.g., an endpoint, message, resource, operation) with which a [policy](#) can
295 be associated.] Assertions indicate domain-specific (e.g., security, transactions)
296 semantics and are expected to be defined in separate, domain-specific
297 specifications.

298 An assertion MAY indicate that it is an ignorable policy assertion (see [4.4](#)
299 [Ignorable Policy Assertions](#)). [Definition: An **ignorable policy assertion** is an
300 assertion that may be ignored for policy intersection (as defined in [4.5 Policy](#)
301 [Intersection](#)).] By default, an assertion is not ignorable for policy intersection.

302 Assertions are typed by the authors that define them. [Definition: A **policy**
303 **assertion type** represents a class of [policy assertions](#) and implies a schema for
304 the assertion and assertion-specific semantics.] The [policy assertion type](#) is
305 identified only by the XML Infoset [**namespace name**] and [**local name**]
306 properties (that is, the qualified name or QName) of the root Element Information
307 Item representing the assertion. Assertions of a given type MUST be consistently
308 interpreted independent of their [policy subjects](#).

309 Authors MAY define that an assertion contains a [policy expression](#) (as defined in
310 **4. Policy Expression**) as one of its [**children**]. [Nested policy expression\(s\)](#) are
311 used by authors to further qualify one or more specific aspects of the original
312 assertion. For example, security policy authors may define an assertion
313 describing a set of security algorithms to qualify the specific behavior of a
314 security binding assertion.

315 The XML Infoset of a [policy assertion](#) MAY contain a non-empty [**attributes**]
316 property and/or a non-empty [**children**] property. Such properties, excluding the
317 Attribute and Element Information Items from the WS-Policy language XML
318 namespace name are [policy assertion parameters](#) and MAY be used to
319 parameterize the behavior indicated by the assertion. [Definition: A **policy**
320 **assertion parameter** qualifies the behavior indicated by a [policy assertion](#).] For
321 example, an assertion identifying support for a specific reliable messaging
322 mechanism might include an attribute information item to indicate how long an
323 endpoint will wait before sending an acknowledgement.

324 Authors should be cognizant of the processing requirements when defining
325 complex assertions containing [policy assertion parameters](#) or [nested policy](#)
326 [expressions](#). Specifically, authors are encouraged to consider when the identity
327 of the root Element Information Item alone is enough to convey the requirement
328 or capability.

329 3.2 Policy Alternative

330 [Definition: A **policy alternative** is a potentially empty collection of [policy](#)
331 [assertions](#).] An alternative with zero assertions indicates no behaviors. An
332 alternative with one or more assertions indicates behaviors implied by those, and
333 only those assertions.

334 Assertions within an alternative are not ordered, and thus aspects such as the
335 order in which behaviors (indicated by assertions) are applied to a [subject](#) are
336 beyond the scope of this specification. However, authors can write assertions
337 that control the order in which behaviors are applied.

338 A policy alternative MAY contain multiple assertions of the same type.
339 Mechanisms for determining the aggregate behavior indicated by the assertions
340 (and their Post-Schema-Validation Infoset (PSVI) (See XML Schema Part 1 [[XML](#)
341 [Schema Structures](#)]) content, if any) are specific to the assertion type and are
342 outside the scope of this document.

Deleted: . [Definition: A **policy vocabulary** is the set of all [policy assertion types](#) used in a policy.]
[Definition: A **policy alternative vocabulary** is the set of all [policy assertion types](#) within the [policy alternative](#).] When an assertion whose type is part of the policy's vocabulary is not included in a policy alternative, the policy alternative without the assertion type indicates that the assertion will not be applied in the context of the attached policy subject

Deleted: See the example in Section [4.3.1 Optional Policy Assertions](#)

Deleted: u

343 Note: Depending on the semantics of the domain specific policy assertions a
344 combination of the policy assertions can be required to specify a particular
345 behavior.

346 3.3 Policy

347 [Definition: A **policy** is a potentially empty collection of [policy alternatives](#).] A
348 policy with zero alternatives contains no choices; a policy with one or more
349 alternatives indicates choice in requirements or capabilities within the policy.
350 Alternatives are not ordered, and thus aspects such as preferences between
351 alternatives in a given context are beyond the scope of this specification.
352 Alternatives within a policy may differ significantly in terms of the behaviors they
353 indicate. Conversely, alternatives within a policy may be very similar. In either
354 case, the value or suitability of an alternative is generally a function of the
355 semantics of assertions within the alternative and is therefore beyond the scope
356 of this specification.

357 3.4 Policies of Entities in a Web Services Based System

358 Applied to a Web services based system, [policy](#) is used to convey conditions on
359 an interaction between entities (requester application, provider service, Web
360 infrastructure component, etc). An interaction involves one or more message
361 exchanges between two entities. It is the responsibility of [assertion](#) authors to
362 define the interaction scope of an assertion including any constraints on the
363 [policy subjects](#) to which the assertion may be attached and a clear specification
364 of the message (s) within that interaction scope to which the assertion applies.

365 Any entity in a Web services based system may expose a policy to convey
366 conditions under which it functions. Satisfying assertions in the policy usually
367 results in behavior that reflects these conditions. For example, if two entities -
368 requester and provider - expose their policies, a requester might use the policy of
369 the provider to decide whether or not to use the service. A requester MAY
370 choose any alternative since each is a valid configuration for interaction with the
371 service, but a requester MUST choose only a single alternative for an interaction
372 with a service since each represents an alternative configuration.

373 A [policy assertion](#) is supported by an entity in the web services based system if
374 and only if the entity satisfies the requirement (or accommodates the capability)
375 corresponding to the assertion. A [policy alternative](#) is supported by an entity if
376 and only if the entity supports all the assertions in the alternative. And, a [policy](#) is
377 supported by an entity if and only if the entity supports at least one of the
378 alternatives in the policy. Note that although policy alternatives are meant to be
379 mutually exclusive, it cannot be decided in general whether or not more than one
380 alternative can be supported at the same time.

381 Note that an entity may be able to support a policy even if the entity does not
382 understand the [type](#) of each assertion in the [policy](#); the entity only has to
383 understand the type of each assertion in a [policy alternative](#) ~~that~~ the entity

Deleted: [vocabulary of the policy](#)

Deleted: the vocabulary of

384 supports. This characteristic is crucial to versioning and incremental deployment
385 of new assertions because this allows a provider's policy to include new
386 assertions in new alternatives while allowing entities to continue to use old
387 alternatives in a backward-compatible manner.

388 4. Policy Expression

389 This section describes how to convey [policy](#) in an interoperable form, using the
390 XML Infoset representation of a policy. [Definition: A **policy expression** is an
391 XML Infoset representation of a [policy](#), either in a normal form or in an equivalent
392 compact form.]

393 The normal form (see Section [4.1 Normal Form Policy Expression](#)) of a policy
394 expression is the most straightforward XML Infoset representation of the policy
395 data model. Equivalent, alternative representations allow policy authors to
396 compactly express a policy (see Section [4.3 Compact Policy Expression](#)).
397 Policy authors might be more interested in the compact form (see Section [4.3](#)
398 [Compact Policy Expression](#)), where the outlines and definitions describe what
399 is valid with regards to the policy language XML Schema.

400 While the policy language XML Schema is a representation of the compact form,
401 the normal form is more restrictive as outlined in Section [4.1 Normal Form](#)
402 [Policy Expression](#).

403 4.1 Normal Form Policy Expression

404 To facilitate interoperability, this specification defines a normal form for [policy](#)
405 [expressions](#) that is a straightforward XML Infoset representation of a policy,
406 enumerating each of its [alternatives](#) that in turn enumerate each of their
407 [assertions](#). The schema outline for the normal form of a policy expression is as
408 follows:

```
409 (01) <wsp:Policy ... >  
410 (02)   <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
411 (03)     ( <wsp:All> ( <Assertion ...> ... </Assertion> )* </wsp:All> ) *  
412 (04)   </wsp:ExactlyOne>  
413 (05) </wsp:Policy>
```

414 The following describes the Element Information Items defined in the schema
415 outline above:

416 **/wsp:Policy**

417 A policy expression.

418 **/wsp:Policy/wsp:ExactlyOne**

419 A collection of policy alternatives. If there are no Element Information
420 Items in the **[children]** property, there are no admissible policy
421 alternatives, i.e., no behavior is admissible.

422 **/wsp:Policy/wsp:ExactlyOne/wsp:All**

423 A policy alternative; a collection of policy assertions. If there are no
424 Element Information Items in the **[children]** property, this is an admissible
425 policy alternative that is empty, i.e., no behavior is specified.

426 `/wsp:Policy/wsp:ExactlyOne/wsp:All/*`

427 XML Infoset representation of a policy assertion.

428 `/wsp:Policy/@{any}`

429 Additional attributes MAY be specified but MUST NOT contradict the
430 semantics of the **[owner element]**; if an attribute is not recognized, it
431 SHOULD be ignored.

432 If an [assertion](#) in the normal form of a policy expression contains a [nested policy](#)
433 [expression](#), the nested policy expression MUST contain at most one policy
434 alternative (see [4.3.2 Policy Assertion Nesting](#)).

435 To simplify processing and improve interoperability, the normal form of a policy
436 expression SHOULD be used where practical.

437 For example, the following is the normal form of a policy expression.

```
438 (01) <wsp:Policy  
439  
440 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
441     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
442 (02)   <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
443 (03)     <wsp:All>  
444 (04)       <sp:SignedParts/>  
445 (05)       <sp:Body/>  
446 (06)     </sp:SignedParts/>  
447 (07)   </wsp:All>  
448 (08)   <wsp:All>  
449 (09)     <sp:EncryptedParts/>  
450 (10)     <sp:Body/>  
451 (11)   </sp:EncryptedParts/>  
452 (12) </wsp:All>  
453 (13) </wsp:ExactlyOne>  
454 (14) </wsp:Policy>
```

455 Lines (03-07) and Lines (08-11) express the two alternatives in the policy. If the
456 first alternative is selected, the message body needs to be signed [[WS-](#)
457 [SecurityPolicy](#)] is supported; conversely, if the second alternative is selected, the
458 message body needs to be encrypted.

