ISSUE:

Support of both wsdl 1.1 and 2.0 in CDL presents a possible incompatibility with respect to the Message Exchange Patterns (MEP) supported by each. In particular, an issue was raised concerning the deprecated Notification and Solicit-response MEPs from wsdl 1.1. What is the impact of the deprecation of these MEPs in wsdl 2.0 on CDL, given that support of wsdl 2.0 is part of the WS-Choreography?

ANALYSIS:

All wsdl 1.1 MEPs are actually subsumed by equivalents in the wsdl 2.0 specification. The wsdl 2.0 Out-Only MEP is functionally equivalent to the wsdl 1.1 Notification MEP, while the wsdl 2.0 Out-In MEP is functionally equivalent to the wsdl 1.1 Solicit-response MEP.

Below is a table providing a comparison between wsdl 1.1 and wsdl 2.0 MEPs:

Message	WSDL 1.1	WSDL 2.0	Comparison
Exchange			
Patterns			
	One-way	In-Only	Functionally
			equivalent
	Request-Response	In-Out	Functionally
			equivalent
	Notification	Out-Only	Functionally
			equivalent
	Solicit-Response	Out-In	Functionally
			equivalent
		Robust In-Only	Introduced in 2.0
		Robust Out-Only	Introduced in 2.0
		In-Optional-Out	Introduced in 2.0
		Out-Optional-In	Introduced in 2.0

PROPOSAL:

Since all wsdl 1.1 MEPs are subsumed in wsdl 2.0, and wsdl 2.0 introduces MEPs in addition to those supported by wsdl 1.1, CDL should be able to support both wsdl 1.1 and wsdl 2.0 MEPs. Where wsdl 2.0 introduces MEPs in addition to those supported in wsdl 1.1, support of these in CDL should be limited to the use of wsdl 2.0.

A wsdl version element should be introduced to the CDL schema to signify whether a CDL supports wsdl 1.1 or wsdl 2.0. A CDL parser can use this element to determine for the choreography environment whether to load facilities to support wsdl 1.1 or 2.0.