* Cognitive Accessibility User Research (User research means something specific, so is this only about user research, or is it about research into various types of cognitive and learning impairments?)
  + <https://w3c.github.io/wcag/coga/user-research.html>
* Table of User Needs: <https://rawgit.com/w3c/coga/master/gap-analysis/table.html>
  + What is the purpose of the tables? If it is just to identify the needs that are not currently addressed, there is a lot of extra information in here that should not be in here. At the bottom of each table, we are linking to the issue papers, the techniques, etc., so it is unclear why we are presenting information in the tables in this way.
  + If the purpose is to identify a user need and then explain how to address it, shouldn’t that go into our proposed SC? It appears that the tables are a sandbox for designing SCs. If that is the case, then they should not be linked to as a resource in the SC because they are difficult to consume and very lengthy.
  + Here is a summary of the number of screens that each user table takes up as rendered HTML on the desktop computer we were using – of course this would vary by device:
    - 1st user need: 4 screens
    - 2nd user need: 9 screens
    - 3rd user need: 13 screens
    - 4th user need: 16 screens
    - 5th user need: 14 screens
    - 6th user need: 7 screens
    - 7th user need: 14 screen
    - 8th user need: 7 screens
    - 9th user need: 3 screens
  + When printed, the 1st user need is 1.5 pages long; the 2nd user need is 4.5 pages; the 3rd user need is at least 6 pages, but that is where we stopped printing.
  + When viewing the tables in HTML, the column headers get lost as you scroll down and on some computers, horizontal scrolling is required to view all of the columns. This makes it difficult for many people to use.
  + It seems that we should be using these as working documents, but should produce more easily consumable formats for the information we want to share.
  + In the introduction section, it says, “This section is a work in progress for discussion of the tables format for the COGA roadmap. It identifies user needs that are not currently fully addressed by accessibility.” – This statement doesn’t really make sense – what do you mean that the needs are not addressed by “accessibility?” Do you mean that they are not currently fully addressed by the accessibility guidelines?”
* Table of design requirements and user groups – this appears to be a table that is attempting to explain the user groups that are affected by each of the originally proposed 41 SCs from the COGA task force. It has links to the original text of each SC, as well as links to the github issue #s that were assigned to each SC.
  + <https://rawgit.com/w3c/coga/transfer-usable-to-html/content-usable/table.html>
    - We are unsure how the headings in this table correlate with the user needs tables or the success criteria
    - We need to be able to use consistent terminology because it is not intuitive to map the following requirements to any other documentation, techniques, SCs, etc. What do these map to:
      * Memory impairment
      * Reduced focus and context
      * Language and Communication Impairment (We refer to this in multiple ways throughout the documentation)
      * Difficulty with maths – this is basically dyscalculia – we have not seen subject matters called out specifically in other areas of the documentation
      * Intellectual Disabilities & Executive Function – these two should not be paired – they are very separate issues
      * Links to draft requirements
* Cognitive Accessibility Roadmap and Gaps Analysis – we have two URLs with the same title – we think this is the overview document??????:
  + <https://rawgit.com/w3c/coga/transfer-usable-to-html/content-usable/usable.html> (This link has full copies of issue papers, but there is no summary) – only lists the titles of all the issue papers as links. Here are the main headings
    - Abstract
    - Status
    - Intro
    - AIM? – does this mean purpose? (Making websites accessible for people with cognitive disabilities)
    - Background (about people with learning and cognitive disabilities, learning and the web)
    - User Needs (will summarize the user needs table and link to it)
    - Use Cases / Persona
    - Usability Testing, Focus Group, and Feedback
    - Seven Design Themes – the term “theme” here is confusing and the way this is numbered is confusing
      * design so that as many users as possible understand the site
      * help the user find what they need; use clear and understandable content
      * prevent the user from making mistakes and make it easy to correct mistakes when they do occur
      * help the user focus and restore focus and context if attention is lost
      * minimize the cognitive skills required to use the content and avoid barriers
      * provide help and support
      * feedback is usable by everyone)
    - Special Applications – intend to add sections on GPS systems, conversational interfaces (what does this mean?), etc.
    - Issues and Considerations (How is this different from design considerations?)
      * Guidance for policy makers
        + Table of design requirements and policy criteria
    - Acknowledgements
  + <https://w3c.github.io/coga/gap-analysis/> (This link has summaries, but no links to full copies of issue papers)
* Making Content Usable for people with cognitive and learning disabilities: <https://rawgit.com/w3c/coga/transfer-usable-to-html/content-usable/usable.html>
  + This table addresses user needs, but there is no information about the user needs tables – will this be replicated from other documents?
* WCAG 2.1 Guideline links:
  + Accepted WCAG 2.1 SC

<https://www.w3.org/WAI/GL/wiki/Accepted_WCAG_2.1_SC>

* + WCAG 2.1 Guidelines https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/
  + https://w3c.github.io/wcag21/guidelines/
* WCAG2.1 Understandings document – this is the one Alastair wants people to review

<https://www.w3.org/WAI/GL/wiki/Wcag21-understanding-documents>

Questions:

1. Where there are multiple versions of the same document, but with different variations – is editing happening in one location and publishing in another?
2. What is the publishing schedule?
3. How do we track version control?