459 4.2 Policy Identification

460 A [policy expression](#) MAY be associated with an IRI [[IETF RFC 3987](#)]. The
461 schema outline for attributes to associate an IRI is as follows:

```
462 (01) <wsp:Policy ( Name="xs:anyURI" ) ?  
463 (02)   ( wsu:Id="xs:ID" | xml:id="xs:ID" ) ?  
464 (03)   ... >  
465 (04)   ...  
466 (05) </wsp:Policy>
```

467 The following describes the Attribute Information Items listed and defined in the
468 schema outline above:

469 `/wsp:Policy/@Name`

470 The identity of the policy expression as an absolute IRI [[IETF RFC 3987](#)].
471 If omitted, there is no implied value. This IRI MAY be used to refer to a
472 policy from other XML documents using a [policy attachment](#) mechanism
473 such as those defined in WS-PolicyAttachment [[Web Services Policy](#)
474 [Attachment](#)]. [Definition: A **policy attachment** is a mechanism for
475 associating [policy](#) with one or more [policy scopes](#).] [Definition: A **policy**
476 **scope** is a collection of [policy subjects](#) to which a policy may apply.]

477 `/wsp:Policy/(@wsu:Id | @xml:id)`

478 The identity of the policy expression as an ID within the enclosing XML
479 document. If omitted, there is no implied value. The constraints of the XML
480 1.0 [[XML 1.0](#)] ID type MUST be met. To refer to this policy expression, an
481 IRI-reference MAY be formed using this value per Section 4.2 of WS-
482 Security [[WS-Security 2004](#)] when @wsu:Id is used.

483 **Note:**

484 The use of `xml:id` attribute in conjunction with Canonical XML 1.0 is
485 inappropriate as described in Appendix C of `xml:id` Version 1.0 [[XML](#)
486 [ID](#)] and thus this combination must be avoided (see [[C14N 1.0 Note](#)]).
487 For example, a policy expression identified using `xml:id` attribute
488 should not be signed using XML Digital Signature when Canonical
489 XML 1.0 is being used as the canonicalization method.

490 **Note:**

491 Canonical XML 1.1 [[XMLID11](#)] is intended to address the issues that
492 occur with Canonical XML 1.0 with regards to `xml:id`. The W3C XML
493 Security Specifications Maintenance WG has been chartered to
494 address how to integrate Canonical XML 1.1 with XML Security,
495 including XML Signature [[SecSpecMaintWG](#)] (See
496 <http://www.w3.org/2007/xmlsec/>).

497 The following example illustrates how to associate a policy expression with the
498 absolute IRI "<http://www.example.com/policies/P1>":

```
499 (01) <wsp:Policy  
500     Name="http://www.example.com/policies/P1"  
501     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
502 (02) <!-- Details omitted for readability -->  
503 (03) </wsp:Policy>
```

504 The following example illustrates how to associate a policy expression with the
505 IRI-reference "#P1":

```
506 (01) <wsp:Policy  
507     wsu:Id="P1"  
508     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy"  
509     xmlns:wsu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-  
510     200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd" >
```

```
511 (02) <!-- Details omitted for readability -->
512 (03) </wsp:Policy>
```

513 4.3 Compact Policy Expression

514 To express a [policy](#) in a more compact form while still using the XML Infoset, this
515 specification defines three constructs: an attribute to decorate an [assertion](#),
516 semantics for recursively nested policy operators, and a policy
517 reference/inclusion mechanism. Each sub section below describes a construct
518 and its equivalent normal form. To interpret a compact expression in an
519 interoperable form, a policy expression in the compact form can be converted
520 (see Section [4.3.6 Normalization](#)) to the normal form (see Section [4.1 Normal
521 Form Policy Expression](#)).

522 A [policy expression](#) consists of a `wsp:Policy` wrapper element and zero or more
523 child and descendent elements.

524 4.3.1 Optional Policy Assertions

525 To indicate that a [policy assertion](#) is optional, this specification defines an
526 attribute that is a compact authoring style for expressing a pair of [alternatives](#),
527 one with and one without that assertion. The schema outline for this attribute is
528 as follows:

```
529 (01) <Assertion ( wsp:Optional="xs:boolean" )? ...> ... </Assertion>
```

530 The following describes the Attribute Information Item defined in the schema
531 outline above:

532 `/Assertion/@wsp:Optional`

533 If the actual value (See XML Schema Part 1 [\[XML Schema Structures\]](#)) is
534 true, the expression of the assertion is semantically equivalent to the
535 following:

```
536 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
537 (02) <wsp:All> <Assertion ...> ... </Assertion> </wsp:All>
538 (03) <wsp:All />
539 (04) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

540 If the actual value (See XML Schema Part 1 [\[XML Schema Structures\]](#)) is
541 false, the expression of the assertion is semantically equivalent to the
542 following:

```
543 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
544 (02) <wsp:All> <Assertion ...> ... </Assertion> </wsp:All>
545 (03) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

546 Omitting this attribute is semantically equivalent to including it with a value
547 of false. Policy expressions should not include this attribute with a value of
548 false, but policy parsers must accept this attribute with a value of false.

549 For example, the following compact policy expression:

```
550 (01) <wsp:Policy
551
552 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"
553     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >
554 (02)   <sp:IncludeTimestamp wsp:Optional="true" />
555 (03) </wsp:Policy>
```

556 is equivalent to the following normal form policy expression:

```
557 (01) <wsp:Policy
558
559 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"
560     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >
561 (02)   <wsp:ExactlyOne>
562 (03)     <wsp:All>
563 (04)       <sp:IncludeTimestamp />
564 (05)     </wsp:All>
565 (06)   <wsp:All />
566 (07) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
567 (08) </wsp:Policy>
```

568 The `@wsp:Optional` attribute in Line (02) of the first policy expression indicates
569 that the assertion in Line (02) is to be included in a policy alternative whilst
570 excluded from another; it is included in Lines (03-05) and excluded in Line (06).
571 Note that `@wsp:Optional` does not appear in the normal form of a policy
572 expression.

573 4.3.2 Policy Assertion Nesting

574 Any [policy assertion](#) MAY contain a [policy expression](#). [Definition: A **nested**
575 **policy expression** is a [policy expression](#) that is an Element Information Item in
576 the **[children]** property of a [policy assertion](#).] The schema outline for a [nested](#)
577 [policy expression](#) is:

```
578 (01) <Assertion ...>
579 (02)   ...
580 (03)   ( <wsp:Policy ...> ... </wsp:Policy> )?
581 (04)   ...
582 (05) </Assertion>
```

583 The following describes additional processing constraints on the outline listed
584 above:

585 **/Assertion/wsp:Policy**

586 This indicates that the assertion contains a nested policy expression. If
587 there is no `wsp:Policy` Element Information Item in the **[children]**
588 property, the assertion has no nested policy expression.

589 If the schema outline for an assertion type requires a nested policy
590 expression but the assertion does not further qualify one or more aspects
591 of the behavior indicated by the assertion type (i.e., no assertions are
592 needed in the nested policy expression), the assertion MUST include an

593 empty `<wsp:Policy/>` Element Information Item in its **[children]** property;
594 as explained in Section [4.3.3 Policy Operators](#), this is equivalent to a
595 nested policy expression with a single alternative that has zero assertions.

596 Note: This specification does not define processing for arbitrary
597 `wsp:Policy` Element Information Items in the descendants of an assertion
598 parameter, e.g., in the **[children]** property of one of the **[children]** as in:

```
599 (01) <wsp:Policy>  
600 (02)   <Lorem>  
601 (03)     <Ipsum>  
602 (04)       <wsp:Policy>  
603 (05)         ...  
604 (06)       </wsp:Policy>  
605 (07)     </Ipsum>  
606 (08)   </Lorem>  
607 (09) </wsp:Policy>
```

608 Policy assertions containing a nested policy expression are normalized
609 recursively. The nesting of a policy expression (and a `wsp:Policy` child) is
610 retained in the normal form, but in the normal form, each nested policy
611 expression contains at most one policy alternative. If an assertion A contains a
612 nested policy expression E, and if E contains more than one policy alternative, A
613 is duplicated such that there are as many instances of A as choices in E, and the
614 nested policy expression of a duplicate A contains a single choice. This process
615 is applied recursively to the assertions within those choices and to their nested
616 policy expression, if any. Intuitively, if a compact policy is thought of as a tree
617 whose branches have branches etc, in the normal form, a policy is a stump with
618 straight vines.

619 For example, consider the following policy expression with nested policy
620 expressions in a compact form:

```
621 (01) <wsp:Policy  
622  
623 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
624   xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
625 (02)   <sp:TransportBinding>  
626 (03)     <wsp:Policy>  
627 (04)       <sp:AlgorithmSuite>  
628 (05)         <wsp:Policy>  
629 (06)           <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
630 (07)             <sp:Basic256Rsa15 />  
631 (08)             <sp:TripleDesRsa15 />  
632 (09)           </wsp:ExactlyOne>  
633 (10)         </wsp:Policy>  
634 (11)       </sp:AlgorithmSuite>  
635 (12)     <sp:TransportToken>  
636 (13)       <wsp:Policy>  
637 (14)         <sp:HttpsToken RequireClientCertificate="false" />  
638 (15)       </wsp:Policy>  
639 (16)     </sp:TransportToken>  
640     <!-- Details omitted for readability -->  
641 (17)   </wsp:Policy>
```



```
642 (18) </sp:TransportBinding>
643 (19) </wsp:Policy>
```

644 Lines (02-18) in this policy expression contain a single transport binding security
645 policy assertion; within its nested policy expression (Lines 03-17), is an algorithm
646 suite assertion (Lines 04-11) whose nested policy expression (Lines 05-10)
647 contains two policy alternatives (Lines 07-08). Generally, a nested policy
648 expression implies recursive processing; in the example above, the behavior
649 indicated by the transport binding assertion requires the behavior indicated by
650 one of the assertions within the algorithm suite assertion.

