

Attendees: Scott, Alasdair, Janos, Joachim, Michel, Simon Lin

W3C Note authorship:

Editors are those that format it into the W3C form, etc. and shepherd the document through
Contributors are those that have provided text, ideas, etc

Document Editing

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1zGQJ9bO_dSc8taINTNHdnjYEzUyYkbiglrcuUPuoITw/edit#heading=h.dury0a8mbjsj

- Aims: Joachim to draft
- HCLS Profiles: Alasdair
- Use cases
 - Scott to contact Philippe about dataset discovery use case
 - Interact with interested parties for statements
 - IO Informatics
 - EBI (Chat with Simon Jupp at SWAT4LS)
 - datasharing.org
 - Metaome
 - Marshfield (Simon Lin)
- Dataset Description
 - Janos to describe the diagram
 - Need to populate the must/should/may sections using the properties from the spreadsheet

Spreadsheet

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0Aoy0zfdRviKsdFJWTDFpbINXc3BtelhrdEpNYTdvbXc&usp=drive_web#gid=1

Do we need additional properties for clinical datasets?

Need to ensure that we don't confuse access control mechanisms for the data, i.e. access to certain properties, versus descriptions of the data set.

In general, it is safe to share the metadata, although there are some examples in the pharmaceutical domain where knowledge of the existence of a dataset is restricted knowledge.

We've been looking at this problem in Open PHACTS

http://www.slideshare.net/alasdair_gray/incorporating-commercial-and-private-data-into-an-open-linked-data-platform-for-drug-discovery

SWAT4LS Hackathon

Data catalogue from some existing datasets, create a google form to capture the must fields from the participants. This would populate a spreadsheet, i.e. csv file