651 The example above is equivalent to the following:

```
652 (01) <wsp:Policy
653
654 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"
655     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >
656 (02)   <wsp:ExactlyOne>
657 (03)     <wsp>All>
658 (04)       <sp:TransportBinding>
659 (05)         <wsp:Policy>
660 (06)           <sp:AlgorithmSuite>
661 (07)             <wsp:Policy>
662 (08)               <sp:Basic256Rsa15 />
663 (09)             </wsp:Policy>
664 (10)           </sp:AlgorithmSuite>
665 (11)           <sp:TransportToken>
666 (12)             <wsp:Policy>
667 (13)               <sp:HttpsToken RequireClientCertificate="false" />
668 (14)             </wsp:Policy>
669 (15)           </sp:TransportToken>
670 (16)         <!-- Details omitted for readability -->
671       </wsp:Policy>
672     </sp:TransportBinding>
673   </wsp>All>
674   <wsp>All>
675 (20)     <sp:TransportBinding>
676 (21)       <wsp:Policy>
677 (22)         <sp:AlgorithmSuite>
678 (23)           <wsp:Policy>
679 (24)             <sp:TripleDesRsa15 />
680 (25)           </wsp:Policy>
681 (26)         </sp:AlgorithmSuite>
682 (27)         <sp:TransportToken>
683 (28)           <wsp:Policy>
684 (29)             <sp:HttpsToken RequireClientCertificate="false" />
685 (30)           </wsp:Policy>
686 (31)         </sp:TransportToken>
687 (32)       <!-- Details omitted for readability -->
688     </wsp:Policy>
689   </sp:TransportBinding>
690 </wsp>All>
691 </wsp:ExactlyOne>
692 </wsp:Policy>
```

693 In the listing above, the transport binding and its nested policy expression have
694 been duplicated once for each of the nested alternatives in Lines (07-08) of the
695 compact policy. The first alternative (Lines 03-18) contains a single nested
696 algorithm suite alternative (Line 08) as does the second alternative (Lines 19-34
697 and 24).

698 4.3.3 Policy Operators

699 [Policies](#) are used to convey a set of capabilities, requirements, and general
700 characteristics of entities (see [1. Introduction](#)). These are generally expressible
701 as a set of [policy alternatives](#). Policy operators (`wsp:Policy`, `wsp:All` and
702 `wsp:ExactlyOne` elements) are used to group [policy assertions](#) into [policy](#)
703 [alternatives](#). To compactly express complex policies, policy operators MAY be
704 recursively nested; that is, one or more instances of `wsp:Policy`, `wsp:All`, and/or
705 `wsp:ExactlyOne` MAY be nested within `wsp:Policy`, `wsp:All`, and/or
706 `wsp:ExactlyOne`.

707 The schema outline for the `wsp:Policy` element in the compact form is as follows:

```
708 (01) <wsp:Policy ... >  
709 (02)   ( <wsp:Policy ...>...</wsp:Policy> |  
710 (03)     <wsp:ExactlyOne>...</wsp:ExactlyOne> |  
711 (04)     <wsp:All>...</wsp:All> |  
712 (05)     <wsp:PolicyReference ... >...</wsp:PolicyReference> |  
713 (06)     ...  
714 (07)   ) *  
715 (08) </wsp:Policy>
```

716 The following describes the Attribute and Element Information Items defined in
717 the schema outline above:

718 **`/wsp:Policy`**

719 This element is the `wsp:Policy` operator.

720 **`/wsp:Policy/wsp:Policy`**

721 This element is a nested `wsp:Policy` operator.

722 **`/wsp:Policy/wsp:ExactlyOne`**

723 This element is a nested `wsp:ExactlyOne` operator.

724 **`/wsp:Policy/wsp:All`**

725 This element is a nested `wsp:All` operator.

726 **`/wsp:Policy/wsp:PolicyReference`**

727 This element references a policy expression to be included per Section

728 [4.3.5 Policy Inclusion](#).

729 **`/wsp:Policy/@{any}`**

730 Additional attributes MAY be specified but MUST NOT contradict the
731 semantics of the **[owner element]**; if an attribute is not recognized, it
732 SHOULD be ignored.

733 **`/wsp:Policy/{any}`**

734 Additional elements MAY be specified. Such elements MUST NOT use the
735 Web Services Policy language XML namespace name and MUST NOT
736 contradict the semantics of the **[parent element]**.

737 The schema outline for the `wsp:ExactlyOne` element in the compact form is as
738 follows:

```
739 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
740 (02)   ( <wsp:Policy ... >...</wsp:Policy> |  
741 (03)     <wsp:ExactlyOne>...</wsp:ExactlyOne> |  
742 (04)     <wsp:All>...</wsp:All> |  
743 (05)     <wsp:PolicyReference ... >...</wsp:PolicyReference> |  
744 (06)     ...  
745 (07)   ) *  
746 (08) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

747 The following describes the Attribute and Element Information Items defined in
748 the schema outline above:

749 **/wsp:ExactlyOne**

750 This element is the `wsp:ExactlyOne` operator.

751 **/wsp:ExactlyOne/wsp:Policy**

752 This element is a nested `wsp:Policy` operator.

753 **/wsp:ExactlyOne/wsp:ExactlyOne**

754 This element is a nested `wsp:ExactlyOne` operator.

755 **/wsp:ExactlyOne/wsp:All**

756 This element is a nested `wsp:All` operator.

757 **/wsp:ExactlyOne/wsp:PolicyReference**

758 This element references a policy expression to be included per Section
759 [4.3.5 Policy Inclusion](#).

760 **/wsp:ExactlyOne/{any}**

761 Additional elements MAY be specified. Such elements MUST NOT use the
762 Web Services Policy language XML namespace name and MUST NOT
763 contradict the semantics of the **[parent element]**.

764 The schema outline for the `wsp:All` element in the compact form is as follows:

```
765 (01) <wsp:All>  
766 (02)   ( <wsp:Policy ... >...</wsp:Policy> |  
767 (03)     <wsp:ExactlyOne>...</wsp:ExactlyOne> |  
768 (04)     <wsp:All>...</wsp:All> |  
769 (05)     <wsp:PolicyReference ... >...</wsp:PolicyReference> |  
770 (06)     ...  
771 (07)   ) *  
772 (08) </wsp:All>
```

773 The following describes the Attribute and Element Information Items defined in
774 the schema outline above:

775 **/wsp:All**

776 This element is the `wsp:All` operator.

777 **/wsp:All/wsp:Policy**

778 This element is a nested `wsp:Policy` operator.

779 `/wsp:All/wsp:ExactlyOne`

780 This element is a nested `wsp:ExactlyOne` operator.

781 `/wsp:All/wsp:All`

782 This element is a nested `wsp:All` operator.

783 `/wsp:All/wsp:PolicyReference`

784 This element references a policy expression to be included per Section
785 [4.3.5 Policy Inclusion](#).

786 `/wsp:All/{any}`

787 Additional elements MAY be specified. Such elements MUST NOT use the
788 Web Services Policy language XML namespace name and MUST NOT
789 contradict the semantics of the **[parent element]**.

790 **Note:**

791 The `wsp:All` and `wsp:ExactlyOne` elements do not allow attribute
792 extensibility because such attributes cannot be preserved through
793 normalization.

794 The following rules are used to transform a compact policy expression into a
795 normal form policy expression:

796 **Equivalence**

797 Use of `wsp:Policy` as an operator within a policy expression is equivalent
798 to `wsp:All`.

799 A collection of assertions in an `wsp:All` operator is equivalent to a [policy](#)
800 [alternative](#). For instance,

```
801 (01) <wsp:All>  
802 (02) <!-- assertion 1 -->  
803 (03) <!-- assertion 2 -->  
804 (04) </wsp:All>
```

805 is equivalent to:

```
806 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
807 (02) <wsp:All>  
808 (03) <!-- assertion 1 -->  
809 (04) <!-- assertion 2 -->  
810 (05) </wsp:All>  
811 (06) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

812 **Empty**

- 813 • `<wsp:All />` expresses a policy with zero policy assertions. Note
814 that since `wsp:Policy` is equivalent to `wsp:All`, `<wsp:Policy />` is
815 therefore equivalent to `<wsp:All />`, i.e., a policy alternative with
816 zero assertions.
- 817 • `<wsp:ExactlyOne />` expresses a policy with zero policy
818 alternatives.

819 **Commutative**

820 In line with the previous statements that policy assertions within a policy
821 alternative and policy alternatives within a policy are not ordered (see [3.2](#)
822 [Policy Alternative](#) and [3.3 Policy](#), respectively), `wsp:All` and
823 `wsp:ExactlyOne` are commutative. For example,

```
824 (01) <wsp:All> <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->  
825 </wsp:All>
```

826 is equivalent to:

```
827 (01) <wsp:All> <!-- assertion 2 --> <!-- assertion 1 -->  
828 </wsp:All>
```

829 and:

```
830 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
831 (02) <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->  
832 (03) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

833 is equivalent to:

```
834 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
835 (02) <!-- assertion 2 --> <!-- assertion 1 -->  
836 (03) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

837 Associative

838 `wsp:All` and `wsp:ExactlyOne` are associative. For example,

```
839 (01) <wsp:All>  
840 (02) <!-- assertion 1 -->  
841 (03) <wsp:All> <!-- assertion 2 --> </wsp:All>  
842 (04) </wsp:All>
```

843 is equivalent to:

```
844 (01) <wsp:All> <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->  
845 </wsp:All>
```

846 and:

```
847 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
848 (02) <!-- assertion 1 -->  
849 (03) <wsp:ExactlyOne> <!-- assertion 2 --> </wsp:ExactlyOne>  
850 (04) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

851 is equivalent to:

```
852 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
853 (02) <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->  
854 (03) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

855 Idempotent

856 `wsp:All` and `wsp:ExactlyOne` are idempotent. For example,

```
857 (01) <wsp:All>  
858 (02) <wsp:All> <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->  
859 </wsp:All>
```

860 (03) </wsp:All>

861 is equivalent to:

862 (01) <wsp:All> <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->
863 </wsp:All>

864 and:

865 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
866 (02) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
867 (03) <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->
868 (04) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
869 (05) </wsp:ExactlyOne>

870 is equivalent to:

871 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
872 (02) <!-- assertion 1 --> <!-- assertion 2 -->
873 (03) </wsp:ExactlyOne>

874 **Distributive**

875 `wsp:All` distributes over `wsp:ExactlyOne`. For example,

876 (01) <wsp:All>
877 (02) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
878 (03) <!-- assertion 1 -->
879 (04) <!-- assertion 2 -->
880 (05) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
881 (06) </wsp:All>

882 is equivalent to:

883 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
884 (02) <wsp:All>
885 (03) <!-- assertion 1 -->
886 (04) </wsp:All>
887 (05) <wsp:All>
888 (06) <!-- assertion 2 -->
889 (07) </wsp:All>
890 (08) </wsp:ExactlyOne>

891 Similarly by repeatedly distributing `wsp:All` over `wsp:ExactlyOne`,

892 (01) <wsp:All>
893 (02) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
894 (03) <!-- assertion 1 -->
895 (04) <!-- assertion 2 -->
896 (05) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
897 (06) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
898 (07) <!-- assertion 3 -->
899 (08) <!-- assertion 4 -->
900 (09) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
901 (10) </wsp:All>

902 is equivalent to:

903 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne>

```
904 (02) <wsp:All><!-- assertion 1 --><!-- assertion 3 --  
905 ></wsp:All>  
906 (03) <wsp:All><!-- assertion 1 --><!-- assertion 4 --  
907 ></wsp:All>  
908 (04) <wsp:All><!-- assertion 2 --><!-- assertion 3 --  
909 ></wsp:All>  
910 (05) <wsp:All><!-- assertion 2 --><!-- assertion 4 --  
911 ></wsp:All>  
912 (06) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

913 Distributing `wsp:All` over an empty `wsp:ExactlyOne` is equivalent to no
914 alternatives. For example,

```
915 (01) <wsp:All>  
916 (02) <wsp:ExactlyOne />  
917 (03) </wsp:All>
```

918 is equivalent to:

```
919 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne />
```

920 and:

```
921 (01) <wsp:All>  
922 (02) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
923 (03) <!-- assertion 1 -->  
924 (04) <!-- assertion 2 -->  
925 (05) </wsp:ExactlyOne>  
926 (06) <wsp:ExactlyOne />  
927 (07) </wsp:All>
```

928 is equivalent to:

```
929 (01) <wsp:ExactlyOne />
```

930 For example, given the following compact policy expression:

```
931 (01) <wsp:Policy  
932 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
933 xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
934 (02) <sp:RequireDerivedKeys wsp:Optional="true" />  
935 (03) <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
936 (04) <sp:WssUsernameToken10 />  
937 (05) <sp:WssUsernameToken11 />  
938 (06) </wsp:ExactlyOne>  
939 (07) </wsp:Policy>
```

941 Applying Section [4.3.1 Optional Policy Assertions](#) to `@wsp:Optional` in Line
942 (02), and distributing `wsp:All` over `wsp:ExactlyOne` per Section [4.3.3 Policy](#)
943 [Operators](#) for the assertions in Lines (04-05) yields:

```
944 (01) <wsp:Policy  
945 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
946 xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
947 (02) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
```

```

949 (03) <wsp:All> <!-- @wsp:Optional alternative with assertion -->
950 (04) <sp:RequireDerivedKeys />
951 (05) </wsp:All>
952 (06) <wsp:All /> <!-- @wsp:Optional alternative without -->
953 (07) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
954 (08) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
955 (09) <wsp:All>
956 (10) <sp:WssUsernameToken10 />
957 (11) </wsp:All>
958 (12) <wsp:All>
959 (13) <sp:WssUsernameToken11 />
960 (14) </wsp:All>
961 (15) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
962 (16) </wsp:Policy>

```

963 Note that the assertion listed in Line (02) in the first listing expands into the two
964 alternatives in Lines (03-06) in the second listing.

965 Finally, noting that `wsp:Policy` is equivalent to `wsp:All`, and distributing `wsp:All`
966 over `wsp:ExactlyOne` yields the following normal form policy expression:

```

967 (01) <wsp:Policy
968
969 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"
970 xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >
971 (02) <wsp:ExactlyOne>
972 (03) <wsp:All>
973 (04) <sp:RequireDerivedKeys />
974 (05) <sp:WssUsernameToken10 />
975 (06) </wsp:All>
976 (07) <wsp:All>
977 (08) <sp:RequireDerivedKeys />
978 (09) <sp:WssUsernameToken11 />
979 (10) </wsp:All>
980 (11) <wsp:All>
981 (12) <sp:WssUsernameToken10 />
982 (13) </wsp:All>
983 (14) <wsp:All>
984 (15) <sp:WssUsernameToken11 />
985 (16) </wsp:All>
986 (17) </wsp:ExactlyOne>
987 (18) </wsp:Policy>

```

988 Note that the two alternatives listed in Lines (03-06) in the second listing are
989 combined with the two alternatives listed in Lines (09-14) in the second listing to
990 create four alternatives in the normalized policy, Lines (03-06), (07-10), (11-13),
991 and (14-16).

992 4.3.4 Policy References

993 The `wsp:PolicyReference` element is used to reference [policy expressions](#). The
994 semantics of the `wsp:PolicyReference` element are determined by the context in
995 which it is used (for an example, see [4.3.5 Policy Inclusion](#)).

996 The schema outline for the `wsp:PolicyReference` element is as follows:


```

997 (01) <wsp:PolicyReference
998 (02)   URI="xs:anyURI"
999 (03)   ( Digest="xs:base64Binary" ( DigestAlgorithm="xs:anyURI" )? )?
1000 (04)   ... >
1001 (05)   ...
1002 (06) </wsp:PolicyReference>

```

1003 The following describes the Attribute and Element Information Items defined in
1004 the schema outline above:

1005 **/wsp:PolicyReference**

1006 This element references a policy expression that is being referenced.

1007 **/wsp:PolicyReference/@URI**

1008 This attribute references a policy expression by an IRI. For a policy
1009 expression within the same XML Document, the reference SHOULD be an
1010 IRI-reference to a policy expression identified by an ID. For an external
1011 policy expression, there is no requirement that the IRI be resolvable;
1012 retrieval mechanisms are beyond the scope of this specification. After
1013 retrieval, there is no requirement to check that the retrieved policy
1014 expression is associated (Section [4.2 Policy Identification](#)) with this IRI.
1015 The IRI included in the retrieved policy expression, if any, MAY be
1016 different than the IRI used to retrieve the policy expression.

1017 **/wsp:PolicyReference/@Digest**

1018 This attribute is of type `xs:base64Binary` and specifies the digest of the
1019 referenced policy expression. This is used to ensure the included policy is
1020 the expected policy. If omitted, there is no implied value.

1021 **/wsp:PolicyReference/@DigestAlgorithm**

1022 This optional URI attribute specifies the digest algorithms being used. This
1023 specification predefines the default algorithm below, although additional
1024 algorithms can be expressed.

URI	Description
http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy/ShalExc (implied)	The digest is a SHA1 hash over the octet stream resulting from using the Exclusive XML canonicalization defined for XML Signature [XML-Signature].

1025

1026 **/wsp:PolicyReference/@{any}**

1027 Additional attributes MAY be specified but MUST NOT contradict the
1028 semantics of the **[owner element]**; if an attribute is not recognized, it
1029 SHOULD be ignored.

1030 **/wsp:PolicyReference/{any}**

1031 Additional elements MAY be specified but MUST NOT contradict the
1032 semantics of the **[parent element]**; if an element is not recognized, it
1033 SHOULD be ignored.

1034 4.3.5 Policy Inclusion

1035 In order to share [assertions](#) across [policy expressions](#), the `wsp:PolicyReference`
1036 element MAY be present anywhere a policy assertion is allowed inside a policy
1037 expression. This element is used to include the content of one policy expression
1038 in another policy expression.

1039 When a `wsp:PolicyReference` element references a `wsp:Policy` element, then
1040 the semantics of inclusion are simply to replace the `wsp:PolicyReference`
1041 element with a `wsp:All` element whose **[children]** property is the same as the
1042 **[children]** property of the referenced `wsp:Policy` element. That is, the contents
1043 of the referenced policy conceptually replace the `wsp:PolicyReference` element
1044 and are wrapped in a `wsp:All` operator. Using the `wsp:PolicyReference` element,
1045 a policy expression MUST NOT reference itself either directly or indirectly. (Note:
1046 References that have a `@Digest` attribute SHOULD be validated before being
1047 included.)

1048 In the example below two policies include and extend a common policy. In the
1049 first example there is a single policy document containing two policy assertions.
1050 The expression is given an identifier but not a fully qualified location. The second
1051 and third expressions reference the first expression by URI indicating the
1052 referenced expression is within the document.

```
1053 (01) <wsp:Policy  
1054  
1055 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
1056     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy"  
1057     xmlns:wsu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-  
1058 200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd"  
1059     wsu:Id="Protection" >  
1060 (02)  <sp:EncryptSignature wsp:Optional="true" />  
1061 (03)  <sp:ProtectTokens wsp:Optional="true" />  
1062 (04) </wsp:Policy>
```

```
1063 (01) <wsp:Policy  
1064  
1065 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
1066     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
1067 (02)  <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#Protection" />  
1068 (03)  <sp:OnlySignEntireHeadersAndBody />  
1069 (04) </wsp:Policy>
```

```
1070 (01) <wsp:Policy  
1071  
1072 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
1073     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
1074 (02)  <sp:IncludeTimestamp />  
1075 (03)  <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#Protection" />  
1076 (04)  <sp:OnlySignEntireHeadersAndBody />  
1077 (05) </wsp:Policy>
```

1078 There are times when it is desirable to "re-use" a portion of a policy expression.
1079 Generally, this can be accomplished by placing the common assertions in a
1080 separate policy expression and referencing it.

1081 4.3.6 Normalization

1082 To interpret a compact [expression](#) in an interoperable form, a compact
1083 expression may be converted to the corresponding normal form expression by
1084 the following procedure:

- 1085 1. Start with the Element Information Item E (as defined in the XML
1086 Information Set [[XML Information Set](#)]) of the policy expression. The
1087 **[namespace name]** of E is always "http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy".
1088 In the base case, the **[local name]** property of E is "Policy"; in the
1089 recursive case, the **[local name]** property of E is "Policy", "ExactlyOne",
1090 or "All".
- 1091 2. Expand Element Information Items (as defined in the XML Information Set
1092 [[XML Information Set](#)]) in the **[children]** property of E that are policy
1093 references per Section [4.3.5 Policy Inclusion](#).
- 1094 3. Convert each Element Information Item C in the **[children]** property of E
1095 into normal form.
 - 1096 a. If the **[namespace name]** property of C is
1097 "http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" and the **[local name]** property
1098 of C is "Policy", "ExactlyOne", or "All", C is an expression of a
1099 policy operator; normalize C by recursively applying this procedure.
 - 1100 b. Otherwise the Element Information Item C is an assertion;
1101 normalize C per Sections [4.3.1 Optional Policy Assertions](#) and
1102 [4.3.2 Policy Assertion Nesting](#).
- 1103 4. Apply the policy operator indicated by E to the normalized Element
1104 Information Items in its **[children]** property and construct a normal form
1105 per Section [4.3.3 Policy Operators](#) and [4.1 Normal Form Policy](#)
1106 [Expression](#).

1107 Note that an implementation may use a more efficient procedure and is not
1108 required to explicitly convert a compact expression into the normal form as long
1109 as the processing results are indistinguishable from doing so.

1110 4.4 Ignorable Policy Assertions

1111 The `wsp:Ignorable` attribute indicates if a policy assertion is an [ignorable policy](#)
1112 [assertion](#). The schema outline for this attribute is as follows:

```
1113 (0|1) <Assertion ( wsp:Ignorable="xs:boolean" )? ... > ... </Assertion>
```

1114 The following describes the Attribute Information Item defined in the schema
1115 outline above:

1116 `/Assertion/@wsp:Ignorable`

1117 This attribute is of type `xs:boolean`. If the actual value (See XML Schema
1118 Part 1 [[XML Schema Structures](#)]) is true, the assertion is an [ignorable](#)
1119 [policy assertion](#). If the actual value is false, the assertion is not an
1120 [ignorable policy assertion](#). Omitting this attribute is semantically equivalent
1121 to including it with a value of false.

1122 4.5 Policy Intersection

1123 Policy intersection is useful when two or more parties express [policy](#) and want to
1124 limit the [policy alternatives](#) to those that are mutually compatible. For example,
1125 when a requester and a provider express requirements on a message exchange,
1126 intersection identifies compatible policy alternatives (if any) included in both
1127 requester and provider policies. Intersection is a commutative function that takes
1128 two policies and returns a policy. There are two modes for intersection: strict and
1129 lax. How the mode is selected or indicated for the policy intersection is outside
1130 the scope of this specification.

1131 Because the set of behaviors indicated by a [policy alternative](#) depends on the
1132 domain-specific semantics of the collected assertions, determining whether two
1133 policy alternatives are compatible generally involves domain-specific processing.
1134 If a domain-specific intersection processing algorithm is required this will be
1135 known from the QNames of the specific [assertion types](#) involved in the policy
1136 alternatives. As a first approximation, an algorithm is defined herein that
1137 approximates compatibility in a domain-independent manner:

- 1138 • Two [policy assertions](#) are compatible if they have the same [type](#) and
- 1139 • If either assertion contains a nested [policy expression](#), the two assertions
1140 are compatible if they both have a nested policy expression and the
1141 alternative in the nested policy expression of one is compatible with the
1142 alternative in the nested policy expression of the other.

1143 [Assertion parameters](#) are not part of the compatibility determination defined
1144 herein but may be part of other, domain-specific compatibility processing.

- 1145 • If the mode is strict, two [policy alternatives](#) A and B are compatible:
 - 1146 ○ if each assertion in A is compatible with an assertion in B, and
 - 1147 ○ if each assertion in B is compatible with an assertion in A.

1148 If the mode is lax, two [policy alternatives](#) A and B are compatible:

- 1149 ○ if each assertion in A that is not an [ignorable policy assertion](#) is
1150 compatible with an assertion in B, and
- 1151 ○ if each assertion in B that is not an [ignorable policy assertion](#) is
1152 compatible with an assertion in A.

1153 If two alternatives are compatible, their intersection is an alternative
1154 containing all of the assertions in both alternatives.

- 1155 • Two [policies](#) are compatible if an alternative in one is compatible with an
1156 alternative in the other. If two policies are compatible, their intersection is
1157 the set of the intersections between all pairs of compatible alternatives,

1158 choosing one alternative from each policy. If two policies are not
1159 compatible, their intersection has no policy alternatives.
1160 See Section [3.2 Policy Alternative](#) for mechanisms for determining the
1161 aggregate behavior indicated by multiple assertions of the same [policy assertion](#)
1162 [type](#).

1163 An entity applies all of the behaviors implied by a policy alternative when that policy
1164 alternative is chosen from the intersection result (see section 3.4). If an entity includes a
1165 policy assertion type A in its policy, and this policy assertion type A does not occur in an
1166 intersection result, then that entity SHOULD NOT apply the behavior implied by
1167 assertion type A. If a policy assertion type Z is not included in the input policies being
1168 intersected then the intersection result says nothing about the behavior implied by the
1169 assertion type Z.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times
New Roman, Font color: Auto

1170 As an example of intersection, consider two input policies in normal form:

```
1171 (01) <wsp:Policy  
1172  
1173 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"  
1174     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >  
1175     <!-- Policy P1 -->  
1176     <wsp:ExactlyOne>  
1177     (02) <wsp:All> <!-- Alternative A1 -->  
1178     (03)   <wsp:All> <!-- Alternative A1 -->  
1179     (04)     <sp:SignedElements>  
1180     (05)       <sp:XPath>/S:Envelope/S:Body</sp:XPath>  
1181     (06)     </sp:SignedElements>  
1182     (07)     <sp:EncryptedElements>  
1183     (08)       <sp:XPath>/S:Envelope/S:Body</sp:XPath>  
1184     (09)     </sp:EncryptedElements>  
1185     (10)   </wsp:All>  
1186     (11) <wsp:All> <!-- Alternative A2 -->  
1187     (12)   <sp:SignedParts>  
1188     (13)     <sp:Body />  
1189     (14)     <sp:Header  
1190               Namespace="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing" />  
1191     (15)   </sp:SignedParts>  
1192     (16)   <sp:EncryptedParts>  
1193     (17)     <sp:Body />  
1194     (18)   </sp:EncryptedParts>  
1195     (19) </wsp:All>  
1196     (20) </wsp:ExactlyOne>  
1197   </wsp:Policy>
```

1197 The listing above contains two policy alternatives. The first alternative, (Lines 03-
1198 10) contains two policy assertions. One indicates which elements should be
1199 signed (Lines 04-06); its type is `sp:SignedElements` (Line 04), and its parameters
1200 include an XPath expression for the content to be signed (Line 05). The other
1201 assertion (Lines 07-09) has a similar structure: type (Line 07) and parameters
1202 (Line 08).

1203 The second alternative (Lines 11-19) also contains two assertions, each with
1204 type (Line 12 and Line 16) and parameters (Lines 13-14 and Line 17).

1205 As this example illustrates, compatibility between two policy assertions is based
1206 on assertion type and delegates parameter processing to domain-specific
1207 processing.

```
1208 (01) <wsp:Policy
1209
1210 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"
1211     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >
1212     <!-- Policy P2 -->
1213 (02)   <wsp:ExactlyOne>
1214 (03)     <wsp>All> <!-- Alternative A3 -->
1215 (04)       <sp:SignedParts />
1216 (05)       <sp:EncryptedParts>
1217 (06)         <sp:Body />
1218 (07)       </sp:EncryptedParts>
1219 (08)     </wsp>All>
1220 (09)     <wsp>All> <!-- Alternative A4 -->
1221 (10)       <sp:SignedElements>
1222 (11)         <sp:XPath>/S:Envelope/S:Body</sp:XPath>
1223 (12)       </sp:SignedElements>
1224 (13)     </wsp>All>
1225 (14)   </wsp:ExactlyOne>
1226 (15) </wsp:Policy>
```

1227 Because there is only one alternative (A2) in policy P1 with the same assertion
1228 types as another alternative (A3) in policy P2, the intersection is a policy with a
1229 single alternative that contains all of the assertions in A2 and in A3.

Deleted: vocabulary — the

Deleted: have the same type —

```
1230 (01) <wsp:Policy
1231
1232 xmlns:sp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy"
1233     xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" >
1234     <!-- Intersection of P1 and P2 -->
1235 (02)   <wsp:ExactlyOne>
1236 (03)     <wsp>All>
1237 (04)       <sp:SignedParts >
1238 (05)         <sp:Body />
1239 (06)         <sp:Header
1240           Namespace="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing" />
1241 (07)       </sp:SignedParts>
1242 (08)       <sp:EncryptedParts>
1243 (09)         <sp:Body />
1244 (10)       </sp:EncryptedParts>
1245 (11)       <sp:SignedParts />
1246 (12)       <sp:EncryptedParts>
1247 (13)         <sp:Body />
1248 (14)       </sp:EncryptedParts>
1249 (15)     </wsp>All>
1250 (16)   </wsp:ExactlyOne>
1251 (17) </wsp:Policy>
```

1252 Note that there are > 1 assertions of the type `sp:SignedParts`; when the behavior
1253 associated with `sp:SignedParts` is invoked, the contents of both assertions are
1254 used to indicate the correct behavior. Whether these two assertions are
1255 compatible depends on the domain-specific semantics of the `sp:SignedParts`

1256 assertion. To leverage intersection, assertion authors are encouraged to factor
1257 assertions such that two assertions of the same assertion type are always (or at
1258 least typically) compatible.

1259 **4.6 Use of IRIs in Policy Expressions**

1260 Policy expressions use IRIs for some identifiers. This document does not define
1261 a base URI but relies on the mechanisms defined in XML Base [[XML BASE](#)] and
1262 RFCs 3023 [[IETF RFC 3023](#)], 3986 [[IETF RFC 3986](#)] and 3987 [[IETF RFC 3987](#)]
1263 for establishing a base URI against which relative IRIs can be made absolute.

1264 **5. Security Considerations**

1265 It is RECOMMENDED that [policies](#) and [assertions](#) be integrity protected to
1266 permit the detection of tampering. This can be done using a technology such as
1267 XML DSig [[XML-Signature](#)], SSL/TLS [[IETF RFC 2246](#)], or WS-Security 2004
1268 [[WS-Security 2004](#)].

1269 Policies SHOULD NOT be accepted unless they are signed and have an
1270 associated security token to specify the signer has the right to "speak for" the
1271 [scope](#) containing the policy. That is, a relying party shouldn't rely on a policy
1272 unless the policy is signed and presented with sufficient credentials to pass the
1273 relying parties' acceptance criteria.

1274 It should be noted that the mechanisms described in this document could be
1275 secured as part of a SOAP message [[SOAP 1.1](#), [SOAP 1.2 Messaging Framework](#)]
1276 using WS-Security [[WS-Security 2004](#)] or embedded within other
1277 objects using object-specific security mechanisms.

1278 This section describes the security considerations that service providers,
1279 requestors, policy authors, policy assertion authors, and policy implementers
1280 need to consider when exposing, consuming and designing [policy expressions](#),
1281 authoring policy assertions or implementing policy.

1282 **5.1 Information Disclosure Threats**

1283 A policy is used to represent the capabilities and requirements of a Web Service.
1284 Policies may include sensitive information. Malicious consumers may acquire
1285 sensitive information, fingerprint the service and infer service vulnerabilities.
1286 These threats can be mitigated by requiring authentication for sensitive
1287 information, by omitting sensitive information from the policy or by securing
1288 access to the policy. For securing access to policy metadata, policy providers
1289 can use mechanisms from other Web Services specifications such as WS-
1290 Security [[WS-Security 2004](#)] and WS-MetadataExchange [[WS-
1291 MetadataExchange](#)].

1292 **5.2 Spoofing and Tampering Threats**

1293 If a policy expression is unsigned it could be easily tampered with or replaced. To
1294 prevent tampering or spoofing of policy, requestors should discard a policy
1295 unless it is signed by the provider and presented with sufficient credentials.
1296 Requestors should also check that the signer is actually authorized to express
1297 policies for the given policy subject.

1298 **5.3 Downgrade Threats**

1299 A policy may offer several alternatives that vary from weak to strong set of
1300 requirements. An adversary may interfere and remove all the alternatives except
1301 the weakest one (say no security requirements). Or, an adversary may interfere
1302 and discard this policy and insert a weaker policy previously issued by the same
1303 provider. Policy authors or providers can mitigate these threats by sun-setting
1304 older or weaker policy alternatives. Requestors can mitigate these threats by
1305 discarding policies unless they are signed by the provider.

1306 **5.4 Repudiation Threats**

1307 Malicious providers may include policy assertions in its policy whose behavior
1308 cannot be verified by examining the wire message from the provider to requestor.
1309 In general, requestors have no guarantee that a provider will behave as
1310 described in the provider's policy expression. The provider may not and perform
1311 a malicious activity. For example, say the policy assertion is privacy notice
1312 information and the provider violates the semantics by disclosing private
1313 information. Requestors can mitigate this threat by discarding policy alternatives
1314 which include assertions whose behavior cannot be verified by examining the
1315 wire message from the provider to requestor. Assertion authors can mitigate this
1316 threat by not designing assertions whose behavior cannot be verified using wire
1317 messages.

1318 **5.5 Denial of Service Threats**

1319 Malicious providers may provide a policy expression with a large number of
1320 alternatives, a large number of assertions in alternatives, deeply nested policy
1321 expressions or chains of PolicyReference elements that expand exponentially
1322 (see the chained sample below; this is similar to the well-known DTD entity
1323 expansion attack). Policy implementers need to anticipate these rogue providers
1324 and use a configurable bound with defaults on number of policy alternatives,
1325 number of assertions in an alternative, depth of nested policy expressions, etc.

1326 *Example 5-1. Chained Policy Reference Elements*

```
1327 (01) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="p1">  
1328 (02)   <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p2"/ >  
1329 (03)   <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p2"/>  
1330 (04) </wsp:Policy>  
1331 (05)  
1332 (06) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="p2" >
```



```
1333 (07) <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p3"/>
1334 (08) <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p3"/>
1335 (09) </wsp:Policy>
1336 (10)
1337 (11) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="p3" >
1338 (12) <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p4"/>
1339 (13) <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p4"/>
1340 (14) </wsp:Policy>
1341 (15)
1342 (16) <!-- Policy/@wsu:Id p4 through p99 -->
1343 (17)
1344 (18) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="p100" >
1345 (19) <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p101"/>
1346 (20) <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#p101"/>
1347 (21) </wsp:Policy>
1348 (22)
1349 (23) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="p101" >
1350 (24) <mtom:OptimizedMimeSerialization />
1351 (25) </wsp:Policy>
```

1352 Malicious providers may provide a policy expression that includes multiple
1353 PolicyReference elements that use a large number of different internet
1354 addresses. These may require the consumers to establish a large number of
1355 TCP connections. Policy implementers need to anticipate such rogue providers
1356 and use a configurable bound with defaults on number of PolicyReference
1357 elements per policy expression.

1358 5.6 General XML Considerations

1359 Implementers of Web Services policy language should be careful to protect their
1360 software against general XML threats like deeply nested XML or XML that
1361 contains malicious content.

1362 6. Conformance

1363 An element information item whose namespace name is
1364 "http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy" and whose local part is Policy or
1365 PolicyReference conforms to this specification if it is valid according to the XML
1366 Schema [[XML Schema Structures](#)] for that element as defined by this
1367 specification (<http://www.w3.org/2007/02/ws-policy.xsd>) and additionally adheres
1368 to all the constraints contained in this specification. Such a conformant element
1369 information item constitutes a [policy expression](#).

1370 A. The application/wspolicy+xml Media Type

1371 This appendix defines the "application/wspolicy+xml" media type which can be
1372 used to describe Web Services Policy documents serialized as XML. Either
1373 `wsp:Policy` or `wsp:PolicyAttachment` could be the root element of such a

1374 document. The "application/wspolicy+xml" media type is being submitted to the
1375 IESG for review, approval, and registration with IANA.

1376 **A.1 Registration**

1377 **MIME media type name:**

1378 application

1379 **MIME subtype name:**

1380 wspolicy+xml

1381 **Required parameters:**

1382 none

1383 **Optional parameters:**

1384 **charset**

1385 This parameter has identical semantics to the charset parameter of the
1386 "application/xml" media type as specified in [IETF RFC 3023](#).

1387 **Encoding considerations:**

1388 Identical to those of "application/xml" as described in [IETF RFC 3023](#),
1389 section 3.2, as applied to the Web Services Policy document Infoset.

1390 **Security considerations:**

1391 See section [5. Security Considerations](#) in this document, and the
1392 Security Consideration section in [Web Services Policy Attachment](#).

1393 **Interoperability considerations:**

1394 There are no known interoperability issues.

1395 **Published specifications:**

1396 This document and [Web Services Policy Attachment](#).

1397 **Applications which use this media type:**

1398 This new media type is being registered to allow for deployment of Web
1399 Services Policy and references to Web Services Policy on the World Wide
1400 Web.

1401 **Additional information:**

1402 **File extension:**

1403 wspolicy

1404 **Fragment identifiers:**

1405 A syntax identical to that of "application/xml" as described in [IETF RFC](#)
1406 [3023](#).

1407 **Base URI:**

1408 As specified in [IETF RFC 3023](#), section 6. Also see section [4.6 Use of](#)
1409 [IRIs in Policy Expressions](#) in this document and [section 3.5 Use of IRIs](#)
1410 [in Policy Attachment](#) in [Web Services Policy Attachment](#).

1411 **Macintosh File Type code:**

1412 TEXT
1413 **Person and email address to contact for further information:**
1414 World Wide Web Consortium <web-human@w3.org>
1415 **Intended usage:**
1416 COMMON
1417 **Author/Change controller:**
1418 The Web Services Policy 1.5 specification set is a work product of the
1419 World Wide Web Consortium's [Web Service Policy Working Group](#). The
1420 W3C has change control over these specifications.

1421 B. References

1422 B.1 Normative References

1423 **[Web Services Policy Attachment]**
1424 [Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment](#), A. S. Vedamuthu, D. Orchard, F.
1425 Hirsch, M. Hondo, P. Yendluri, T. Boubez and Ü. Yalçınalp, Editors. World
1426 Wide Web Consortium, 30, March 2007. This version of the specification
1427 of the Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment specification is
1428 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/CR-ws-policy-attach-20070330>. The [latest](#)
1429 [version of Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment](#) is available at
1430 <http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-policy-attach>.
1431 **[IETF RFC 2119]**
1432 [Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels](#), S. Bradner,
1433 Author. Internet Engineering Task Force, June 1999. Available at
1434 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>.
1435 **[IETF RFC 3986]**
1436 [Uniform Resource Identifier \(URI\): Generic Syntax](#), T. Berners-Lee, R.
1437 Fielding and L. Masinter, Authors. Network Working Group, January 2005.
1438 Available at <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt>.
1439 **[IETF RFC 3987]**
1440 [Internationalized Resource Identifiers \(IRIs\)](#), M. Duerst and M. Suignard,
1441 Authors. Internet Engineering Task Force, January 2005. Available at
1442 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3987.txt>.
1443 **[WS-Security 2004]**
1444 [Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.0 \(WS-Security 2004\)](#),
1445 A. Nadalin, C. Kaler, P. Hallam-Baker, and R. Monzillo, Editors.
1446 Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards,
1447 March 2004. Available at <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0.pdf>.
1448
1449 **[XML BASE]**
1450 [XML Base](#), Jonathan Marsh, Editor. World Wide Web Consortium, 27
1451 June 2001. This version of the XML Base Recommendation is

1452 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlbase-20010627/>. The [latest version](#)
1453 [of XML Base](#) is available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlbase/>.

1454 **[XML 1.0]**
1455 [Extensible Markup Language \(XML\) 1.0 \(Fourth Edition\)](#), T. Bray, J. Paoli,
1456 C. M. Sperberg-McQueen, and E. Maler, Editors. World Wide Web
1457 Consortium, 10 February 1998, revised 16 August 2006. This version of
1458 the XML 1.0 Recommendation is [http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816/)
1459 [20060816](#). The [latest version of XML 1.0](#) is available at
1460 <http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml>.

1461 **[XML ID]**
1462 [xml:id Version 1.0](#), J. Marsh, D. Veillard and N. Walsh, Editors. World
1463 Wide Web Consortium, 9 September 2005. This version of the xml:id
1464 Version 1.0 Recommendation is [http://www.w3.org/TR/2005/REC-xml-id-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2005/REC-xml-id-20050909/)
1465 [20050909/](#). The [latest version of xml:id Version 1.0](#) is available at
1466 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xml-id/>.

1467 **[XML Information Set]**
1468 [XML Information Set \(Second Edition\)](#), J. Cowan and R. Tobin, Editors.
1469 World Wide Web Consortium, 24 October 2001, revised 4 February 2004.
1470 This version of the XML Information Set Recommendation is
1471 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-infoset-20040204/>. The [latest version](#)
1472 [of XML Information Set](#) is available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/xml-infoset>.

1473 **[XML Namespaces]**
1474 [Namespaces in XML 1.0](#), T. Bray, D. Hollander, A. Layman, and R. Tobin,
1475 Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 14 January 1999, revised 16
1476 August 2006. This version of the XML Information Set Recommendation is
1477 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-names-20060816/>. The [latest](#)
1478 [version of Namespaces in XML](#) is available at [http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml-names)
1479 [xml-names](#).

1480 **[XML Schema Structures]**
1481 [XML Schema Part 1: Structures Second Edition](#), H. Thompson, D. Beech,
1482 M. Maloney, and N. Mendelsohn, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 2
1483 May 2001, revised 28 October 2004. This version of the XML Schema
1484 Part 1 Recommendation is [http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-1-20041028/)
1485 [1-20041028](#). The [latest version of XML Schema Part 1](#) is available at
1486 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1>.

1487 **[XML Schema Datatypes]**
1488 [XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition](#), P. Byron and A.
1489 Malhotra, Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 2 May 2001, revised 28
1490 October 2004. This version of the XML Schema Part 2 Recommendation
1491 is <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-2-20041028/>. The [latest](#)
1492 [version of XML Schema Part 2](#) is available at
1493 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2>.

1494 **[IETF RFC 3023]**
1495 IETF "RFC 3023: XML Media Types", M. Murata, S. St. Laurent, D. Kohn,
1496 July 1998. (See <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3023.txt>.)

1497 **B.2 Other References**

1498 **[C14N 1.0 Note]**

1499 [Known Issues with Canonical XML 1.0 \(C14N/1.0\)](#), J. Kahan and K. Lanz,
1500 Editors. World Wide Web Consortium, 17 August 2006. Available at
1501 <http://www.w3.org/2006/04/c14n-note/c14n-note.html>.

1502 **[IETF RFC 2246]**

1503 IETF "RFC 2246: The TLS Protocol", T. Dierks, C. Allen, January 1999.
1504 (See <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>.)

1505 **[SOAP 1.1]**

1506 [Simple Object Access Protocol \(SOAP\) 1.1](#), D. Box, et al, Editors. World
1507 Wide Web Consortium, 8 May 2000. Available at
1508 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/NOTE-SOAP-20000508/>.

1509 **[SOAP 1.2 Messaging Framework]**

1510 [SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework](#), M. Gudgin, M. Hadley,
1511 N. Mendelsohn, J-J. Moreau, H. Frystyk Nielsen, Editors. World Wide Web
1512 Consortium, 24 June 2003. This version of the SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1:
1513 Messaging Framework Recommendation is
1514 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-soap12-part1-20030624/>. The [latest](#)
1515 [version of SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework](#) is available at
1516 <http://www.w3.org/TR/soap12-part1/>.

1517 **[SecSpecMaintWG]**

1518 [XML Security Specifications Maintenance Working Group](#), See
1519 <http://www.w3.org/2007/xmlsec>.

1520 **[UDDI API 2.0]**

1521 [UDDI Version 2.04 API](#), T. Bellwood, Editor. Organization for the
1522 Advancement of Structured Information Standards, 19 July 2002. This
1523 version of UDDI Version 2.0 API is [http://uddi.org/pubs/ProgrammersAPI-](http://uddi.org/pubs/ProgrammersAPI-V2.04-Published-20020719.htm)
1524 [V2.04-Published-20020719.htm](http://uddi.org/pubs/ProgrammersAPI-V2.04-Published-20020719.htm). The [latest version of the UDDI 2.0 API](#) is
1525 available at http://uddi.org/pubs/ProgrammersAPI_v2.htm.

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

Field Code Changed

1526 **[UDDI Data Structure 2.0]**

1527 [UDDI Version 2.03 Data Structure Reference](#), C. von Riegen, Editor.
1528 Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards, 19
1529 July 2002. This version of UDDI Version 2.0 Data Structures is
1530 <http://uddi.org/pubs/DataStructure-V2.03-Published-20020719.htm>. The
1531 [latest version of the UDDI 2.0 Data Structures](#) is available at
1532 http://uddi.org/pubs/DataStructure_v2.htm.

1533 **[UDDI 3.0]**

1534 [UDDI Version 3.0.1](#), L. Clément, et al, Editors. Organization for the
1535 Advancement of Structured Information Standards, 14 October 2003. This
1536 version of the UDDI Version 3.0 is [http://uddi.org/pubs/uddi-v3.0.1-](http://uddi.org/pubs/uddi-v3.0.1-20031014.htm)
1537 [20031014.htm](http://uddi.org/pubs/uddi-v3.0.1-20031014.htm). The [latest version of the UDDI 3.0](#) specification is
1538 available at http://uddi.org/pubs/uddi_v3.htm.

Formatted: French (France)

Field Code Changed

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

1539 **[WS-SecurityPolicy]**

1540 [WS-SecurityPolicy v1.0](#), A. Nadalin, M. Gudgin, A. Barbir, and H.
1541 Granqvist, Editors. Organization for the Advancement of Structured

1542 Information Standards, 8 December 2005. Available at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/15979/oasis-wssx-ws-securitypolicy-1.0.pdf>.

1545 **[WSDL 1.1]**

1546 [Web Services Description Language \(WSDL\) 1.1](#), E. Christensen, et al,
1547 Authors. World Wide Web Consortium, March 2001. Available at
1548 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/NOTE-wsdl-20010315>.

1549 **[WSDL 2.0 Core Language]**

1550 [Web Services Description Language \(WSDL\) Version 2.0 Part 1: Core
1551 Language](#), R. Chinnici, J. J. Moreau, A. Ryman, S. Weerawarana, Editors.
1552 World Wide Web Consortium, 27 March 2006. This version of the WSDL
1553 2.0 specification is <http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/CR-wsdl20-20060327>. The
1554 [latest version of WSDL 2.0](#) is available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl20>.

1555 **[WS-MetadataExchange]**

1556 [Web Services Metadata Exchange \(WS-MetadataExchange\)](#), K. Ballinger,
1557 et al, Authors. BEA Systems Inc., Computer Associates International, Inc.,
1558 International Business Machines Corporation, Microsoft Corporation, Inc.,
1559 SAP AG, Sun Microsystems, and webMethods, August 2006. Available at
1560 <http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/mex/>.

1561 **[XML-Signature]**

1562 [XML-Signature Syntax and Processing](#), D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, and D.
1563 Solo, Editors. The Internet Society & World Wide Web Consortium, 12
1564 February 2002. This version of the XML-Signature Syntax and Processing
1565 Recommendation is <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlsig-core-20020212/>. The [latest version of XML-Signature Syntax and Processing](#) is
1566 available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>.

1568 **[XMLID11]**

1569 [Canonical XML 1.1](#), This is a work in progress. J. Boyer and G. Marcy
1570 Authors. W3C Working Draft, 20 December 2006. Available at
1571 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xml-c14n11/>.

1572 **C. Acknowledgements (Non-Normative)**

1573 This document is the work of the [W3C Web Services Policy Working Group](#).

1574 Members of the Working Group are (at the time of writing, and by alphabetical
1575 order): Dimitar Angelov (SAP AG), Abbie Barbir (Nortel Networks), Charlton
1576 Barreto (Adobe Systems Inc.), Sergey Beryozkin (IONA Technologies, Inc.),
1577 Vladislav Bezrukov (SAP AG), Toufic Boubez (Layer 7 Technologies), Symon
1578 Chang (BEA Systems, Inc.), Paul Cotton (Microsoft Corporation), Jeffrey Crump
1579 (Sonic Software), Glen Daniels (Sonic Software), Jacques Durand (Fujitsu
1580 Limited), Ruchith Fernando (WSO2), Christopher Ferris (IBM Corporation),
1581 William Henry (IONA Technologies, Inc.), Frederick Hirsch (Nokia), Maryann
1582 Hondo (IBM Corporation), Tom Jordahl (Adobe Systems Inc.), Paul Knight
1583 (Nortel Networks), Philippe Le Hégaret (W3C/MIT), Mark Little (JBoss Inc.),
1584 Ashok Malhotra (Oracle Corporation), Monica Martin (Sun Microsystems, Inc.),
1585 Arnaud Meyniel (Axway Software), Jeff Mischkin (Oracle Corporation), Dale

1586 Moberg (Axway Software), Anthony Nadalin (IBM Corporation), Bob Natale
1587 (MITRE Corporation), David Orchard (BEA Systems, Inc.), Fabian Ritzmann
1588 (Sun Microsystems, Inc.), Daniel Roth (Microsoft Corporation), Tom Rutt (Fujitsu
1589 Limited), Sanka Samaranayake (WSO2), Felix Sasaki (W3C/Keio), Skip Snow
1590 (Citigroup), Yakov Sverdlov (CA Inc.), Mark Temple-Raston (Citigroup), Asir
1591 Vedamuthu (Microsoft Corporation), Sanjiva Weerawarana (WSO2), Umit
1592 Yalçinalp (SAP AG), Prasad Yendluri (webMethods, Inc.).

1593 Previous members of the Working Group were: Jeffrey Crump (Sonic Software),
1594 Jong Lee (BEA Systems, Inc.), Bob Natale (MITRE Corporation), Bijan Parsia
1595 (University of Manchester), Seumas Soltysik (IONA Technologies, Inc.).

1596 The people who have contributed to [http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/public-ws-](http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/public-ws-policy/)
1597 [policy/](http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/public-ws-policy/) are also gratefully acknowledged.

1598 **D. Changes in this Version of the Document (Non-** 1599 **Normative)**

1600 A list of major editorial changes since the Working Draft dated 28 February, 2007
1601 is below:

- 1602 • None.

1603 **E. Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework Change Log** 1604 **(Non-Normative)**

Date	Author	Description
20060712	ASV	Updated the list of editors. Completed action items 12 , 16 and 20 from the Austin F2F.
20060718	DBO	Completed action items: RFC2606 for domain names 09 (note: PLH had already done but it didn't show up in the change log)
20060726	ASV	Incorporated the XML namespace URI versioning policy adopted by the WG.
20060803	PY	Completed Issue: 3551 Misc updates throughout.
20060808	PY	Completed action item: 20 to highlight infoset terms uniformly.
20060808	DBO	Completed action items: 15 as early as possible in the doc, use the definition that are defined in the doc.
20060808	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 3543 and the resolution for issue 'Modify wording in Abstract for Framework'. Restored Section 2.2 Extensibility (that was accidentally dropped). Completed action item 17 from the Austin F2F.
20060809	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 3563 .
20060811	DBO	Completed action items: 15 remove use if emph/ital terms.

		Framework: removed emph on conceptually replace and support; attachment: make merge a termdef
20060813	ASV	Added a new Section D. Changes in this Version of the Document (that provides a list of substantive changes since the previous publication).
20060818	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 3560 .
20060822	TIB	Completed action item: resolution for issue 3565 .
20060824	PY	Completed action item: resolution for issue 3552 .
20060827	TIB	Completed action item: resolution for adding Conformance section.
20060828	DBO	Completed action item: Partial resolution for issue 3590 . for adding document attribute extensibility of <code>wsp:Policy/@{any}</code> and <code>wsp:Policy/.../wsp:PolicyReference/@{any}</code>
20060829	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 3561 : replaced URI with IRI.
20060830	DBO	Completed action item: resolution for issue 3604 . Removing Goals section, resulted in moving Policy expression definition to 2nd para of intro.
20060906	DBO	Completed partial resolution for issue 3590 . for adding document attribute extensibility of <code>wsp:Policy/@{any}</code> and <code>wsp:Policy/.../wsp:PolicyReference/@{any}</code> , specifically making attribute extensibility for any namespace.
20060906	TIB	Completed action item: resolution for issue 3607 . Better describe policy language capabilities in the Introduction.
20060912	DBO	Completed action item: 6 .
20060913	TIB	Completed action item: 8 .
20060913	TIB	Completed action item: 31 .
20060913	TIB	Completed action item: 11 .
20060918	PY	Completed action item: 16 .
20060918	PY	Completed action item: 17 .
20060918	PY	Completed action item: 23 for issue 3617 , Namespace URI versioning Policy is not clear.
20060918	PY	Completed action item: 33 for issue 3672 , Clarify the policy model for Web Services.
20060918	PY	Completed action item: 34 for issue 3703 , Element within policy expression must be an assertion.
20060918	PY	Completed action item: 39 for issue 3710 , Clarify that policy assertion parameters are opaque to framework processing.
20060918	PY	Completed action item: 40 for issue 3711 , Add Cross-Product

		description to 4.3.3 in Framework.
20060920	DBO	Completed action item: 24 for issues 3662 , Add PolicyReference extensibility as ##any. And 25 for issue 3590 , Add PolicyReference extensibility.
20060921	PY	Completed action item: 29 for issue 3577 , Semantics of successful intersection determined by domain-specific assertion content.
20060924	TIB	Implemented the editorial action 35 to include the Security Considerations section from the Primer document.
20060926	ASV	Implemented the action item: 30 resolution for issue 3549 .
20060927	MH	Completed action item: 02 resolution for issue 3706 - changing "domain authors" to "authors".
20060927	PY	Completed action item: 46 resolution for issue 3752 - Clarify restrictions of ID type usage.
20061002	DBO	Completed action item: 7 .
20061002	DBO	Implemented the http://www.w3.org/2005/06/tracker/wspolicy/actions/64 for issue 3559 : Conformance Section.
20061002	DBO	Implemented the resolution for issue 3712 :wsp:PolicyReference can be used in any place where you can use wsp:Policy
20061004	PY	Completed action item: 10 Recast text at the beg of section to describe what's upcoming in the subsections.
20061007	TIB	Completed action item: 47 Issue 3602 Resolution - The absence of an assertion should not mean that the behavior is "explicitly prohibited".
20061007	TIB	Completed action item: 19 Add an intro paragraph that introduces the material in section 4.3.3.
20061008	MH	Completed action item: 45 Replace security policy example 1.1. as per issue 3753.
20061011	PY	Updated "Changes in this Version" section (Appendix C)
20061012	DBO	Revisited action items: 15 as early as possible in the doc, use the definition that are defined in the doc. Opened as Bug 3720
20061019	PY	Completed action item: 57 PaulC's comments.
20061027	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 3705 .
20061030	DBO	Implemented the resolution titled "Hyperlink terms such as policy expression..."
20061102	ASV	Implemented the resolution for Editors' Action 12 .
20061102	ASV	Reset Section D. Changes in this Version of the Document .

20061103	ASV	Re-formatted the example in 5.5 Denial of Service Threats .
20061109	PY	Implemented the editorial changes for Issue 3961 for Editors' Action 75 .
20061109	TIB	Implemented Editors' Action 73 to create a normative appendix for MIME subtype wspolicy+xml.
20061109	TIB	Implemented Editors' Action 74 .
20061109	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issues 3721 and 3789 .
20061109	ASV	Implemented Editors' Action 70 .
20061109	ASV	Updated Section D. Changes in this Version of the Document .
20061114	ASV	Fixed typos in Appendix A. The application/wspolicy+xml Media Type .
20061127	ASV	Added Frederick and Umit to the list of editors. Editors' action 86 .
20061213	TIB	Implemented Editors' Action 93 for Mac MIME type.
20061218	FH	Implemented the resolution for issue 4039 to close editors' action 99 .
20061220	PY	Completed action item: 98 resolution for issue 4038 - Nested policy not in normal form in section 4.3.2.
20070108	ASV	Reset Section D. Changes in this Version of the Document .
20070116	DBO	Completed action item: 123 and 115 Resolution for issue 4210
20070121	MH	Completed action item: 129 Resolution for namespace dereferencing issue 4204
20070121	MH	Completed action item: 130 Resolution for editorial issues 4205
20070121	MH	Completed action item: 132 Resolution for changing format of example and removing text. 4224
20070122	MH	Completed action item: 133 Resolution for editorial items. 4225
20070122	PY	Completed action item: 117 Resolution for issue 4141
20070122	PY	Completed action item: 120 Resolution for issue 4142
20070122	PY	Completed action item: 122 Resolution for issue 4236
20070122	PY	Completed action item: 125 Resolution for issue 4177
20070122	PY	Completed action item: 128 Resolution for issue 4203
20070122	PY	Completed action item: 127 Resolution for issue 4197
20070122	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 4206 . Editors' action

		136.
20070122	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 4138 . Editors' action 140 .
20070122	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 4240 . Editors' action 146 .
20070122	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 4235 . Editors' action 147 .
20070123	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issues 4196 and 4238 . Editors' action 142 .
20070123	ASV	Fixed a typo in B.2 Other References : "[IETF RFC 3023]IETF "RFC 2246:".
20070123	ASV	Applied a missed item (re issue 4197) to section 2.2 Extensibility : Umit's amendment - "such as in Section 4.3.4 Policy References" and other changes.
20070124	ASV	Updated Section D. Changes in this Version of the Document .
20070207	PY	Implemented the resolution for issue 4307 . Editors' action 156 .
20070207	ASV	Implemented the resolution for issue 4306 . Editors' action 158 .
20070222	ASV	Applied a missed item (re issue 4204) to 2.3 XML Namespaces .
20070313	FJH	Applied resolution to issue 4379 with minor editorial revision (editors action 181).
20070321	ASV	Reset Section D. Changes in this Version of the Document .
20070328	FS	Re-formatted the first example in 4.3.2 Policy Assertion Nesting .